ORIGINAL ARTICLE

General profile and job satisfaction of Turkish dietitians

Ayhan Dağ, Biriz Çakır, Fatma Nişancı Kılınç, Evrim Güngör Türkmen

Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Health Science, Cyprus International University, Lefkoşa, Northern Cyprus, E-mail:adag@ciu.edu.tr

Summary. Objective: The satisfaction is a complex concept involving individual's experiences and expectations, individual and social values, and job-related attitudes such as motivation and commitment. In this study, it was aimed to evaluate job satisfaction level of dietitians and to determine whether job satisfaction level differs with variables such as working year or work environment. Subject and methods: A questionnaire on demographic characteristics and occupational data, and Job Satisfaction Survey (JSS) were used to determine job satisfaction level. The questionnaire and JSS were sent to 815 dietitians who were member of Turkish Dietetic Association (TDA). The study sample consisted to 227 dietitians. Higher scores in JSS were considered as greater job satisfaction. Data were analyzed by using SPSS for Windows version 16.0. Results: Mean age was 35.3±9.96 years. Of the respondents, 90.3% (n=205) were female; 35.72% had experience of 0-5 years; 18.9% had postgraduate degree; and 5.3% had doctor of philosophy degree. In JSS, mean score was found to be 76.2±10.93 among respondents. When working year and JSS score were compared, highest mean JSS score was found in dietitians with working year≥30 years; followed by those with 21-30 years and those with 0-5 years. The difference between study groups were found to be significant (p<0.05). It was found that JSS cores were comparable between dietitians working or not working in outpatient clinic; however, daily number of patients was associated to job satisfaction level as being lower JSS score by higher patient volume (p<0.05). It was found that there were significant correlations between JSS score and attendance to occupational conference, congress, seminar or training (p<0.05). Higher satisfaction in work environment resulted in higher JSS scores. *Conclusions:* It was concluded that current problems should be identified and corrected to improve job satisfaction and that are comprehensive studies are needed in this field.

Key words: dietitian, job satisfaction, job satisfaction scale

Introduction

Individuals are in need of having a job, doing a work and being active in social life since career is the strongest attachment between human and life. Although occupation is defined as all activities aiming financial gain, it is associated to satisfaction of social and psychological needs in addition to fulfillment of physiological needs. Professional life is an indispensible part of our family and social life. The chronic stress and discomfort in professional life will have impact on other areas of life. In individuals, life satisfaction can be affected by many

variables including working environment, interpersonal relationship and job satisfaction. Moreover, job satisfaction may also alter depending on these variables. The concept of life satisfaction describes condition or consequence resulted from comparison between expectations and gains of an individual. It is defined as positive consideration of whole life based on self-defined criteria of an individual. Many factors such as income or additional benefits, nature of job, motivation by promotion, cooperation, working environment and job condition can affect job satisfaction (1). As a research field in medical sciences, the nutrition has potential to play an

essential role in public health by environmental-analytic research. Thus, integral role of dietitians can be clarified as required. There are several reasons that management dietitians may or may not be satisfied with their jobs. (2,3). In this study, it was aimed to evaluate job satisfaction level of dietitians and to determine whether job satisfaction level differs with variables such as working year or work environment.

Material and Methods

It was aimed to conduct this study on 815 dietitians who were members of Turkish Dietetics Association (TDA); however, only 227 dietitians responded to survey, comprising study sample. Demographic and occupational data sheet was used to determine demographic and occupational characteristics. In addition, the Job Satisfaction Scale (JSS) was used to determine level of job satisfaction. Higher scores in JSS were considered as greater job satisfaction. Data were analyzed by using SPSS for Windows version 16.0.

Results

Table 1 summarized demographic characteristics of study population. The study included 227 subjects. Of

Table 1: Distribution of participants according to general characteristics

	Participa	Participants (n:227)		
	n	%		
Gender				
Male	22	9.7		
Female	205	90.3		
Graduation				
Graduate	172	75.8		
Postgraduate	43	18.9		
Doctorate	12	5.3		
Professional experience				
0-5 years	81	35.7		
6-10 years	42	18.5		
11-20 years	49	21.6		
21-30 years	44	19.4		
>30 years	11	4.8		

them 90.3% were female while 9.7 were male. Majority of subjects (75.8%) had graduate degree while 24.2% had postgraduate degree. When working years were assessed, it was found that majority of subjects (35.7%) had experience of 0-5 years while 21.6% had experience of 11-20 years and 19.4% had experience 25-30 years.

Table 2 summarizes characteristics of work environment in study population. It was found that 82.9%

Table 2: Distribution of participants according to facilities worked

	Participants (n:227)		
	n	%	
Facility			
Community hospital	188	82.9	
Private hospital			
Public university hospital			
Private university hospital			
Self-employment in nutritional counseling facility	9	4.0	
Administrative organizations, food factory	12	5.3	
Public health agency	12	5.3	
Community health center			
Food industry	1	0.4	
Nursing home	1	0.4	
Sport center	1	0.4	
Beauty center	2	0.9	
Automotive industry	1	0.4	
Number of dietitians (X±SS)	5.9±5.01		
Number of patients undergoing daily of	liet therapy		
10-20	84	48.3	
21-40	56	32.2	
41-60	17	9.8	
>60	17	9.8	
Number of clinics responsible			
1	7	5.1	
2-3	56	40.9	
4-5	25	18.2	
>5	49	35.8	
Inpatient workload (number of patient	ts)		
≤20	34	24.8	
21-50	63	46.0	
51-100	31	22.6	
101-150	4	2.9	
>150	5	3.6	

of subjects were working in community hospitals, academic hospitals, and private hospitals; that 4% had his/her own nutritional counseling facility; that 5.3% were working in public health centers; and that 5.3% were working in catering industry. It was also found that 48.3% of dietitians provided outpatient care to 10-20 patients per day while 32.2% provided outpatient care to 21-40 patients per day. In addition, it was found that 40.9% of dietitians were responsible from 2-3 wards while 35.8% from ≥5 wards and majority of dietitians (46.0%) provided inpatient care to 21-50 patients per day.

Table 3 summarizes information regarding professional development of dietitians. It was found that frequency of attendance to congress and conference was every 2 or 3 years. In addition, it was found that 63.9% of dietitians occasionally followed current literature for professional development.

When administrative satisfaction was assessed in facilities where dietitians were employed, it was found that 76.2% of them were satisfied and 44.9% of them were appreciated in their facility (Table 4).

No significant difference was detected in mean JSS scores of dietitians according to gender (p>0.05); however, a significant association was found between JSS score and working years (p<0.05). It was found that job satisfaction was improved by advancing experience. It was also found that lower number of daily patients was associated to higher JSS score (Table 5 and 6).

Discussion

This study was conducted on 227 dietitians in order to assess factors affecting job satisfaction. Majority of participants (90.3%) were female. Of subjects, 75.8% had graduate degree while 24.2% had postgraduate degree. It was found that 82.0% of subjects were working in community hospitals, academic hospitals, and private hospitals; that 4% had his/her own nutritional counseling facility; that 5.3% were working in public health centers; and that 5.3% were working in catering industry.

In a survey on 851 dietitians, it was reported that 96% of subjects were female and 55% had graduate degree. Authors reported that 51% of registered dieti-

Table 3: Distribution of participants according to professional development characteristics

	Participai	Participants (n:227)			
	n	%			
Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as					
congress, seminar, conference or t	raining				
Always	9	4.0			
Often (≥3 annually)	66	29.1			
Sometimes (every 2-3 years)	103	45.4			
Rare	45	19.8			
Never	4	1.7			
National/International publication	ons				
I follow regularly	34	15.0			
I follow occasionally	145	63.9			
I do not follow	48	21.1			

Table 4: Distribution of dietitians according to satisfaction in their facility

	Participants (n:227)		
	n	%	
Do you think whether your	director is f	air in work	
distribution?			
Yes	173	76.2	
No	54	23.8	
Do you think whether your direct	or appreciate y	your work?	
Yes	102	44.9	
No	37	16.3	
Partially	88	38.8	
Do you think whether your work i	s respected pro	perly by your	
coworkers?			
Yes	100	44.1	
No	34	15.0	
Partially	93 41.0		
Are you informed about news or	changes in yo	our facility by	
administrative office?			
Yes	113	49.8	
No	20	8.8	
Sometimes	94	41.4	

Table 5: The mean Job Satisfaction Scale (JSS) scores according to gender

Participant					
	M	ale	Fem	ale	
Mean JSS score	X	SD	X	SD	p
	77.3	11.05	76.1	10.93	0.61
Mean JSS Score			X±SS		
			76.2±10.93	3	

Table 6: The Job Satisfaction Scale scores according to working condition, personal and professional characteristics of participant

0-5 years 77.1 10.57 0.04* 6-10 years 73.0 10.93 11-20 years 74.7 11.60 21-30 years 77.8 10.30 >30 years 82.3 10.54 Graduation Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 1.1 41-60 72.3 8.31 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05		Me	Mean JSS Score		
0-5 years 77.1 10.57 0.04* 6-10 years 73.0 10.93 11-20 years 74.7 11.60 21-30 years 77.8 10.30 >30 years 82.3 10.54 Graduation Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 21-40 74.6 11.51 11.60 11.51 11.61 14-60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 8.31 -56 9.55 -5		X	SD	р	
6-10 years 73.0 10.93 11-20 years 74.7 11.60 21-30 years 77.8 10.30 >30 years 82.3 10.54 Graduation Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1	Professional experience				
11-20 years 74.7 11.60 21-30 years 77.8 10.30 >30 years 82.3 10.54 Graduation Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 V-5 75.6 9.32 Impatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤23 76.5 9.55 T4.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Impatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	0-5 years	77.1	10.57	0.04*	
21-30 years 82.3 10.30 >30 years 82.3 10.54 Graduation Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≥20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≥20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	6-10 years	73.0	10.93		
San years San San	11-20 years	74.7	11.60		
Graduation Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 5-5 4-5 74.0 12.05 5-5 75.6 9.32 10.69 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.20 70.0 10.42 70.0 10.42 70.0 10.42 70.0 10.42 70.0 10.47 70.0 10.47 70.0 14.76 70.0 14.76 70.0 14.76 70.0 14.76 70.0 14.76 70.0 70.0 14.76 70.0 70.0 70.0 70.0<	21-30 years	77.8	10.30		
Graduate 75.5 75.57 0.10 Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 ->60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 - 4-5 74.0 12.05 -> >5 75.6 9.32	>30 years	82.3	10.54		
Postgraduate 77.2 77.25 Doctorate 82.1 82.16 Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Graduation				
Doctorate 82.1 82.16	Graduate	75.5	75.57	0.10	
Outpatient work load (number of patients per day) 10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55	Postgraduate	77.2	77.25		
10-20 77.9 10.31 0.04* 21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Doctorate	82.1	82.16		
21-40 74.6 11.51 41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Outpatient work load (number of	f patients p	er day)		
41-60 72.3 8.31 >60 72.2 9.13 Number of wards in charge 1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32	10-20	77.9	10.31	0.04*	
Number of wards in charge 1	21-40	74.6	11.51		
Number of wards in charge 1	41-60	72.3	8.31		
1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16	>60	72.2	9.13		
1 78.0 9.01 0.69 2-3 76.5 9.55 4-5 74.0 12.05 >5 75.6 9.32 Inpatient workload (number of patients per day) ≤20 74.7 10.53 0.42 21-50 76.5 10.42 10.42 51-100 75.2 7.90 101-150 70.0 14.76 >150 81.6 5.85 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16	Number of wards in charge				
Table Tab	1	78.0	9.01	0.69	
No No No No No No No No	2-3	76.5	9.55		
Second Patients per day Second Patients S	4-5	74.0	12.05		
\$20	>5	75.6	9.32		
\$20	Inpatient workload (number of p	atients per	day)		
Total				0.42	
101-150	21-50	76.5	10.42		
>150 81.6 5.85 Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	51-100	75.2	7.90		
Frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	101-150	70.0	14.76		
congress, seminar, conference or training Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	>150	81.6	5.85		
Always 88.1 6.37 0.00* Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Frequency of attendance to profe	ssional me	etings su	ch as	
Often (≥3 per year) 80.8 7.65 Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51					
Sometimes (every 2-3 years) 74.1 10.74 Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Always	88.1	6.37	0.00*	
Rarely 73.2 12.70 Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 0.80 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Often (≥3 per year)	80.8	7.65		
Never 61.3 10.40 Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Sometimes (every 2-3 years)	74.1	10.74		
Fair directors regarding work distribution Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Rarely	73.2	12.70		
Yes 76.5 10.59 0.80 No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Never	61.3	10.40		
No 73.4 10.61 Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Fair directors regarding work dist	tribution			
Appreciation by director Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Yes	76.5	10.59	0.80	
Yes 79.9 9.11 0.00* No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	No	73.4	10.61		
No 73.9 9.38 Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Appreciation by director				
Partially 72.0 11.16 Respect to work by coworkers 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Yes	79.9	9.11	0.00*	
Respect to work by coworkers Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	No	73.9	9.38		
Yes 80.3 8.63 0.00* No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Partially	72.0	11.16		
No 73.1 12.19 Partially 72.4 10.51	Respect to work by coworkers				
Partially 72.4 10.51	Yes	80.3	8.63	0.00*	
Partially 72.4 10.51	No	73.1	12.19		
*p<0.05	Partially				
	*p<0.05				

tians (RDs) were ≥50 years old while 43% had professional experience of ≥25 years but majority had professional experience of <10 years. In that study, there were 318 (32%) clinical nutrition manager or chief clinical dietitians, 287 (29%) directors, 61 (7%) assistant directors, 106(11%) managers and 33 (4%) supervisors (3). In another study, 37.5% of participants (n=36) reported that they consider themselves as inpatient clinical dietitians including general, pediatrics or neonatal, nutrition support, long-term care or others while 15.6% (n=15) reported that they consider themselves as outpatient clinical dietitian (4).

According to Rogers (2008), 55% of RDs work as clinicians while 12% are employed in the management of food service organizations, 11% are in the field of community nutrition and 12% continue their careers as trainer, consultant or entrepreneur. In total, only 39% of RDs are working in healthcare facility. In addition, vast majority of dietetic practitioners are female and mean age is 46 years with 16 years of professional experience. Rogers (2008) also reported that 41% of all practitioners have professional experience of ≥20 years whereas 14% had <20 years (5).

In a survey on 203 dietitians, it was reported that 90% of respondents were women whereas 5% were men. Of participants, 42% were 35-44 years old. It was found that 166 subjects were RD while all subjects were licensed dietitians. Authors reported that 48% of subjects had graduate degree whereas 35% also had postgraduate degree. It was reported that 73% of dietitians worked in traditional setting while 17% in non-traditional settings (6).

In our study, it was found that 35.7% of respondents had professional experience of 0-5 years while 24.2% had professional experience of ≥30 years. For dietitians, the knowledge and skills gained in the school may be effective to maintain desired behavior during work life for longer periods (7) However, these are enhanced during professional career. Currently, organizations are implementing effective human development strategies to improve organizational performance. It has been emphasized that job satisfaction, strategic planning and various other parameters are effective for this purpose (8).

Stone et al. investigated association between job satisfaction and motivation factors among dietitians

during early periods of career. In the study, it was found that RDs had a high level of professional identification defined by defending their profession among others. In addition, it was found that professional challenges and opportunity to serve other were most satisfactory factors while financial reward was least satisfactory factor (9)

In our study, it was reported that the frequency of attendance to professional meetings such as congress, symposium was every 2-3 years. The proportion of dietitians who regularly follow literature was only 15% while the proportion of those who occasionally follow literature was 63.9%.

It is thought that job satisfaction can be influenced by many important parameters such as work nature, team work, cooperation and competition among employees. However, job satisfaction has been increased by authorities focusing on work condition and salary (10).

The mean JSS score was found to be 76.2±10.93 in the study population and no significant difference was found between male and female participants (p>0.05). when JSS scores were compared between dietitians with graduate and postgraduate degree, it was found that mean JSS score was higher among dietitians with postgraduate degree but the difference was not statistically significant (p>0.05).

In a survey on 550 RDNs from 7 dietetic practice groups, Byham-Gray et al.(11) investigated research involvement. Authors found that there was a strong correlation between research score and factors including education level, attendance to research training and frequency of professional reading. It was found that RDNs with doctorates scored highest points while those with bachelor's degree scored lowest point among all groups. In that study, it was shown that entry-level RDs with master's degree reported significantly higher level of job satisfaction. Although there are many studies focusing of job satisfaction in RDNs, there is no study comparing job satisfaction among entry-level RDNs with or without master's degree.

In our study, the JSS score was increased by advancing years of work and a significant correlation was found between JSS score and working year. In addition, JSS score was decreased by increasing daily patient volume, indicating a significant association (p<0.05). In the literature, many studies have shown

discomfort and lack of confidence in research skills in majority of dietitians (12,13).

In our study, it was found that frequent attendance to professional meetings such as congress, conference or seminars increased mean JSS score. This increase was found to be statistically significant (p<0.05). In addition, it was also found that appreciation of work by directors and respect by other employees significantly increased JSS score (p<0.05). In addition, appreciation of their work by directors and other employees significantly increased JSS score (p<0.05).

The studies on job satisfaction among RDs are scarce worldwide very poorly researched (14). Ganzach et al. suggested that positive effect of education on job satisfaction may be associated to securing more rewarding jobs by highly educated individuals, resulting in more satisfaction from job. On the other hand, negative effect may be associated to expectations from job rewards which may contribute to increase education level (5).

In a study, it was reported that overall satisfaction scores were significantly lower in clinical nutrition managers when compared to district managers or directors (3). In South Africa, job satisfaction level from their current employment was only mild (65.7%). This was due to poor salaries, lack of promotion and poor perception of professional image. It was found that there were significant positive correlations between age, experience, specialty, working hours and work location (15).

In a study on job satisfaction in South Carolina, no significant difference was detected regarding job satisfaction between dietitians working fulltime or part time. Strategies to improve financial rewards and promotions may be primary concern to improve job satisfaction (16).

In conclusion, satisfaction from work, coworkers and directors makes significant contribution to overall satisfaction. It has been shown that various factors including personal, interpersonal and organizational factors are associated to job satisfaction.

References

1. Bowen P, Cattell K. Job satisfaction of South African quantity surveyors. Engineering, Construction and Architectural

- Management 2008; 15 (3): 260-269.
- Aminpour A, Salar Kia N, Seddigh G. Nutrition therapy 2nd ed. 2001; 15-20.
- 3. Sauer K, Job satisfaction of dietitians with management responsibilities: an exploratory study supporting Ada's research priorities. Journal of the American Dietetic Association 2010 October; 110: (10): 1432-1440.
- Jorge AA, Butcher MF. Job satisfaction and Professional characteristics of Registered Dietitians. Top Clin Nutr 2016; 31(2):134-146.
- 5. Sauer KV. Job and career satisfaction of management dietitians. Kansas State University dissertation 2009: 3.
- Batista SM. Job satisfaction of dietitians in South Florida. Florida International University. 1999.FIU Electronic Theses and Dissertations. http://digitalcommons.fiu.edu/ etd/1432.
- 7. https://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/pdfplus/10.1108/ NFS-02-2015-0013
- 8. https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/64f0/45fcdaca797232367 9091047e603790fd269.pdf
- Stone PK, Vaden AG, Vaden RE. Dietitians in the early establishment stage of their careers. II. Correlates of career motivation and satisfaction. J Am Diet Assoc. 1981 Jul;79(1):37-44.
- 10. Ahmadi A, Ranjbar Zahedani M, Moazen M, Eftekhari MH, Keshavarzi S. Job Satisfaction of Dietetic Practitioners in Fars Province, Southern Iran, 2012. J Health Sci Surveillance Sys October 2014; 2 (4): 145-150.

- Byham Gray LD, Gilbride JA, Dixon LB, Stage FK. Predictors for research involvement among registered dietitians. J Am Diet Assoc. 2006 Dec;106(12):2008-15.
- Eck LH, Slawson DL, Williams R, Smith K, Harmon-Clayton K, Oliver D. A model for making outcomes research standard practice in dietetics. JAmDiet Assoc 1998;98:451-457.
- 13. Guyer LK, Rohf RR, Probart CK, Bobroff LB. Broadening the scope of dietetic practice

through research. Top Clin Nutr 1993;8(3):26-32.

- Mackenzie A. Job satisfaction of South African Registered Dietitian. Stellenbosch university. Master of nutrition thesis 2008.
- Visser J, Mackenzie A, Marais D. Job satisfaction of South African registered dietitians. S Afr J Clin Nutr 2012;25(3):112-119.
- Pless AM, Wolman G, Goodner H. Job Satisfaction of South Carolina Dietitians. Journal of the American Dietetic Association Volume 98, Issue 9, Supplement, September 1998: A26. poster session

Correspondence:

Ayhan Dağ

Department of Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Health Science, Cyprus International University, Lefkoşa, Northern Cyprus

E-mail: adag@ciu.edu.tr