Effect of different music tempos on aerobic performance and recovery

Bereket Köse¹, Ahmet Atli²

¹Şırnak University, Department of Physical Education and Sports - E-mail: bereket.kose@hotmail.com, ²Iğdır University, Department of Physical Education and Sports

Summary. The aim of this study was to determine effect of different music tempos on aerobic performance and recovery period. Thirty-five healthy male students (age=22.63±2.94, body mass=71.40±10.71, height=178.24±9.95) who were studying at Faculty of Sport Sciences voluntarily participated in this study. Participants carried out Bruce treadmill test with 72 hour intervals under three conditions: slow tempo music (100 bpm), fast tempo music (140 bpm) and no music. Anova was applied for statistical analysis. Fast tempo music (18.28±3.01 minute) was determined to improve running time by 4.63% and 3.10% compared to no music (17.47±2.83 min) and slow tempo music (17.73±3.09 min), respectively (p<0.01). Slow and fast tempo music did not have any effect on heart rate (HR) and rate of perceived exertion (RPE) during and after exercise (p>0.05). Lactate accumulation during 15 min recovery was found lower with slow tempo music compared to fast tempo and no music conditions (p<0.05). As a result, it can be concluded that fast tempo music improved running time and performance by creating ergogenic effect while slow tempo music led to fast decrease heart rate and lactate accumulation during 15 min recovery.

Keywords: Fast music, Heart rate, Lactate, Running time, Slow music

Introduction

Music, an important part of human life, is known to be important for physical and psychological healing (1,2), accelerate and decelerate brain wave, coordinate movements with muscle tension and create anxiolytic effect (3). In addition, the effect of music on movement is defined as miscellaneous as psycho-emotional supporting coordination of repeated cognitive, affective, motor movement patterns during exercise (4). Music has been used to relax people for a long time. Music is a reliable, effective and non-pharmacological painkiller and tranquilizer. It has been reported that music decreases the need for ataractic drug (5), therapy with music decreases anxiety, increase life quality and is effective to decrease pain (6-8). Many ideas have been suggested to explain the strength which makes athletes to participate long duration physical activities and continue this for a long time (9). The latest one is music which is thought to be effective in athletic performance. Accordingly, the number of studies investigating ergogenic effects of combination of music and physical activity has increased (10). Because music has been used in different areas and successful results have been obtained, its effects on sport practitioners have been investigated and some studies highlighted its positive effects on athletes in terms of physiological and psychological aspects (11,12). While many studies have investigated effects of music pre and during exercise (13, 14) there is not enough number of studies investigating effect of music on recovery following a strenuous exercise. Some of these studies suggested sedative music at least 15 min following an exercise session to stimulate recovery (15, 16). Thus, the aim of this study was to determine effect of different tempos music on aerobic performance and recovery.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Thirty-five healthymale students (age=22.63±2.94, body mass=71.40±10.71, height=178.24±9.95) who were studying at Faculty of Sport Sciences voluntarily participated in this study. Participants who has a chronic disease or regularly uses medicine were excluded from the study.

Measurements

Fifty fast and slow English songs were determined by the researcher before the measurements. Then, the loudness of the music was set at 82 decibels (17) and beats were set at 100 bpm (beat per minute) for slow music tempo and 140 bpm for fast music tempo (18). Songs were sent to participants via e-mail and they were requested to choose 5 songs for both fast and slow tempos they liked most and send back them to researcher. Each participant involved in measurements 3 times with 72-hour intervals under three condition: fast tempo music, slow tempo music and no music. Blood pressure and heart rate were monitored pre and post-test and during recovery with Omron 10 and Polar Team, respectively. Blood lactate measurement was performed with Lactate Scout + lactate analyzer. When participants arrived at the laboratory, they were requested to sit for 15 min to determine resting values of heart rate and blood pressure. Participants listened to the music they chose during the test and recovery for 15 min with earphone. Heart rate (HR) was recorded before, after and at 5^{th} , 10^{th} and 15^{th} min during the test. Borg Scale was used to determine rate of perceived exertion (RPE) at 5th, 10th and 15th min during the test and following the test. Participants state their RPE using a chart (between 6-20) (19). Running performance was tested using a treadmill (RAM 770-M, CAMIN, Italy) with Bruce protocol. Bruce treadmill protocol is a test starting with 2,7 km/h speed and 10% grade and continue with increasing speed and grade every 3 min (20). When participants finished the test, they were seated on a chair for recovery with listening to selected music for 15 min. Meanwhile, peak HR, blood pressure and lactate accumulation were measured. HR, systolic and diastolic blood pressures were recorded 5th, 10th and 15th min of recovery. Blood lactate was measured at the end of the recovery (15th min). When tests were implemented under the third condition they did not listen to or were exposed to any music during the test and were seated for 15 min during recovery in a quiet environment.

Statistical Analysis

Data were analyzed with SPSS-23. Normality of the data was determined using Shapiro Wilk Test. As data was normally distributed, Repeated Measures Anova was used. When any difference was found, Bonferroni Test was applied to see which group caused the difference. The significant level was set at 0.05 and 0.01.

Results

This study aimed to determine the effect of music with different tempos on aerobic performance and recovery duration in healthy active males. Performance variables under no music condition were compared with those during fast tempo music and slow tempo music in terms of aerobic running performance, HR and RPE during the test and HR, blood pressure and blood lactate accumulation during the recovery.

Effect of different music tempos on running performance is presented in Figure 1. It was observed that fast music tempo (18.28±3.01min) improved running duration compared to slow music tempo (17.73±3.09 min) and no music (17.47±2.83min) at percentages of 3.10% and 4.63%, respectively (p<0.01). No significant difference was found between slow tempo music and no music (p>0.05).

Figure 2 presents effects of different music tempos on HR and RPE during the test. It was observed that HR and RPE at 5, 10 and 15th min of test and



Figure 1. Running performance values under three conditions. Significant differences shown with asterisk (*p<0.01).



Figure 2. Heart rate (HR) (A) and rate of perceived exersion (RPE) (B) values pre, post and 5th, 10th and 15th min of exercise under 3 different conditions.

post-exercise were not affected by different music tempos (p>0.05).

Resting values of HR, blood pressure, post-test maximal HR, blood pressure, lactate accumulation and HR, blood pressure and lactate accumulation under three condition can be found in Table 1. Table 2 presents HR, blood pressure and percentage of decrease in lactate accumulation of the participants during 15 min recovery.

Discussion

This study was implemented to determine effect of different music tempos on aerobic performance and recovery time. Fast tempo music increased running performance 3.10% and 4.63% compared to no music and slow tempo music, respectively. However, HR and RPE during and at the end of the test were not affected by different music tempos. In a similar study with Bruce protocol by Karageorghis et al. (2009), while running time was 17.84 min with motivational music, running times were 16.84 and 15.56 for non-motivational music and no music, respectively. They also

Fable 1. Physiological variables of the participants pre and post-test and during recovery.				
	No music (1)	Slow music(2)	Fast music (3)	Sig. Diff.
	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd	
RestHR	71.62±11.48	70.52±9.95	70.75±8.88	0.143
RestSBP	118.15±9.23	123.89±8.5	120.45±8.23	0.346
RestDBP	71.33±6.32	70.66±7.41	72.45± 6.83	0.928
PeakDBP	79.63±6.65	81.10±6,83	81.02±5.77	0.364
PeakSBP	160.16±15.18	158.30±12.23	161.24±11.89	0.547
PeakHR	188.88±9.19	187.65±9.38	191.02±10.21	0.935
PeakB[LAC]	13.31±2.81	12.64±2.68	12.97±2.45	0.169
RecB[LAC]15min	9.94±2.06	8.82±1.95	9.56±0.98	0.017* 2<1,3
Rec HR-5min	105.94±10.31	105.00±13.25	103.03±11.78	0.626
RecHR-10min	105.00±11.06	100.78±12.80	102.55±10.16	0.093
Rec HR-15min	102.68±9.73	98.42±10.06	101.43±10.11	0.082
RecSBP5min	126.00±10.60	126.78±12.80	125.44±9.56	0.788
RecSBP10min	122.72±8.03	122.66±7.32	121.28±4.56	0.748
RecSBP15min	121.05±10.63	122.15±9.94	120.47±5.43	0.825
RecDBP5min	69.63±4.05	71.10±3.87	69.94±3.04	0.276
RecDBP10min	71.16±7.11	71.55±4.75	70.77±3.63	0.900
RecDBP15min	71.47±5.41	73.21±7.56	69.78±2.76	0.429

*P<0.05.Sd:Standard deviation. RestHR: Heart rate during resting. PeakB[LAC]: Peak blood lactate after maksimal exercise. RecB[LAC]15min: Blood lactate in minute 15 during recovery. RecHR-5min: Heart rate in minute 5 during recovery. RecHR-10min: Heart rate in minute 10 during recovery. RecHR-15min: Heart rate in minute 15 during recovery. RecSBP10min: Systolic blood pressure in minute 10 during recovery. RecCBP10min: Systolic blood pressure in minute 5 during recovery. RecCBP5min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 5 during recovery. RecDBP5min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 5 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 15 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP5min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 15 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 17 during recovery. RecDBP-10min: Diastolic blood pressure in minute 16 during recovery. Diff. : Diffrence

reported that motivational music improved running performance by 15% compared to no music condition and music had no effect on RPE. It was concluded by the same researchers that music improved endurance performance during exercise (21). Likewise, Eliakim et al. (2012) also stated that music has positive effect on aerobic components but also highlighted that it did not affect RPE (22). Tenenbaum et al. (2004) implemented treadmill test on participants at 90% of their VO2max under 4 different music condition (no music, rock, sedative and dance) and stated no significant effect on RPE and HR (23). Birnbaum et al. (2009) stated that music (fast, slow and no music) had no effect on systolic-diastolic blood pressure, HR and RPE during 15 min steady state running exercise on a treadmill (24). Most of the studies stated no significant effect of music on HR during exercise (25-27). Likewise, we determined that music did not affect HR responses during the exercise. It was reported that female football players performed a treadmill exercise with increasing speed and grade and running time, HR_{max} and RPE and HR during the exercise were not affected by self-selected fast music (28). Terry et al. (2012) applied a study on 11 elite triathlon athletes where self-selected music improved exhaustion time by 18.1%, 19.7%

Table 2. Rate of decrease between peak values after exhaustionexercise and recovery values after 15 min recovery.

	PeakB[LAC]	RecB[LAC]15min	%Δ15		
	Mean±Sd	Mean±Sd			
No music	13.31±2.81	9.94±2.06	33.90		
Slow music	12.64±2.68	8.82±1.95	43.31		
Fast music	12.97±2.45	9.56±0.98	35.66		
	PeakHR	RecHR15min	%Δ15		
No music	188.88±9.19	102.68±9.73	83.95		
Slow music	187.65±9.38	98.42±10.06	90.66		
Fast music	191.02±10.21	101.43±10.11	88.32		
	PeakSBP	RecSBP15min	%Δ15		
No music	160.16±15.18	121.05±10.63	32.30		
Slow music	158.30±12.23	122.15±9.94	29.59		
Fast music	161.24±11.89	120.47±5.43	33.84		
	PeakDBP	PeakDBP15min	%Δ15		
No music	79.63±6.65	71.47±5.41	11.41		
Slow music	81.10±6.83	73.21±7.56	10.77		
Fast music	81.02±5.77	69.78±2.76	16.10		
% $\Delta 15$: Percentage of decrease 15 min after exercise					

with motivational music and neutral music, respectively compared to no music. Moreover, emotional state and mood were found more positive with motivational music compared to other two conditions and music decreased oxygen consumption by 1-7% and improved running economy (29). Another study reported that music increased running time and fast tempo music was found more effective than slow tempo music (12). Our findings also indicate that fast tempo music improved running performance compared to slow tempo music. Ghaderi et al. (2009) reported that fast tempo music increased running time by 41% compared to slow tempo music but aforementioned music tempos did not enhance running performance compared to no music condition (30). In contrast to the studies in the literature, some researchers stated that music did not increase running distance and time and concluded that music does not affect sub-maximal performance of individuals (28, 31, 33). Different results suggested by different studies may stem from the type of music, fitness level of the participants, music tempo lacking motivational stimulus.

There was no significant difference in recovery HR and systolic and diastolic blood pressure at the 5th, 10th and 15th min of recovery between slow, fast tempo music and no music (p>0.05). however, slow tempo music enhanced removal of the lactate during recovery compared to fast tempo music and no music (p<0.05). While percentage of lactate removal was 43.31% with slow tempo music, it was 33.90% with no music condition and 25.66% with fast tempo music at the 15th min of recovery. HR decreased by 90.66% with slow tempo music while it decreased by 83.95% with no music condition and 88.32% with fast music tempo. Eliakim et al. (2012) stated no effect of music on HR and lactate removal during recovery following an exhaustion exercise but highlighted a faster lactate removal with music (28.1%) compared to no music condition (22.8%). Moreover, lactate removal increases with music for 12-15 min (15). Tan et al. (2014) stated that listening to sedative music for 15 min after an exercise did not enhance recovery in HR compared to no music (34). Karageorghis et al. (2018) suggested that slow tempo and sedative music enhance recovery following an exhaustive exercise in terms of hemodynamic (blood pressure and HR) parameters in spite of a clear explanation (35). Knight and Richard

(2001) indicated that sedative music hampersincrease in subjective anxiety, systolic blood pressure and HR (36). According to literature, music decreases HR by increasing vagal activity and decreasing sympathetic activity (37) while sedative music is thought to lead to fast recovery because it indirectly affects autonomous system by activating hormones that decreases stress by affecting pituitary part of the brain (16). Some studies highlighted that music solely cannot enhance recovery in healthy subjects although it enhance recovery in HR and systolic blood pressure after aerobic exercise (38). In contrast to Gomes et al. (2018), Savitha et al. (2010) stated that slow tempo music during recovery decreased systolic and diastolic blood pressure and HR faster and concluded that sedative music is a very useful tool to enhance recovery after exercise (39). In our study, slow tempo music did not affect recovery in systolic and diastolic blood pressure and HR but it significantly enhanced rate of lactate removal.

Conclusion

Currently many studies have been conducted in sport sciences to increase performance and enhance recovery during/after exercise. The importance of music increases in exercise to create an ergogenic effect on athletes in terms of psychologically, physiologically and physically. In this study, it was observed that fast tempo music increased running time which is a sign of endurance compared to slow tempo music and no music. Slow tempo music was observed to lead blood lactate and HR to turn normal values faster. As a result, it can be concluded that fast music can be used to motivate, activate athletes to increase endurance and provide an ergogenic aid while slow tempo music can be advised to enhance recovery after exercise.

Conflict of interest: No potential conflict of interest relevant to this article was reported by the authors

References

- Bally K, Compbell D, Chesnick K, Tranmer JE. Effect of patient-controlled music therapy during coronary angiograph on procedual pain and distress syndrome. Critical Care Nurse 2003; 23: 50-57.
- Uygar M, Korhan AE. Yoğun bakım hastalarında müzik terapinin ağrı ve anksiyete üzerine etkisi. Ağrı 2011; 23:

139-146.

- Kim DS, Park YG, Choi JH et al. Effects of music therapy on mood in stroke patients. Yonsei Medical Journal 2011; 52: 977-981.
- Altenmüller E, Schlaug G. Music, brain, and health: Exploring biological foundations of music's health effects. Oxford: Oxford University Press. Music, Health and Wellbeing 2012; 11-24.
- 5. Ovayolu N, Ucan O, Pehlivan S et al. Listening to Turkish classical music decreases patients' anxiety, pain, dissatisfaction and the dose of sedative and analgesic drugs during colonoscopy: a prospective randomized controlled trial. World Journal of Gastroenterology: WJG 2006;12:7532-7536.
- Gutgsell KJ, Schluchter M, Margevicius S, et al. Music therapy reduces pain in palliative care patients: a randomized controlled trial. Journal of Pain and Symptom Management 2013;45:822-831.
- Jimenez-Jimenez M, Garcia-Escalona A, Martin-Lopez A, De Vera-Vera R, De Haro J. Intraoperative stress and anxiety reduction with music therapy: A controlled randomized clinical trial of efficacy and safety. Journal of Vascular Nursing 2013; 31:101-106.
- Ottaviani S, Jean-Luc B, Thomas B, Pascal R. Effect of music on anxiety and pain during joint lavage for knee osteoarthritis. Clinical Rheumatology 2012;3:531.
- Erdal G. Sporda performansın arttırılmasında müziğin etkisi; 2005. Available from: (http://www.muzikegitimcileri. net/bilimsel/bildiri/G-Erdal_2.html). Access Date: 22/04/ 2018.
- Chtourou H, Jarraya M, Aloui A, Hammouda O, Souissi N. The effects of music during warm-up on anaerobic performances of young sprinters. Science & Sports 2012; 27:85-88.
- 11. Köse B. Does Motivational Music Influence Maximal Bench Press Strength and Strength Endurance?. Asian Journal of Education and Training 2018: 4;197-200.
- Thakur AM, Yardi SS. Effect of different types of music on exercise performance in normal individuals. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 2013; 57: 448-45.
- Karageorghis CI, Priest DL. Music in the exercise domain: a review and synthesis (part I-A). International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2012;5:44–66.
- Karageorghis CI, Priest DL. Music in the exercise domain: a review and synthesis (part II-B). International Review of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2012;5:67–84.
- Eliakim M, Bodner E, Eliakim A, Nemet D, Meckel Y. Effect of motivational music on lactate levels during recovery from intense exercise. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research 2012;26:80–86
- Köse B. Müziğin Aerobik ve anaerobik performansa etkisinin incelenmesi. Ankara Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü (Doctorate Thesis). Ankara. 2017.
- Morata T, Johnson R. These go to 11: but don't go louder.Available from: http://blogs.cdc.gov/niosh-scienceblog/2011/01/25/music. Access Date: 13.05.2018.
- 18. Karageorghis C, Jones L, Priest D et al. Revisiting the rela-

tionship between exercise heart rate and music tempo preference . Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport 2011; 82: 274 - 284 .

- Borg G . Borg's perceived exertion and pain scales. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics 1998.
- Heyward VH, Gibson AL. Advenced Fitness Assessment and Exercise Prescription. 7nd. Edition. Human Kinetics.2014.
- Karageorghis CI, Mouzouries DA, Priest DL, Sasso TA, Morrish DJ, Walley CL. Psychophysical and ergogenic effects of synchronous music during treadmill walking. Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology 2009; 31: 18-36.
- 22. Eliakim M, Meckel Y, Gotlieb R, Nemet D, Eliakim A. Motivational music and repeated sprint ability in junior basketball players. Acta Kinesiologiae Universitatis Tartuensis 2012; 18: 29-38.
- 23. Tenenbaum G, Lidor R, Lavyan M et al. The effect of music type on running perseverance and coping with effort sensations. Psychology of Sport and Exercise 2004; 5: 89-109.
- 24. Birnbaum L, Boone T, Huschle B. Cardiovascular responses to music tempo during steady state exercise. Journal of Exercise Physiology Online 2009; 12:1.
- Dyrlund AK, Wininger SR. The effects of music preference and exercise intensity on psychological variables. Journal of Music Therapy 2008; 2:114-134.
- 26. Yamashita S, Iwai K, Akimoto T, Sugawara J, Kono I. Effects of music during exercise on RPE, heart rate and the autonomic nervous system. The Journal of Sports Medicine and Physical Fitness 2006; 46: 425-430.
- Lopes-Silva JP, Lima-Silva AE, Bertuzzi R, Silva-Cavalcante MD. Influence of music on performance and psychophysiological responses during moderateintensity exercise preceded by fatigue. Physiology & Behavior 2015; 139: 274-280.
- Young SC, Sands CD, Jung AP. Effect of music in female college soccer players during a maximal treadmill test. International Journal of Fitness 2009; 5.
- Terry PC, Karageorghis CI, Saha AM, D'Auria S. Effects of synchronous music on treadmill running among elite triathletes. Journal of Science and Medicine in Sport 2012 15:52-57.
- Ghaderi M, Rahimi R, Azarbayjani MA. The effect of motivational and relaxation music on aerobic performance, rating perceived exertion and salivary cortisol in athlete males.

South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation 2009: 31: 29-38.

- Nakamura PM, Pereira G, Papini CB, Nakamura FY, Kokubun E. Effects of preferred and nonpreferred music on continuous cycling exercise performance. Perceptual and Motor Skills 2010; 110: 257-264.
- Schie NA, Stewart A, Becker P, Rogers GG. Effect of music on submaximal cycling. South African Journal of Sports Medicine 2008; 20:28-31.
- Zachary C, Maeda H. Effects of listening to preferential music on sex differences in endurance running performance. Perceptual & Motor Skills: Exercise & Sport 2015;2: 390-398.
- 34. Tan F, Tengah A, Nee LY, Fredericks S. A study of the effect of relaxing music on heart rate recovery after exercise among healthy students. Complementary Therapies in Clinical Practice 2014; 20: 114-117.
- 35. Karageorghis CI, Bruce AC, Pottratz ST, Stevens RC, Bigliassi M, Hamer M. Psychological and Psychophysiological Effects of Recuperative Music Post exercise. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise 2018; 50: 739-746.
- 36. Knight WE, Rickard NS. Relaxing music prevents stressinduced increases in subjective anxiety, systolic blood pressure and heart rate in healthy males and females. Journal of Music Therapy 2001; 38: 254-272.
- Gordan R, Gwathmey JK, Xie LH. Autonomic and endocrine control of cardiovascular function. World Journal of Cardiology 2015;7:204-14.
- Gomes RL, Vanderlei LCM, Garner DM, Marco RL, Gonzaga LA, Valenti VE. The effects of musical auditory stimulation on cardiorespiratory variables after aerobic exercise. Science & Sports 2018; 33: 221-227.
- Savitha D, Reddy NM, Rao C. Effect of different musical tempo on post-exercise recovery in young adults. Indian Journal of Physiology and Pharmacology 2010; 54: 32–36

Correspondence:

Phone: +905512491880

Bereket Köse

Şırnak University, Department of Physical Education and Sports Şırnak, Turkey

E-mail: bereket.kose@hotmail.com