

RISK AND OUTCOME OF COVID-19 INFECTION IN SARCOIDOSIS PATIENTS: RESULTS OF A SELF-REPORTING QUESTIONNAIRE

Robert P. Baughman¹, Elyse E. Lower⁴, Mindy Buchanan², Paola Rottoli³, Marjolein Drent^{4,5,6}, Jacobo Sellares^{7,8,9}, Michelle Terwiel⁴, Marjon Elfferich^{6,10}, Joel Francesqui⁷, María Rita Barriuso Cabrerizo¹¹, Nadera Sweiss¹², Filippo Martone¹³, Tamara Al-Hakim², Marc A. Judson¹⁴

¹University of Cincinnati Medical Center, Department of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA; ²Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research, Chicago IL, USA; ³Specialization School of Respiratory Diseases, Dept of Medical, Surgical and Neurological Sciences, Siena University, Italy; ⁴ILD Center of Excellence, Department of Pulmonology, St Antonius Hospital, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands; ⁵Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Maastricht University, Maastricht, the Netherlands; ⁶ild care foundation research team, Ede, the Netherlands; ⁷Servei de Pneumologia, Respiratory Institute, Hospital Clínic, IDIBAPS, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; ⁸Centro de Investigación Biomedica en Red-Enfermedades Respiratorias (CibeRes, CB06/06/0028), Spain; ⁹Interstitial Lung Diseases Core member, European Reference Network for Rare Diseases of the Respiratory System (ERN-LUNG), Spain; ¹⁰Hospital Gelderse Vallei, Ede, the Netherlands; ¹¹ANES (Asociación de Enfermos de Sarcoidosis), Madrid, Spain; ¹²Division of Rheumatology and Medical Director of the Arthritis Clinic and Bernie Mac Sarcoidosis Translational Advanced Research Center (STAR), University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago IL, USA; ¹³Amici Contro la Sarcoidosi Italia ONLUS, Italy; ¹⁴Department of Medicine, Albany Medical College, Albany NY, USA

ABSTRACT. *Background:* It has been suggested that sarcoidosis patients, especially those on immunosuppressive medications, are at increased risk for COVID-19 infection and more severe disease. *Methods:* A questionnaire was developed in four languages (English, Dutch, Italian, and Spanish). The questionnaire queried whether patients had been infected with COVID-19 and outcome of the infection. Risk factors for COVID-19 infection were collected. *Results:* A total of 5200 sarcoidosis patients completed the questionnaire with 116 (2.23%) reporting infection and 18 (15.8%) required hospitalization. Increased hazard ratio (HR) for COVID-19 infection were seen for those with a COVID-19 infected roommate (HR=27.44, p<0.0001), health care provider (HR=2.4, p=0.0001), pulmonary sarcoidosis (HR=2.48, p=0.001), neurosarcoidosis (HR=2.02, p<0.01), or rituximab treatment (HR=5.40, p<0.0001). A higher rate of hospitalization was found for those with underlying heart disease (HR=3.19 (1.297-7.855), p<0.02). No other feature including race, other immunosuppressive agent, age, or underlying condition was associated with a significant increased risk for infection or more severe disease. *Conclusion:* The overall rate of COVID-19 was 2.23%, suggesting an increased rate of COVID-19 infection. However, when an analysis of the questionnaires of sarcoidosis and non-sarcoidosis patients was performed in one localized area over this time period, the rate of COVID-19 infection was similar in both groups. Sarcoidosis patients who cohabitated with COVID-19 infected individuals, worked in health care, had pulmonary or neurologic sarcoidosis, or were treated with rituximab had an increased risk for COVID-19 infection. No significant increased risk for hospitalization could be identified based on age, race, gender or any specific immunosuppressive treatment. (*Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2020; 37 (4): e2020009)

Received: 29 September May 2020

Accepted after revision: 29 October 2020

Correspondence: Robert P. Baughman MD,

200 Albert Sabin Way, Room 1001,

Cincinnati, OH USA 45267

E-mail: bob.baughman@uc.edu

KEY WORDS: COVID-19, sarcoidosis, immunosuppression

Supported in part by NIH grant 2UL1TR001425-05A

Supported in part by the University of Cincinnati and Albany Medical Center

INTRODUCTION

The COVID-19 pandemic has dramatically changed and challenged the practice of medicine. Both sarcoidosis patients and their health care providers are concerned that sarcoidosis may increase the risk of contracting COVID-19 and may be associated with poor outcomes from COVID-19 infection (1). Sarcoidosis patients may have several risk factors associated with an increased rate and a poor outcome from COVID-19 infection including underlying lung disease and the use of glucocorticoids and other immunosuppressive agents (2;3). However, it is not clear that use of immunosuppressive therapy alone is a risk factor for increased rate of COVID-19 infection (4;5). A worse outcome from COVID-19 infection may be result of the common presence of other co-morbidities including heart disease, diabetes, and hypertension (2;6-8). Because of the potential risk of COVID-19 infection in those receiving immunosuppressive medications, sarcoidosis experts have proposed modifying the treatment of sarcoidosis (9). However, these recommendations were based almost exclusively on expert opinion and extrapolation from other medical conditions because of the absence of sarcoidosis-specific outcome data concerning COVID-19 infection.

Because of the lack of specific information concerning risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection and its outcomes in sarcoidosis patients, we initiated an IRB approved questionnaire survey of sarcoidosis patients between April and July 2020 to investigate the prevalence of COVID-19 infection, clinical outcomes and possible risk factors for contracting COVID-19 in several sarcoidosis cohorts across several countries. The questionnaire was distributed through several platforms and was available in four languages: English, Dutch, Italian, and Spanish. Five versions of the questionnaire that were minimally different (*vide infra*) were distributed to various cohorts. One version of the questionnaire was distributed to sarcoidosis patients who participated in a previously described registry (10). In order to compare these findings with another high-risk group, we also surveyed a cohort of breast cancer patients during this time period. The results of these five questionnaires were pooled and analyzed to provide data concerning the frequency, severity, potential risk factors and outcomes for COVID-19 infection in sarcoidosis patients.

METHODS

A questionnaire regarding COVID-19 infection was developed by three of the authors (RPB, EEL, and MAJ). The questionnaire was approved by the University of Cincinnati Institutional Review Board and is shown in Supplement S-1. IRB approval for the FSR questionnaire was obtained from Advarra (Columbus, MD), where the registry number for the FSR Registry is Pro00008556 and modification number for this sub-study is: MOD00087736.

The questionnaire queried whether patients had been infected with COVID-19. If infected, they were asked to provide details regarding level of care (treated at home, hospital, or intensive care unit). They were also queried concerning risk factors for COVID-19 infection including household contacts and whether they were health care providers. The patients were asked if they had underlying medical conditions associated with increased risk for COVID-19 infection. They were also asked to provide information regarding their age, sex, and self-declared race. They provided their current residence, including their state for those living in the United States. Patients with sarcoidosis were queried about the duration of disease, specific organ involvement, and current and past immunosuppressive therapy. The questionnaire responses were collected in an anonymous manner with no patient identifiers captured. At time of completing the questionnaire, patients were asked to provide consent for use of their questionnaire responses. The distribution was meant to reach as wide an audience as possible. Patients were enrolled without incentives, since the survey was anonymous. Respondents were asked to complete the questionnaire even if they did not have any symptoms related to COVID-19 infection. There was no restriction for patients to complete more than one questionnaire.

A total of five questionnaires were distributed (Supplement S-1 to S-4). Table 1 summarizes the features of the five questionnaires. All were based on the University of Cincinnati/Albany Medical Center (UC/AMC) questionnaire. The same questionnaire was distributed to those patients who were Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research (FSR) registry. The questionnaire was translated into Dutch and distributes by the Dutch Sarcoidosis Society (Sarcoïdose.nl) and the ild care foundation. The Italian Asso-

Table 1. Summary of Questionnaires used in Study

| Title of questionnaire | Questionnaire version | Invitation distribution | Sarcoidosis patient group | Control group | Dates of Survey | Method of capture | Comments |
|------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|-------------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|
| UC/AMC | UC/AMC English | Posted on FSR website and all patients see at Cincinnati clinic | World wide including Cincinnati OH USA | Cincinnati OH USA | 4/1/2020 to 7/1/2020 | REDCap | For those in USA, state also indicated |
| FSR | UC/AMC English | Invitation to those in FSR registry | World wide | N/A | 4/6/2020 to 7/1/2020 | SurveyMonkey | |
| Dutch | Dutch version UC/AMC | Members of Sarcoidose.nl, and advertisement at the ILD Center of Excellence, Nieuwegein, the Netherlands | Netherlands | N/A | 6/29/2020 to 7/29/2020 | SurveyMonkey | Collected also if on no medication for sarcoidosis and patient's BMI |
| Italian | Italian version of UC/AMC | e-mail contacts of the Italian Association for Sarcoidosis patients (ACSI) | Italy | N/A | 4/1/2020 to 7/1/2020 | Google Forms | |
| Spanish | Spanish version of UC/AMC | recruited through membership of the Spanish association of patients with sarcoidosis (ANES-Asociación Española de) using e-mail contact | Spain | N/A | May 2020 | Google Forms | |

UC/AMC: University of Cincinnati/Albany Medical Center; FSR: Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research; N/A: not available; ild care: interstitial lung disease care; BMI: body mass index

REDCap: Research electronic data capture <https://redcap.research.cchmc.org/>

SurveyMonkey: www.surveymonkey.com

Google Forms: <https://www.google.com/intl/en-US/forms/about/>

ciation for Sarcoidosis patients (ACSI) prepared the Italian version of the COVID-19 questionnaire. The Spanish association of patients with sarcoidosis (ANES-Asociación Española de) prepared a Spanish version of the COVID-19 questionnaire.

Statistics

The hazard ratio (HR) with 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated for various factors for each questionnaire using a statistical software package (MedCalc Software limited, Ostend, Belgium). For those questions which were identical (except for language), the results were summed. HR were calculated for the individual questionnaires and for summary data when available. A p value of less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Supplement Figures S1-S5 show the numbers of patients who completed the questionnaire for each site, including those who did not give consent for final analysis or were excluded for other reasons. Table 2 summarizes the values for 5200 sarcoidosis patients who were analyzed. A total of 116 (2.23%) reported COVID-19 infection. The overall rate of COVID-19 infection is shown for each site and ranged from 0.8 to 4.76%. As shown in the table, there was no significant difference in the rate of infection based on sex, race, or age. In the Dutch questionnaire an additional question revealed that 96 out of 973 COVID-19 negative patients (10%) reported COVID-19 symptoms but were not tested.

The results of the individual questionnaires are provided in Supplement S5-S9. Table 3 summarizes

Table 2. Rate of COVID-19 infection for five questionnaires and total

| | UC/AMC | FSR | Dutch | Italy | Spain | Total |
|---|---------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|
| Total number Sarcoidosis patients | 1972 | 1616 | 996 | 511 | 105 | 5200 |
| Number COVID positive | 66 | 13 | 23 | 9 | 5 | 116 |
| Rate of COVID | 3.35% | 0.80% | 2.31% | 1.76% | 4.76% | 2.23% |
| Percent COVID-19 based on gender | | | | | | |
| Male | 3.70% | 0.72% | 1.42% | 1.44% | 3.57% | 2.18% |
| Female | 3.24% | 1.59% | 2.98% | 1.68% | 5.19% | 2.81% |
| Percent COVID-19 based on race | | | | | | |
| Black | 2.88% | 3.23% | NA | NA | NA | 2.95% |
| White | 3.62% | 1.15% | 2.31% | 1.83% | 4.90% | 2.66% |
| Mean age of patients with or without COVID-19 infection | | | | | | |
| Age COVID-19 positive, years | 54.5 ± 11.39* | 54.4 ± 11.29 | 55.3 ± 6.0 | 55.8 ± 8.63 | 49.80 ± 9.20 | 53.5 ± 9.47 |
| Age, COVID-19 Negative, years | 53.0 ± 9.60 | 56.6 ± 10.39 | 55.0 ± 10.8 | 51.8 ± 9.74 | 44.88 ± 8.82 | 52.4 ± 9.90 |
| Percent COVID-19 infected versus current prednisone therapy | | | | | | |
| Yes | 3.08% | 1.55% | 2.02% | 0.38% | 4.76% | 2.68% |
| No | 3.52% | 0.62% | 2.38% | 3.00% | 4.76% | 2.08% |
| Percent COVID-19 based on living with COVID-19 infected roommate | | | | | | |
| Roommate COVID positive | 55.3% | 40.0% | 26.8% | 62.5% | 0.0% | 52.7% |
| No roommate with COVID | 2.33% | 0.68% | 1.26% | 0.80% | 4.95% | 1.53% |
| Percent COVID-19 based on occupation as health care provider | | | | | | |
| Health care provider | 5.74% | 1.20% | 3.88% | 4.26% | 18.75% | 5.46% |
| Not health care provider | 3.09% | 0.78% | 2.13% | 1.52% | 2.33% | 2.82% |

NA: not analyzed because less than 10 patients who were this race

*Mean ± standard deviation

Table 3. Hazard ratio for developing COVID-19 infection: Summary of all five questionnaires

| | Percent with feature | Total pos | Total neg | Total number | Percent Pos | Hazards Ratio | 95% CI | P value |
|--|----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------|
| Social factors | | | | | | | | |
| Roommate COVID positive | 1.81% | 39 | 55 | 94 | 41.49% | 27.44 | 19.798-38.048 | <0.0001 |
| No roommate with COVID | | 77 | 5016 | 5093 | 1.51% | | | |
| Health care provider | 8.85% | 22 | 436 | 458 | 4.80% | 2.41 | 1.532-3.799 | 0.0001 |
| Not health care provider | | 94 | 4626 | 4720 | 1.99% | | | |
| Current treatment for sarcoidosis | | | | | | | | |
| Current Prednisone | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 30.93% | 36 | 1567 | 1603 | 2.25% | 1.02 | 0.689-1.503 | >0.10 |
| No | | 79 | 3501 | 3580 | 2.21% | | | |
| If taking prednisone: | | | | | | | | |
| Prednisone >10 mg or more | 22.29% | 16 | 520 | 536 | 2.99% | 0.98 | 0.567-1.690 | >0.10 |
| Prednisone < 10 mg | | 57 | 1812 | 1869 | 3.05% | | | |
| Hydroxychloroquine | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 9.20% | 8 | 417 | 425 | 1.88% | 0.80 | 0.391-1.628 | >0.10 |
| No | | 99 | 4095 | 4184 | 2.36% | | | |
| anti-TNF monoclonal antibodies (infliximab, adalimumab) | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 7.89% | 8 | 389 | 397 | 2.02% | 0.89 | 0.437-1.812 | >0.10 |
| No | | 105 | 4531 | 4636 | 2.26% | | | |
| Cytotoxic (methotrexate, azathioprine, mycophenolate, leflunomide) | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 22.54% | 27 | 1141 | 1168 | 2.31% | 1.05 | 0.688-1.615 | >0.10 |
| No | | 88 | 3926 | 4014 | 2.19% | | | |
| Rituximab | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 1.28% | 7 | 53 | 60 | 11.67% | 5.3993 | 2.621-11.123 | <0.0001 |
| No | | 100 | 4528 | 4628 | 2.16% | | | |
| Comorbidities | | | | | | | | |
| COPD | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 11.43% | 16 | 578 | 594 | 2.69% | 1.25 | 0.744-2.108 | >0.10 |
| No | | 99 | 4503 | 4602 | 2.15% | | | |

(continued)

Table 3 (continued). Hazard ratio for developing COVID-19 infection: Summary of all five questionnaires

| | Percent with feature | Total pos | Total neg | Total number | Percent Pos | Hazards Ratio | 95% CI | P value |
|---|----------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|---------|
| Diabetes mellitus | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 10.25% | 9 | 524 | 533 | 1.69% | 0.74 | 0.375-1.445 | >0.10 |
| No | | 107 | 4558 | 4665 | 2.29% | | | |
| Heart disease | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 10.40% | 9 | 375 | 384 | 2.34% | 1.034 | 0.522-2.048 | >0.10 |
| No | | 75 | 3234 | 3309 | 2.27% | | | |
| Hypertension | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 19.62% | 24 | 1003 | 1027 | 2.34% | 1.07 | 0.686-1.666 | >0.10 |
| No | | 92 | 4115 | 4207 | 2.19% | | | |
| Organ involvement from sarcoidosis | | | | | | | | |
| Lung | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 73.09% | 101 | 3696 | 3797 | 2.66% | 2.48 | 1.446-4.249 | 0.001 |
| No | | 15 | 1383 | 1398 | 1.07% | | | |
| Cardiac | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 9.02% | 15 | 442 | 457 | 3.28% | 1.5 | 0.878-2.555 | >0.10 |
| No | | 101 | 4509 | 4610 | 2.19% | | | |
| Neurologic | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 8.33% | 18 | 415 | 433 | 4.16% | 2.02 | 1.234-3.307 | 0.0052 |
| No | | 98 | 4664 | 4762 | 2.06% | | | |
| Demographic features | | | | | | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 32.42% | 31 | 1420 | 1451 | 2.14% | 0.7784 | 0.518-1.117 | >0.10 |
| Female | | 83 | 2941 | 3024 | 2.74% | | | |
| Race | | | | | | | | |
| Black | 32.78% | 36 | 1399 | 1435 | 2.51% | 0.9346 | 0.633-1.379 | >0.10 |
| White | | 79 | 2864 | 2943 | 2.68% | | | |
| Duration of disease | | | | | | | | |
| Sarcoidosis > 5 years | 71.61% | 75 | 2735 | 2810 | 2.67% | 1.1012 | 0.713-1.700 | >0.10 |
| Sarcoidosis < 5 years | | 27 | 1087 | 1114 | 2.42% | | | |

†Data not available from Dutch registry

CI: confidence interval; anti-TNF: anti-tumor necrosis factor antibody; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

the hazard ratio (HR) for developing COVID-19 for all five sites. Forty-one percent of those with a COVID-19 infected roommate had COVID-19 infection (HR=27.44 (19.798-38.048, 95% confidence intervals, $p<0.0001$)). We did not collect information about which person was diagnosed first with COVID-19. All but the Spanish questionnaire identified a significant increased risk for COVID-19 for those with a roommate with COVID-19. In the Spanish questionnaire, only two patients reported a COVID-19 infected roommate. Neither of these sarcoidosis patients had COVID-19 infection at the time of completing the survey.

The overall risk for health care workers to have COVID-19 infection was 2.41 (1.532-3.799, $p=0.0001$), with nearly five percent of health care workers who had sarcoidosis reporting COVID-19 infection. For the Spanish questionnaire, 18.8% of health care workers reported COVID-19 infection, while less than six percent for all other questionnaires. The HR was only significant for the UC/AMC and Spanish questionnaires.

For sarcoidosis immunosuppressive therapy, rituximab treatment was associated with an increased risk of COVID-19 infection (HR=5.40 (2.621-11.123), $p<0.0001$). Only the UC/AMC and FSR included more than one patient treated with rituximab. However, both of these identified an increased risk with rituximab use. There was no significant increase in risk for COVID-19 infection for those on any other immunosuppressive therapy. For those receiving prednisone, there was no increased risk for patients prescribed 10 mg or more a day versus a lower dose. A total of 425 patients were prescribed hydroxychloroquine. There was no difference in the risk for COVID-19 among the other questionnaires or for the summary data of all sarcoidosis patients compared to all others. In the Dutch questionnaire, patients who reported to receive any medication for sarcoidosis had a decreased COVID-19 risk (HR=0.40, 0.165 to 0.958, $P<0.05$). There was no significant difference for the larger UC/AMC questionnaire (HR=1.49, 0.916 to 2.437, $p>0.10$) or for the combined data.

There was no increased risk with any of the associated with age, race, sex, duration of disease, or the comorbidities investigated. In the Dutch questionnaire, there was no difference in HR for those with a BMI above 25.

There was an increased risk for sarcoidosis patients with lung involvement (HR=2.48 (1.446-4.249), $p=0.001$). However, the FSR was the only individual questionnaire which identified this as a significant risk. The summary identified neurosarcoidosis as an increased risk factor for COVID-19 infection (HR=2.02 (1.234-3.307), $p<0.01$). For neurosarcoidosis, there was a significant HR seen for the Spanish and FSR questionnaire and borderline for UC/AMC ($p=0.0619$).

Most patients responding to the UC/AMC questionnaire provided their country of residence or state of residence if they lived in the United States, and the percentage of patients with COVID-19 infection by residence is shown in Table S-10. There was no significant difference in rates of infections between the United States and non-United States residents. For the United States, there was a wide range but not a significantly different rate of infection between states.

For the UC/AMC questionnaire, we analyzed the risk of COVID-19 infection for two groups of patients seen by either EEL or RPB at the University of Cincinnati from April 1 to June 30, 2020. During that time, 547 cancer patients were seen at University of Cincinnati (UC cancer). Two (0.37%) reported COVID-19 infection. Only 3 of 541 (0.55%) sarcoidosis patients seen at the UC sarcoidosis clinic during this time reported COVID-19 infection. The hazard ratio for COVID-19 infection in sarcoidosis patients at the University of Cincinnati was not significantly higher than those with cancer (HR=1.52, 0.254 to 9.041, $P>0.10$).

Outcome of COVID-19 infection

Table 4 shows the reported rate of hospitalization for those with COVID-19 infection for each questionnaire and for the total group. A mean of 15.8% (range 13 to 27%) of COVID-19 infected patients were hospitalized with about one-third cared for in the intensive care unit at some time during hospitalization.

For 105 of the COVID-19 infected patients we had information regarding current immunosuppressive therapy. Nineteen (18.1%) of these were hospitalized. Table 5 summarizes these outcomes and calculates the HR for hospitalization for various immunosuppressive therapies. There were no

Table 4. Outcome of COVID-19 infected patients

| | UC/AMC | FSR | Dutch | Italy | Spain | Total |
|------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|
| Home | 57 | 8 | 20 | 7 | 4 | 96 |
| Hospitalized (%) | 9 (17.6%) | 3 (27.3%) | 3 (13.0%) | 2 (22.2%) | 1 (20%) | 18 (15.8%) |
| Unknown | | 2 | | | | |

Table 5. Outcome of sarcoidosis patients infected with COVID-19 versus immunosuppressive therapy*

| DRUG | Home | Hospital | Percent in hospital | HR | 95% CI | p |
|--|------|----------|---------------------|------|-------------|-------|
| All patients | 86 | 19 | 18.1% | | | |
| Prednisone | | | | | | |
| Yes | 29 | 5 | 14.7% | 0.75 | 0.293-1.901 | >0.10 |
| No | 57 | 14 | 19.7% | | | |
| If prednisone | | | | | | |
| Prednisone \geq 10 mg | 13 | 2 | 13.3% | 0.76 | 0.186-3.106 | >0.10 |
| Prednisone < 10mg | 47 | 10 | 17.5% | | | |
| anti-TNF monoclonal antibodies (infliximab, adalimumab) | | | | | | |
| Yes | 5 | 2 | 28.6% | 1.65 | 0.473-5.740 | >0.10 |
| No | 81 | 17 | 17.3% | | | |
| Hydroxychloroquine | | | | | | |
| Yes | 7 | 1 | 12.5% | 0.67 | 0.103-4.416 | >0.10 |
| No | 79 | 18 | 18.6% | | | |
| Cytotoxic (methotrexate, azathioprine, mycophenolate, leflunomide) | | | | | | |
| Yes | 17 | 7 | 29.2% | 1.97 | 0.873-4.440 | >0.10 |
| No | 69 | 12 | 14.8% | | | |
| Rituximab | | | | | | |
| Yes | 6 | 1 | 14.3% | 0.78 | 0.121-5.006 | >0.10 |
| No | 80 | 18 | 18.4% | | | |

*Data not available on all patients.

HR: hazard ratio; anti-TNF: anti-tumor necrosis factor antibody;

Table 6. Outcome of COVID-19 infection versus underlying comorbidities*

| | Home | Hospital | Number pos | Rate | HR | 95% CI | P |
|--------------------|-----------|----------|------------|---------------|-------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Total | | | | | | | |
| DM | 9 | 2 | 11 | 11.46% | 1.19 | 0.308-4.585 | >0.10 |
| no DM | 72 | 13 | 85 | | | | |
| HTN | 14 | 5 | 19 | 19.79% | 2.03 | 0.784-5.234 | >0.10 |
| no HTN | 67 | 10 | 77 | | | | |
| COPD | 12 | 3 | 15 | 15.63% | 1.35 | 0.432-4.217 | >0.10 |
| no COPD | 69 | 12 | 81 | | | | |
| Heart disease | 8 | 5 | 13 | 13.54% | 3.19 | 1.297-7.855 | 0.0115 |
| no heart disease | 73 | 10 | 83 | | | | |
| Any feature | 35 | 7 | 42 | 43.75% | 1.12 | 0.444-2.854 | >0.10 |
| No feature | 46 | 8 | 54 | | | | |

DM: diabetes mellitus; HTN: hypertension; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; HR hazard ratio.

*Summary data from UC/AMC, FSR, and Dutch

significant HR with any specific therapy. For the 96 COVID-19 infected patients from three questionnaires (UC/AMC, FSR, and Dutch), we were able to analyze the clinical outcome versus presence of diabetes, hypertension, COPD, and heart disease. Table 6 shows the results of this analysis. Only those with underlying heart disease had an increased rate of hospitalization (HR=3.19, 1.297-7.855, $p<0.02$).

DISCUSSION

In this analysis of five surveys of sarcoidosis patients from the USA and Europe concerning COVID-19 infection, we found evidence that the rate of COVID-19 infection in sarcoidosis patients was higher than in the general population. We found that sarcoidosis patients who were healthcare workers or were living with a person infected with COVID were at higher risk of COVID infection; these data have been reported in the general population (12;13) and support the well-established fact that COVID-19 is highly infectious and is easily transmitted to individuals who are in close proximity to an actively infected person (14). In regard to immunosuppressive therapy, only rituximab was associated with in-

creased risk for COVID-19 infection in sarcoidosis patients. The lack of association of prednisone use with the development of COVID-19 infection held even when comparing ≥ 10 versus < 10 mg/day. In sarcoidosis patients with diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, and co-existing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease no increased risk of acquiring COVID-19 infection was identified, even though these comorbidities have also been identified as risk factors for COVID-19 infection (6;8;15).

The current data surveyed sarcoidosis patients mostly in United States and Europe from April through July 2020. This time frame co-indices with the onset of the pandemic in these two parts of the world. In our analysis of a questionnaire administered to 5200 sarcoidosis patients, 2.23% or 22,308 cases per million had become infected with COVID-19 during this time. During the time period in which this questionnaire was administered, the number of confirmed cases of COVID-19 in the United States was estimated as 1,060 per million (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Template:COVID-19_pandemic_data/United_States_medical_cases). The same site reported that the cumulative rate of COVID-19 infection in Spain was 5197 per 1 million, Italy 3853 per 1 million, and Netherlands 3141 per 1 million. How-

ever, the rate of infection for COVID-19 infection in the general population may be higher. For example, a recent survey using serologic testing found that 2.5% of the Italian population had acquired COVID-19 infection by July 15, 2020 (http://www.salute.gov.it/imgs/C_17_notizie_4998_0_file.pdf). While there was some variation in the rates of COVID-19 infection from the various questionnaires, overall there was no significant difference in the rate of COVID-19 infection. This was also true when examining the UC/AMC questionnaire, which included 1601 (3.1% infected) responders from US versus 361 (4.2% infected) from outside the United States.

These data suggest that the rate of COVID infection is higher in sarcoidosis patients than the general population. However, the rate of COVID-19 infection varies by the time period as well as from country to country and even within the United States. It is therefore possible, that the rate of COVID-19 in sarcoidosis patients was no different from the general population. A significant number of the sarcoidosis patients completing the UC/AMC questionnaire were seen at the University of Cincinnati Sarcoidosis Clinic, which is in southwestern Ohio. As of July 1, 2020, the reported cumulative rate of COVID-19 infection for this area was 5103 per 1 million (<https://coronavirus.ohio.gov/wps/portal/gov/covid-19/dashboards/overview>). This is similar to the rate of 5545 per 1 million for our sarcoidosis patients and 3656 per 1 million for the cancer patients. The lower rate of COVID-19 infections for sarcoidosis patient at University of Cincinnati clinic versus other patients completing the questionnaires may be due to lower overall rate of COVID-19 infection in the area and/or the more rigorous criteria for diagnosis, since at our clinic we required verification by culture. This criterion may underestimate the number of cases of COVID-19 infection (16). The hospitalization rates for COVID-19 may be more accurate, since such cases are usually confirmed by cultures.

For sarcoidosis patients, we identified five features associated with increased for COVID-19 infection. Sarcoidosis patients with a COVID-19 infected roommate had a greater than 20-fold increased risk for COVID-19 infection. In one meta-analysis, the risk of in home transmission of disease has been estimated as ten-fold (17). There was also a nearly two-fold increased risk for sarcoidosis patients who were health care workers. This increased risk has

been noted for some time (12). The higher risk in Spain may be a reflection that some areas were hit sooner than other parts of the world. The widespread use of N-95 and other respiratory policies later in the pandemic and in other parts of the world may have blunted this risk factor (13;18). Comorbidities in sarcoidosis include diabetes, hypertension, heart disease, and co-existing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (10;19). These have also been identified as risk factors for COVID-19 infection and more severe disease (2;6;8;15). However, in the current study, none of these was associated with an increased risk for infection.

In regards to immunosuppression therapy, only rituximab was associated with increased risk for COVID-19 infection for sarcoidosis patients. This is not surprising, since rituximab has been noted to have increased the risk for acquiring viral infections (20). In addition, viral infections are more severe when patients are receiving rituximab. We studied only seven patients with COVID-19 infection treated with rituximab.

Sarcoidosis is a multi-organ disease and the effect of sarcoidosis on different organs may affect the patient's ability to avoid COVID-19 infection. In this study, we found that patients with lung or neurologic involvement were at increased risk for COVID-19 infection. Chronic lung disease has been identified as a risk factor for infection and more severe disease (21).

In terms of the outcomes of COVID-19 infected sarcoidosis patients, we found that less than twenty percent required hospitalization. In a recent, retrospective study of 37 sarcoidosis patients with COVID-19 infection, the rate of hospitalization for infection was 60% and no different from the non-sarcoidosis patients seen at that center (3). However, the rate of adverse outcome as defined by requiring intubation and/or mortality was significantly higher than the non-sarcoidosis patients (3). The current study would have missed the very severe cases, at least the ones who died, as it was a study analyzing a self-reported questionnaire. In our study, the use of immunosuppressive therapy was not associated with a significant increased risk for hospitalization. The overall outcome of these patients appears more favorable than that reported in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with immunosuppression (22-24). In one study (23), the use of ≥ 10 mg per day

of prednisone or its equivalent was associated with increased risk. That study analyzed 600 COVID-19 infected patients and our study may have been underpowered to detect that difference. Interestingly, that study found that anti-TNF therapy was associated with a significantly lower risk for COVID-19 infection (23).

Hydroxychloroquine has been proposed as a potential therapy for patients with COVID-19 infection and ongoing studies are evaluating this drug (25). Over 400 of our sarcoidosis patients were receiving hydroxychloroquine at time of survey. There was no change in the rate of infection or rate of hospitalization for the seven patients who developed COVID-19 infection while on hydroxychloroquine. This has also been noted in a study of patients treated with hydroxychloroquine for various rheumatologic conditions (23).

Several comorbidities have been associated with a worse clinical outcome from COVID-19 infection (7;8;21;23). We were able to analyze the outcome of 96 sarcoidosis patients with COVID-19 infection and reported comorbidities. Underlying heart disease was associated with an increased risk for hospitalization. Other comorbidities examined included diabetes, COPD, and hypertension were not felt to be significant risk factors.

There are several limitations to our study. The questionnaire did not try to quantitate severity of disease, especially significant pulmonary fibrosis. Therefore, we could not comment on impact of severe lung disease on risk or outcome of COVID-19 infection. Because of the low number of incident cases, we may have been underpowered to detect smaller, but significant risk factors including comorbidities and the impact of immunosuppression therapy. The questionnaires were completed by the patients, usually on-line. With the exception of those seen at the University of Cincinnati, there was no attempt to verify COVID-19 infection. Patients who had severe disease or even died would be unlikely to be able to complete the questionnaire, so this group was underrepresented. Also, the rate of COVID-19 infection in sarcoidosis patients varies based on local conditions and time into the pandemic. The rate of COVID-19 infection in the general population during the study period may be better understood over time, especially as serologic testing becomes more widely used. However, the rate of infection for COVID-19 infection in the general

population may be higher. We compared our results to a standard reporting site which provided cumulative rates for various parts of the world. In the sub-study at University of Cincinnati, the rate of infection was not significantly different from cancer patients seen at the same time period. Future reports may provide a better understanding of the rate of COVID-19 infection in the community studied. Future rates may be affected as vaccines become available. Vaccines for other conditions have proved to be effective in preventing infections in sarcoidosis patients (26).

In summary, our data suggests an increased rate of COVID-19 infection in sarcoidosis patients. However, when compared to non-sarcoidosis patients in the same area and time of the study, the rate of COVID-19 infection was not significantly different. The most obvious risk factor for COVID-19 infection was having a roommate with COVID-19. This means that hygiene measures and distancing are extremely important at home as well as in public. To facilitate research on prevalence and risk factors of COVID-19 infection in chronic diseases, sarcoidosis specifically, it would be helpful to report in population registries not only numbers of patients with COVID-19, but also their characteristics, such as comorbidities and medication use.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Sarcoidose.nl, the Dutch Sarcoidosis Patient Society and the ild care foundation for preparing and supplying the Dutch version of the questionnaire. For the English version, we thank sarcoidosis patients who participated through the Foundation for Sarcoidosis Research. For the Italian questionnaire, we thank the Amici Contro la Sarcoidosi Italia ONLUS. For the Spanish questionnaire, we thank the Asociación de Enfermos de Sarcoidosis.

REFERENCE

1. Southern BD. Patients with interstitial lung disease and pulmonary sarcoidosis are at high risk for severe illness related to COVID-19. *Cleve Clin J Med* 2020; Jun 18; doi: 10.3949/ccjm.87a.ccc026.
2. Li J, Huang DQ, Zou B, et al. Epidemiology of COVID-19: A systematic review and meta-analysis of clinical characteristics, risk factors, and outcomes. *J Med Virol* 2020; 13:10.1002/jmv.26424.
3. Morgenthau AS, Levin MA, Freeman R, Reich DL, Klang E. Moderate or Severe Impairment in Pulmonary Function is Associated with Mortality in Sarcoidosis Patients Infected with SARS-CoV-2. *Lung* 2020; 198(5):771-775.

4. Conticini E, Bargagli E, Bardelli M, Rana GD, Baldi C, Cameli P et al. COVID-19 pneumonia in a large cohort of patients treated with biological and targeted synthetic antirheumatic drugs. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 217681.
5. Minotti C, Tirelli F, Barbieri E, Giaquinto C, DonÀ D. How is immunosuppressive status affecting children and adults in SARS-CoV-2 infection? A systematic review. *J Infect* 2020; 81(1):e61-e66.
6. Wang B, Li R, Lu Z, Huang Y. Does comorbidity increase the risk of patients with COVID-19: evidence from meta-analysis. *Aging (Albany NY)* 2020; 12(7):6049-6057.
7. Hu Y, Sun J, Dai Z, Deng H, Li X, Huang Q et al. Prevalence and severity of corona virus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Virol* 2020; 127:104371. doi: 10.1016/j.jcv.2020.104371. Epub@2020 Apr 14:104371.
8. Espinosa OA, Zanetti ADS, Antunes EF, Longhi FG, Matos TA, Battaglini PF. Prevalence of comorbidities in patients and mortality cases affected by SARS-CoV2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo* 2020; 62:e43. doi: 10.1590/S1678-9946202062043. eCollection@2020:e43-9946202062043.
9. Sweiss NJ, Korsten P, Syed HJ, Syed A, Baughman RP, Yee AMF et al. When the game changes: guidance to adjust sarcoidosis management during the COVID-19 Pandemic. *Chest* 2020; 158(3): 892-895.
10. Harper LJ, Gerke AK, Wang XF, Ribeiro Neto ML, Baughman RP, Beyer K et al. Income and Other Contributors to Poor Outcomes in U.S. Patients with Sarcoidosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2020; 201(8):955-964.
11. Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, Payne J, Gonzalez N, Conde JG. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. *J Biomed Inform* 2009; 42(2):377-381.
12. Çelebi G, Pişkin N, Bekleviç AC, Altunay Y, Keleş AS et al. Specific risk factors for SARS-CoV-2 transmission among health care workers in a university hospital. *Am J Infect Control* 2020; 48(10):1225-1230.
13. Iannone P, Castellini G, Coclite D, Napoletano A, Fauci AJ, Iacorossi L et al. The need of health policy perspective to protect Healthcare Workers during COVID-19 pandemic. A GRADE rapid review on the N95 respirators effectiveness. *PLoS ONE* 2020; 15(6):e0234025.
14. Jayaweera M, Perera H, Gunawardana B, Manatunge J. Transmission of COVID-19 virus by droplets and aerosols: A critical review on the unresolved dichotomy. *Environ Res* 2020; 188:109819. doi: 10.1016/j.envres.2020.109819. Epub@2020 Jun 13:109819.
15. Guan WJ, Liang WH, Zhao Y, Liang HR, Chen ZS, Li YM et al. Comorbidity and its impact on 1590 patients with COVID-19 in China: a nationwide analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2020; 55(5):2000547-2002020.
16. Kim H, Hong H, Yoon SH. Diagnostic Performance of CT and Reverse Transcriptase Polymerase Chain Reaction for Coronavirus Disease 2019: A Meta-Analysis. *Radiology* 2020; 296(3):E145-E155.
17. Lei H, Xu X, Xiao S, Wu X, Shu Y. Household transmission of COVID-19-a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Infect* 2020; Aug 25:S0163-4453(20)30571-5.
18. Zhao Y, Cui C, Zhang K, Liu J, Xu J, Nisenbaum E et al. COVID-19: A Systematic Approach to Early Identification and Healthcare Worker Protection. *Front Public Health* 2020; 8:205. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00205. eCollection@2020:205.
19. Parrish SC, Lin TK, Sicignano NM, Lazarus AA. Sarcoidosis in the United States Military Health System. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2018; 35(3):261-267.
20. Aksoy S, Harputluoglu H, Kilickap S, Dede DS, Dizdar O, Altundag K et al. Rituximab-related viral infections in lymphoma patients. *Leuk Lymphoma* 2007; 48(7):1307-1312.
21. Yang J, Zheng Y, Gou X, Pu K, Chen Z, Guo Q et al. Prevalence of comorbidities and its effects in patients infected with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis* 2020; 94:91-95.
22. Haberman R, Axelrad J, Chen A, Castillo R, Yan D, Izmirly P et al. Covid-19 in Immune-Mediated Inflammatory Diseases - Case Series from New York. *N Engl J Med* 2020; 383(1):85-88.
23. Gianfrancesco M, Hyrich KL, Al-Adely S, Carmona L, Danila MI, Gossec L et al. Characteristics associated with hospitalisation for COVID-19 in people with rheumatic disease: data from the COVID-19 Global Rheumatology Alliance physician-reported registry. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 79(7):859-866.
24. Sanchez-Piedra C, Diaz-Torne C, Manero J, Pego-Reigosa JM, Rúa-Figueroa Í, Gonzalez-Gay MA et al. Clinical features and outcomes of COVID-19 in patients with rheumatic diseases treated with biological and synthetic targeted therapies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020; 79(7):988-990.
25. Das S, Bhowmick S, Tiwari S, Sen S. An Updated Systematic Review of the Therapeutic Role of Hydroxychloroquine in Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19). *Clin Drug Investig* 2020; 40(7):591-601.
26. Syed H, Ascoli C, Linssen CFM, Vogt C, Iden T, Syed A et al. Infection prevention in sarcoidosis: proposal for vaccination and prophylactic therapy. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2020; 37(2):87-98.