ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Association between intakes of macro- and micro- nutrients and serum lipid profiles among Jordanian adults: a preliminary study

Reema Tayyem¹, Nawal S. Hijjawi², Narmeen Al-Awwad³, Nisreen A. Nimer⁴, Lana M. Agraib¹, Sabika S. Allehdan¹, Ali M. Al-Radaideh⁵

¹Department of Nutrition and Food Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan - E-mail: r_tayyem@yahoo.com; ²Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan; ³Department of Clinical Nutrition and Dietetics, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan; ⁴Department of Chemistry, College of Sciences and Health Professions, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio, USA; ⁵Department of Medical Imaging, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, The Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan

Summary. Dyslipidemia is one of the most important risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Diet is considered as a major contributor for developing dyslipidemia. This study aimed to assess the intakes of macro- and micro-nutrients with serum lipid profile in disease-free adults. A convenient sample of 167 adults was recruited in this cross-sectional study. Serum lipid profile including total cholesterol, triglycerides (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol LDL-C and high-density lipoprotein cholesterol HDL-C were measured. Nutrients and food groups' consumption was assessed using a validated quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire. The findings revealed different significant differences between the levels of total energy intake and macronutrients' contribution to energy with serum lipid profiles. Cholesterol serum level was significantly higher among individuals with the highest energy intake (P=0.03), and was significantly lower with highest energy intake from trans-fatty acids (P=0.02). HDL-C tertiles were significantly associated with of percentage of energy from protein (P=0.04). Serum total cholesterol and LDL-C levels were significantly different with vitamin K intake levels (P=0.03). The intake of meat, fish, eggs, and beans was significantly different among HDL-C tertiles. (P=0.04). Many possible associations between Jordanian diet components and serum lipids were reported which indicates that diet is an important factor that should be considered when preventive or treatment strategies are implemented.

Key words: macronutrients, micronutrients, cholesterol, triglycerides (TG), low-density lipoprotein cholesterol LDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol HDL-C

Introduction

Dyslipidemia is defined as an abnormal lipid metabolism including increased levels of total cholesterol, triglycerides (TG), and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and/or decreased levels of high-density lipoprotein cholesterol (HDL-C) (1). Dyslipidemia is a major risk factor for developing cardiovascular dis-

ease -the first cause of mortality worldwide (2, 3). An estimated 17.7 million people died from cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) in 2015, representing 31% of all global deaths (4). The prevalence of dyslipidemia among Jordanian adults in 2010 was high with 48.8% had high cholesterol, 40.7% had high LDL-C, 40.1% had low HDL-C, while 43.6% had high TG concentrations (5).

The underlying risk factors suggested for dyslipidemia are divided as non-modifiable (e.g., age, gender and genetics), and modifiable (e.g., diet, smoking, physical activity, and stress) (6). Diet plays a key role in the prevention and management of dyslipidemia.1 Previous studies focused on the relationship between dietary fat and cholesterol intake and serum lipids (7-9). Dietary cholesterol is a highly controversial nutrient since it increases serum cholesterol, especially among individuals who are not able to maintain plasma cholesterol homeostasis by reducing its absorption in the small intestine or by suppressing its endogenous synthesis (10). In contrast, studies conducted in young adults (11, 12) and elderly individuals (13), who were assigned to consume additional 640 mg of cholesterol for (4) weeks, found that hyper-responders raise both LDL-C and HDL-C thus the LDL-C/HDL-C ratio is maintained. In addition, those individuals who were not hyper-responders, the LDL-C/HDL-C is maintained since no significant raises in plasma cholesterol were shown (13).

Several epidemiological studies revealed the effectiveness of dietary modifications in preventing and reducing the risk of cardiovascular diseases. For example, low level of protein rich diet in saturated fat has been suggested to reduce the levels of cholesterol, TG, and LDL-C in comparison to a diet that is rich in carbohydrate and unsaturated fat (14). In addition, high intake of polyunsaturated fatty acids was negatively associated with cholesterol and LDL-C concentrations (15), but positively associated with HDL-C concentrations (16). While, excessive intake of total carbohydrates exerts a lowering effect on HDL-C concentration (14, 17), it has been reported to increase TG concentration in adults (18). Conversely, diets enriched in monounsaturated fatty acids (19-21) or both monounsaturated fatty acids and polyunsaturated fatty acids (22) showed no significant difference in serum cholesterol and LDL-C concentrations. However, consumption of fruits and vegetables was found to be associated with low LDL-C levels (23). Also, increased consumption of milk and other dairy products showed a protective effect against the increase in serum TG and decrease in HDL-C (24).

The equivocal results regarding the association between dietary factors and serum lipids may be due to the influence of several confounding factors which could have been inconsistently taken into account. Genetic differences arise among the factors that may cause variation in serum lipid levels through various gene loci in which a direct or indirect involvement in lipid metabolism and/or transport may take place (25, 26). Gender-specific differences may influence serum lipids; women have higher levels of cholesterol and HDL-C, while men have higher LDL-C levels. HDL-C and LDL-C are also influenced by age (27) and body mass index (BMI) (28). The physical activity level mostly increases HDL-C, but findings for cholesterol and LDL-C are less consistent (29). Although the examination of the dietary factors associated with lipid profiles is essential to prevent dyslipidemia, cardiovascular diseases, type 2 diabetes and another chronic disease; the effects of diet on lipid profiles are not yet fully understood. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to investigate the differences in macro and micro-nutrient intake and serum lipids in apparently healthy Jordanian adults.

Methods

Study design and participants

A total number of 167 (83 males and 84 females) disease-free Jordanian volunteers (students and employees), aged 18-51 years were recruited conveniently from the King Hussein Medical Center (KHMC) during the period of October 2014 to July 2015. Based on an alpha probability of 0.05 and power of 0.8 the sample size was calculated. Eligibility criteria to be enrolled in the study were: being Jordanian and above 18 years old. Pregnant and lactating women and individuals with eating disorders, major surgeries or any chronic diseases were excluded from this study. A consent form was obtained from each participant. The study protocol was approved by the Jordanian Royal Medical Services (JRMS) ethics committee.

Measurement of lipid profiles

Blood samples were drawn from participants after overnight fasting by a specialized medical laboratory technician. Serum samples were centrifuged and separated from the whole blood and stored at -80°C until

further analysis. Fasting blood lipid profiles, including total cholesterol, LDL-C, HDL-C, and TG levels were measured by Jenway 6305 UV/Visible Spectrophotometer, USA using commercially available Enzymatic kits (TECO DIAGNOSTICS, USA).

Assessment of nutrient intake

A validated quantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) was used for dietary assessment (30). The FFQ was completed by a trained dietitian through face-to-face interviews. Participants were asked how frequently, on average, during the past year they had consumed one standard serving of specific food items in nine categories (<1/month, 2-3/month, 1-2/week, 3-4/week, 5-6/week, 1/day, 2-3/day, 4-5/day, or 6/ day). Food lists in the modified FFQ questions were classified based on types of food: 8 items of cereals; 9 items of milk and dairy products; 21 items of fruits and juices; 21 items of vegetables; 16 items of meat such as red meat (lamb and beef), chicken, fish, cold meat, and others; 4 items of beans; 4 items of soups and sauces; 5 items of drinks; 9 items of snacks and sweets; and 14 items of herbs and spices (30). Portion size estimation was carried out using food models and standard measuring tools. Dietary intakes were analyzed using dietary analysis software (ESHA Food Processor SQL version 10.1.1; ESHA, Salem, OR, USA) with additional data on foods consumed in Jordan (31).

Physical activity level

7-Day physical activity recall (PAR) is a questionnaire that focuses on a participant's recall of time spent doing the physical activity over a seven-days period (32). The validated PAR questionnaire was used to measure physical activity level. Our study participants were asked to respond to the PAR questions with an emphasis on their personal exercise pattern and behavior during the noted time period. Physical activity level was calculated according to the Sallis et al., (1985) protocol (32).

Statistical analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS statistical package version 20. Energy, macronutrients and micronutrients were presented as a mean ± standard error. Cholesterol, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C were grouped

into tertiles. Post-hoc Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to assess the impact of energy, macronutrients and micronutrients intake on serum lipid profiles after adjustment for age, sex, BMI, energy intake, physical activity and smoking. Different letters denote significant differences among the tertiles. *P*-values<0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Participants' demographic and anthropometric data, the concentration of serum cholesterol, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C were published previously in another publication (33). They revealed that serum levels of IL-6, cholesterol, LDL and HDL were significantly (*P*<0.05) greater in obese participants than those reported for overweight and normal body weight participants. TG serum concentration was the only biochemical variable which was significantly higher (*P*<0.05) in overweight and obese participants when compared with normal body weight participants. However, the values of the all variables were within the normal levels as shown in table 1.

Table 2 shows the possible associations between participants' daily total energy intake and macronutrients' contribution to energy intake with serum lipid profiles. Cholesterol serum level was the only lipid profile which was significantly higher among individuals with the highest total energy intake (P=0.03), and was significantly lower among individuals with highest energy intake from trans-fatty acids (P=0.02). Similarly, HDL-C serum concentration was the only lipid profile that was significantly different with energy intake from protein (P=0.04). There was no significant relationship between energy intake from carbohydrate, fat and saturated fat across all tertiles for serum cholesterol, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C.

Table 3 shows participants' daily intake of different macronutrients through different tertiles of serum cholesterol, TG, HDL-C, and LDL-C. Individuals with the lowest level of serum cholesterol reported increased consumption of trans fatty acids as compared to the middle and highest level of serum cholesterol (*P*=0.02).

There was no statistically significant difference between fat soluble and water soluble vitamins as well

| Table 1. Anthropometric and biochemical parameters of the study sample based on BMF. |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

| Parameter | | Normal | | | Overweight | | | Obese | | |
|---------------------------|----|--------|-----|----|--------------------|------|----|--------------------|------|-------|
| | N | Mean | SEM | N | Mean | SEM | N | Mean | SEM | |
| Total Cholesterol (mg/ml) | 68 | 149.5ª | 3.5 | 40 | 158.8ª | 5.1 | 42 | 171.5 ^b | 5.9 | 0.005 |
| TG (mg/ml) | 68 | 84.2ª | 5.1 | 40 | 109.7 ^b | 11.4 | 42 | 125.9 ^b | 10.2 | 0.008 |
| HDL (mg/ml) | 68 | 44.5ª | 1.3 | 40 | 40.5° | 1.2 | 42 | 38.8 ^b | 1.3 | 0.022 |
| LDL (mg/ml) | 68 | 101.0ª | 2.9 | 40 | 109.9ª | 3.7 | 42 | 123.1 ь | 5.5 | 0.001 |

S Al-Radaideh et al, 2016

Abbreviations: SEM - standard error of mean; BMI - body mass index; TG - triglycerides; HDL- high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; LDL

Table 2 - Percentages of energy intakes and distribution across different tertiles of cholesterol, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C

| Nutrients | | Serum cholesterol | | TG | | HDL-C | | LDL-C | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------|--------------|---------|-----------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------|
| | | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | <i>P</i> -value |
| Energy (kcal) | T_1 | 2985.0±183.6ab | | 2838.5±159.4 | | 2997.9±156.2 | | 2930.8±180.5 | |
| | T_2 | 2615.1±151.9a | 0.03 | 2853.2±172.9 | 0.36 | 3019.5±201.2 | .67 | 2806.6±156.2 | 0.47 |
| | T_3 | 3248.1±175.1 ^b | | 3151.9±188.2 | | 2815.2±167.1 | | 3106.6±184.3 | |
| Percent Energy | T ₁ | 61.2±1.2 | | 61.5±1.1 | | 62.1±1.2 | | 61.8±1.2 | |
| from | T_2 | 63.4±1.3 | 0.29 | 62.6±1.4 | 0.29 | 63.2±1.5 | 0.78 | 62.1±1.4 | 0.24 |
| Carbohydrates (%) | T_3 | 63.9±1.4 | | 64.4±1.4 | | 63.3±1.3 | | 64.7±1.3 | |
| Percent Energy | T_1 | 29.7±1.1 | | 29.8±0.9 | | 28.8±0.9 | | 29.37±1.06 | |
| from Fats (%) | T_2 | 28.5±1.1 | 0.30 | 28.91±1.2 | 0.11 | 28.9±1.2 | 0.76 | 29.16±1.05 | 0.21 |
| ` , | T_3 | 27.4±1.1 | | 26.7±1.0 | | 27.8±1.1 | | 26.97±1.08 | |
| Percent Energy | | | | | | | | | |
| from Protein (%) | T_1 | 12.2±0.4 | 0.76 | 12.1±0.3 | 0.71 | 12.6±0.4 ^b | 0.04 | 11.9±0.4 | 0.37 |
| | T_2 | 11.9±0.3 | | 11.9±0.4 | | 11.3±0.4 ^a | | 12.5±0.4 | |
| | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$ | 12.2±0.4 | | 12.3±0.4 | | 12.3±0.3 ^b | | 11.9±0.3 | |
| Saturated Fatty | T_1 | 292.5±14.9 | 0.90 | 300.3±12.8 | 0.87 | 286.1±12.6 | 0.12 | 291.9±14.4 | 0.91 |
| Acids. Kcal | T_2 | 304.4±14.5 | | 303.7±14.5 | | 328.9±25.8 | | 300.7±14.8 | |
| | T_3 | 297.6±23.1 | | 290.7±24.4 | | 281.6±12.6 | | 302.1±23.2 | |
| Trans-Fatty | T_1 | 37.1±4.8 ^b | 0.02 | 30.7±3.1 | 0.82 | 31.9±4.4 | 0.54 | 35.0±4.9 | 0.12 |
| Acids. kcal | T_2 | 23.5±2.3ª | | 27.5±3.4 | | 27.9±3.3 | | 25.1±2.5 | |
| | T_3 | 26.6±3.0 ^a | | 28.7±4.3 | | 26.6±2.7 | | 27.0±3.0 | |

⁻ Statistical significant difference (P< 0.050)

as calcium, copper, iron and zinc intake through all tertiles of the analyzed serum lipid profiles, except for total cholesterol and LDL-C levels, which were positively affected by vitamin K intake (P=0.03) (Table 4).

Table 5 presents the differences in food groups' intake according to serum lipid levels. The highest intake of meat, fish, eggs, and beans was inversely affected by HDL-C (*P*=0.04). No significant differences

⁻ low-density lipoprotein cholesterol.

* p<0.05: those were significantly different based on LSD analysis are labeled with different letters.

 $^{- \,} Different \,\, letters \,\, to \,\, denote \,\, significant \,\, differences \,\, among \,\, the \,\, tertiles.$

T stands for Tertile.

Table 3 - Mean±SEM for adjusted macronutrients intake in different tertiles of cholesterol, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C

| Nutrients | | Serum cholesterol | | TG | TG | | C | LDL-C | | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| | | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | <i>P</i> -value | |
| Total | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 511.4±12.2 | | 510.1±9.9 | | 518.4±10.5 | | 515.4±12.1 | | |
| Carbohydrate (g) | T_2 | 518.6±12.4 | 0.34 | 518.9±13.4 | 0.26 | 525.2±16.1 | 0.91 | 512.8±12.8 | 0.27 | |
| | T_3 | 538.1±14.7 | | 539.6±15.7 | | 525.2±13.4 | | 540.1±14.4 | | |
| Fiber (g) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 56.9±2.4 | | 58.2±1.9 | | 59.19±2.07 | | 57.2±2.2 | | |
| | T_2 | 57.4±1.8 | 0.43 | 56.3±2.2 | 0.42 | 55.2±2.3 | 0.21 | 57.9±2.0 | 0.69 | |
| | T_3 | 60.6±2.1 | | 60.3±2.2 | | 60.3±1.9 | | 59.4±2.2 | | |
| Soluble Fiber (g) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 6.9±0.6 | | 7.1±0.6 | | 7.6±0.6 | | 6.7±0.6 | | |
| | T_2 | 7.5±0.56 | 0.53 | 7.0 ± 0.7 | 0.59 | 6.8±0.7 | 0.51 | 8.1±0.6 | 0.32 | |
| | T_3 | 7.9±0.7 | | 7.9±0.6 | | 7.8±0.7 | | 7.5±0.7 | | |
| Insoluble Fiber (g) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 12.0±1.0 | | 12.7±0.9 | | 13.4±1.0 | | 11.9±1.0 | | |
| | T_2 | 12.4±0.9 | 0.40 | 11.8±1.1 | 0.45 | 11.8±1.1 | 0.55 | 12.9±0.9 | 0.62 | |
| | T_3 | 13.8±1.0 | | 13.6±1.0 | | 12.9±0.9 | | 13.3±1.1 | | |
| Protein (g) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 98.9±2.9 | | 98.2±2.3 | | 101.2±2.6 | | 97.2±2.8 | | |
| | T_2 | 95.5±2.2 | 0.49 | 95.5±2.6 | 0.43 | 93.6±3.0 | 0.12 | 100.5±2.8 | 0.52 | |
| | T_3 | 99.9±3.0 | | 100.5±3.1 | | 98.9±2.4 | | 96.4±2.5 | | |
| Fat (g) | T_1 | 116.1±4.7 | | 117.1±3.9 | | 113.0±4.0 | | 115.0±4.7 | | |
| | T_2 | 115.6±4.5 | 0.21 | 114.9±5.2 | 0.18 | 113.5±5.5 | 0.91 | 116.0±4.6 | 0.28 | |
| | T_3 | 105.5±5.1 | | 105.1±5.2 | | 110.7±5.1 | | 106.2±5.1 | | |
| Saturated Fat (g) | T_1 | 32.5±1.7 | | 33.4±1.4 | | 31.8±1.4 | | 32.4±1.6 | | |
| | T_2 | 33.8±1.6 | 0.89 | 33.8±1.6 | 0.87 | 36.6±2.9 | 0.12 | 33.4±1.7 | 0.91 | |
| | T_3 | 33.1±2.6 | | 32.3±2.7 | | 31.3±1.4 | | 33.6±2.6 | | |
| Monounsaturated | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 28.9±1.8 | | 28.9±1.6 | | 28.3±1.5 | | 28.2±1.7 | | |
| Fat (g) | T_2 | 26.9±1.4 | 0.11 | 27.2±1.7 | 0.07 | 23.6±1.7 | 0.09 | 27.8±1.7 | 0.14 | |
| | T_3 | 24.1±1.7 | | 23.7±1.6 | | 27.8±1.6 | | 24.0±1.6 | | |
| Polyunsaturated | T_1 | 21.8±1.8 | | 23.0±1.7 | | 21.9± 1.6 | | 21.9±1.8 | | |
| Fat (g) | T_2 | 23.3±1.7 | 0.24 | 22.8±1.9 | 0.12 | 19.3± 1.8 | 0.29 | 23.6±1.7 | 0.13 | |
| | T_3 | 19.2±1.7 | | 18.6±1.6 | | 23.2±1.8 | | 18.8±1.6 | | |
| Trans-Fatty | T_1 | 4.2±0.5 ^b | | 3.4±0.3 | | 3.6±0.5 | | 3.9±0.5 | | |
| Acids (g) | T_2 | 2.7±0.3ª | 0.02 | 3.1 ± 0.4 | 0.82 | 3.1 ± 0.4 | 0.55 | 2.8 ± 0.3 | 0.12 | |
| | T_3 | 2.9±0.3ª | | 3.2±0.5 | | 3.0 ± 0.3 | | 3.0 ± 0.3 | | |
| Cholesterol (mg) | T_1 | 228.4±26.5 | | 201.9±14.3 | | 226.6±22.9 | | 226.7±25.9 | | |
| | T_2 | 205.7±18.4 | 0.67 | 200.4±20.1 | 0.36 | 217.7±23.3 | 0.50 | 216.4±20.5 | 0.58 | |
| | T_3 | 205.7±16.0 | | 237.5±26.3 | | 193.1±13.1 | | 196.5±14.1 | | |
| Omega 6 Fatty | T_1 | 18.9±1.8 | | 20.2±1.7 | | 19.2±1.6 | | 18.8±1.8 | | |
| Acids (g) | T_2 | 20.8±1.6 | 0.19 | 20.2±1.9 | 0.96 | 15.9±2.1 | 0.19 | 20.9±1.7 | 0.17 | |
| | T_3 | 16.1±2.0 | | 15.4±1.9 | | 20.7±1.9 | | 16.1±1.9 | | |
| Omega 3 Fatty | T_1 | 1.4±0.2 | | 1.5±0.1 | | 1.4±0.1 | | 1.4±0.2 | | |
| Acids (g) | T_2 | 1.7±0.1 | 0.08 | 1.5 ± 0.2 | 0.15 | 1.2±0.2 | 0.29 | 1.6±0.1 | 0.23 | |
| | T_3 | 1.2±0.2 | | 1.2 ± 0.2 | | 1.6 ± 0.2 | | 1.2 ± 0.2 | | |

<sup>Statistical significant difference (P<0.05)
Different letters denotes significant differences among the tertiles.</sup>

⁻ T stands for Tertile.

Table 4 - Mean±SEM for adjusted micro-nutrients intake for different of cholesterol, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C

| Nutrients | | Serum cho | olesterol | TG | | HDL-C | | LDL-C | |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------|----------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|
| | | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | <i>P</i> -value |
| Vitamin A. IU | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 17773.9±2117.9 | | 17768.4±1642.7 | | 20193.9±1916.6 | | 17522.2±1993.4 | + |
| | T_2 | 19501.7±2425.7 | 0.38 | 18165.7±2352.2 | 0.12 | 21035.8±2744.1 | 0.62 | 19288.8±2454.3 | 0.26 |
| | T_3 | 22065.8±1995.1 | | 23454.7±2477.0 | | 18037.9±1905.4 | | 22535.2±2065.1 | - |
| β-carotene (μg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 7566.4±1084.1 | 0.41 | 7519.9±827.5 | 0.17 | 9154.0±1012.2 | 0.43 | 7315.3±1040.9 | 0.24 |
| | T_2 | 8578.5±1353.2 | | 8001.1±1420.0 | | 9422.9±1613.4 | | 8481.5±1337.1 | |
| | T_3 | 9854.9±1161.9 | | 10512.9±1306.3 | | 7341.5±937.2 | | 10205.2±1204.5 | 1 |
| Vitamin D (µg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 1.0±0.3 | | 0.7±0.2 | | 0.7±0.1 | | 1.1±0.3 | |
| | T_2 | 0.9 ± 0.2 | 0.78 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 0.44 | 1.1±0.3 | 0.43 | 0.8 ± 0.2 | 0.57 |
| | T_3 | 0.7±0.2 | | 1.1±0.3 | | 0.8±0.3 | | 0.7±0.2 | |
| Vitamin E (mg) | T_1 | 16.5±4.1 | | 15.4±2.6 | | 11.8±0.9 | | 17.8±4.2 | |
| | T_2 | 16.8±2.8 | 0.82 | 16.3±2.8 | 0.98 | 18.1±3.6 | 0.25 | 15.0±2.7 | 0.75 |
| | T_3 | 14.2±2.4 | | 15.90±4.1 | | 18.3±4.4 | | 14.7±2.4 | |
| Vitamin K (µg) | T₁a | 143.8±19.7 | | 179.8±22.7 | | 221.2±37.1 | | 141.2±18.9 ^a | |
| | T_2b | 173.1±27.9 | 0.04 | 241.4±73.1 | 0.67 | 227.4±71.5 | 0.72 | 171. 7±28.9 ^a | 0.03 |
| | T ₃ c | 309.7±75.5 | | 206.6±39.3 | | 175.2±30.6 | | 313.7±75.1 ^b | |
| Folate (µg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 507.8±125.3 | | 463.2±72.8 | | 369.5±26.0 | | 548.2±128.2 | |
| | T_2 | 510.9±78.9 | 0.90 | 511.2±81.2 | 0.90 | 576.1±101.9 | 0.20 | 461.4±73.9 | 0.80 |
| | T_3 | 474.3±71.1 | | 520.7±123.1 | | 568.6±133.8 | | 484.5±70.9 | |
| Vitamin B12 (µg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 7.6±1.8 | | 6.4±1.1 | | 4.9±0.5 | | 8.13±1.9 | |
| | T_2 | 7.1±1.3 | 0.73 | 7.1±1.2 | 0.90 | 7.9±1.6 | 0.18 | 6.31±1.2 | 0.57 |
| | T_3 | 5.9±1.1 | | 7.2±1.8 | | 8.2±1.9 | | 6.21±1.1 | |
| Vitamin C (mg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 281.6±46.7 | | 268.2±39.5 | | 277.1±36.7 | | 285.8±45.8 | |
| | T_2 | 262.3±40.3 | 0.37 | 287.4±73.1 | 0.54 | 309.9±48.4 | 0.84 | 265.5±43.5 | 0.48 |
| | T_3 | 344.7±41.3 | | 333.4±46.3 | | 303.9±45.1 | | 337.2±39.1 | |
| Calcium (mg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 1468.9±333.4 | | 1386.0±199.5 | | 1095.6±88.7 | | 1582.2±335.0 | |
| | T_2 | 1441.5±202.5 | 0.99 | 1423.9±235.1 | 0.93 | 1680.0±286.2 | 0.20 | 1325.5±198.8 | 0.77 |
| | T_3 | 1417.5±216.3 | | 1520.0±323.0 | | 1608.8±349.7 | | 1422.7±215.7 | |
| Copper (mg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 1.5±0.1 | | 1.5±0.1 | | 1.5±0.1 | | 1.4±0.1 | |
| | T_2 | 1.3±0.1 | 0.09 | 1.4±0.1 | 0.80 | 1.3±0.1 | 0.34 | 1.4±0.1 | 0.74 |
| | T_3 | 1.6±0.1 | | 1.5±0.1 | | 1.4±0.1 | | 1.5±0.1 | |
| Iron (mg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 32.3±5.7 | | 29.7±3.3 | | 26.1±1.2 | | 34.1±5.8 | |
| | T_2 | 32.3±3.5 | 0.85 | 30.8±3.5 | 0.81 | 34.1±4.6 | 0.26 | 30.3±3.3 | 0.72 |
| | T_3 | 29.3±3.1 | | 33.4±5.6 | | 34.6±6.0 | | 29.5±3.0 | |
| Zinc (mg) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 19.8±4.5 | | 17.8±2.9 | | 14.4±0.9 | | 20.9±4.7 | |
| | T_2 | 20.0±3.0 | 0.72 | 19.4±2.9 | 0.94 | 20.8±3.9 | 0.24 | 18.6±2.9 | 0.71 |
| | T_3 | 16.5±2.6 | | 19.2±4.5 | | 21.9±4.8 | | 16.8±2.6 | |

⁻ Statistical significant difference (P<0.05)

⁻ Different letters to denote significant differences among the tertiles.

 $^{-\}textit{Abbreviations: Retinol Equivalent, RE; International Unit, IU; Tertiles, T}$

| Table 5 - Food | groups distribution a | across different tertile | es of cholesterol, TC | , HDL-C and LDL-0 |
|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| i abic 5 1 00a | groups distribution a | teross different tertife | o or choicotchoi, i c | , IIDI Cana IDI |

| Nutrients | | Serum cholesterol | | TG | | HDL-C | | LDL-C | |
|---------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------------|---------|---------------------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| | | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value | Mean±SEM | P-value |
| Grain group (oz) | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$ | 13.1±1.1 | | 13.1±0.9 | | 13.0±0.9 | | 13.1±1.1 | |
| | T_2 | 12.6±0.9 | 0.63 | 12.4±0.9 | 0.50 | 13.3±1.1 | 0.98 | 13.5±0.9 | 0.92 |
| | $T_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}$ | 13.8±0.8 | | 14.0±1.0 | | 13.1±0.9 | | 12.9±0.8 | |
| Vegetables group | T ₁ | 4.9±0.6 | | 4.3±0.5 | | 5.0±0.5 | | 4.9±0.6 | |
| (cup) | T_2 | 3.8 ± 0.4 | 0.12 | 4.2±0.4 | 0.27 | 4.1±0.4 | 0.39 | 3.9 ± 0.4 | 0.21 |
| 1 | T_3 | 5.1±0.5 | | 5.2±0.6 | | 4.6±0.6 | | 5.0 ± 0.5 | |
| Fruit group (cup) | T ₁ | 3.7±0.8 | | 3.9±0.8 | | 4.3±0.7 | | 3.6±0.7 | |
| | T_2 | 3.8 ± 0.9 | 0.20 | 4.0±1.0 | 0.49 | 4.9±1.2 | 0.77 | 4.1±1.1 | 0.31 |
| | T_3 | 5.8±1.0 | | 5.3±0.9 | | 4.0±0.8 | | 5.5±0.9 | |
| Dairy group (cup) | T ₁ | 0.6±0.1 | | 0.6±0.1 | | 0.6±0.1 | | 0.5±0.1 | |
| | T_2 | 0.5 ± 0.1 | 0.60 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.97 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | 0.26 | 0.6 ± 0.1 | 0.57 |
| | T_3 | 0.7 ± 0.1 | | 0.6 ± 0.1 | | 0.5 ± 0.1 | | 0.7 ± 0.1 | |
| Meat group (meat, | T1 | 4.6±0.5 | | 4.0±0.4 | | 4.7±0.4 ^b | | 4.1±0.5 | |
| fish, eggs, and | T2 | 3.3 ± 0.3 | 0.06 | 3.8 ± 0.4 | 0.72 | 3.3±0.3ª | 0.04 | 3.9 ± 0.4 | 0.91 |
| beans) (oz) | Т3 | 4.1±0.4 | | 4.2±0.4 | | $3.9\pm0.4^{\mathrm{ab}}$ | | 4.0±0.3 | |
| Fats and oils (tsp) | T_1 | 10.4±0.9 | | 10.0±0.8 | | 10.2±0.9 | | 9.9±0.8 | |
| ` 17 | T_2 | 8.9±0.8 | 0.23 | 10.4±1.1 | 0.92 | 10.8±1.1 | 0.56 | 9.9±0.9 | 0.88 |
| | T_3 | 11.1±1.1 | | 9.9±1.0 | | 9.4±0.8 | | 10.5±1.1 | |

⁻ Statistical significant difference (P<0.050)

between grains, vegetables, fruits, milk, fats and oils intake and cholesterol, TG, HDL-C and LDL-C concentrations were observed.

Discussion

Dyslipidemia is the abnormal lipid metabolism which is regarded as a strong predictor for the development of cardiovascular diseases. Little is known about the associations between serum lipid profiles and dietary factors. In this study, we examined the associations between macronutrient and micronutrient intake and serum lipid profile among healthy Jordanian adults.

The present study showed that total energy intake was associated with serum total cholesterol. Extra caloric intake is not recommended, whether the extra calories are from fat, protein, or carbohydrate (34).

In contrast to our finding, Song et al. (2016) did not find an association between total energy intake and TC and LDL-C pattern (35). This could be attributed to the highest energy intake among our study tertiles (around 3250 calories) compared to what was found by other researchers. Total energy intake among our study group when stratified according to the BMI was significantly higher among obese participants as compared to overweight and normal body weight (3369.2±173.9, 2806.6±142.6, 2801.8±195.6 kcal for obese, overweight and normal body weight, respectively; p<0.03). These results are not presented in the tables. Excessive energy intake leads to obesity which associated with states of hyperinsulinemia and insulin resistance (36). Insulin resistance was linked to the increase in cholesterol synthesis. Insulin stimulates lipid synthesis, in particular, cholesterol synthesis, thus raising the total cholesterol level (37, 38).

⁻ Different letters to denote significant differences among the tertiles.

⁻ T stands for Tertile; C strands for Cup; oz strands for ounces; tsp stands for teaspoon.

Additionally, serum cholesterol was significantly lower among Jordanian adults who consumed the highest amount of trans-fatty acid. This can be interpreted as the highest amount of trans-fatty acid that can be found in hydrogenated vegetable oils, which were considered free of cholesterol (39). As a consequence, serum cholesterol could be lower for those who consumed a considerable amount of hydrogenated fats and oils. On the other hand, it is well known that transfatty acid intake is highly associated with elevated level of serum LDL-C and reduced level of HDL-C (39). However, the present study did not report any significant differences between trans-fatty acid intake levels and levels of serum LDL-C and that was consistent with a reported randomized crossover study in healthy young Japanese which also showed no significant effects of 0.6% energy trans-fatty acid intake on total serum cholesterol concentrations (40). Therefore, it could be concluded that the intake of trans-fatty acids among this study sample is lower than the level which may affect serum lipids.

The 3 tertiles of HDL-C were significantly associated with percentage of energy from protein, irrespective to protein sources: plants or animals. However, no specific trend could be detected in the 3 tertiles. In a study conducted in Tehran, Bahadoran et al. (2013) reported that the higher dietary intake of protein as % of energy and g/kg body weight in men was inversely related with 3-year changes in HDL-C levels (41). However, Song et al. (2016) did not find any association between % of energy from protein with TG and HDL-C pattern or even with TC and LDL-C pattern (35). The mechanism by which protein is associated with upregulated HDL cholesterol production and the extent to which body weight status modulates this response requires further study. However, because consuming a high protein diet regularly was associated with higher HDL cholesterol (and lower adiposity) regardless of total dietary energy, carbohydrate, and fat intake, the intrinsic properties of protein appear to be partially responsible for these effects (42). In addition, a higher ratio of dietary protein to carbohydrates is related to more efficient glycemic control and satiety during weight loss which may enhance HDL (43).

Regarding the results of calcium, copper, iron and zinc intake and their associations with serum lipid

profile, no significant associations have been detected. In a Québec Family Study, Jacqmain et al. (2003), found that the plasma lipoprotein-lipid profile in both women and men is apparently affected by a low dietary calcium intake (<600 mg) compared to a high dietary calcium intake (>1000 mg) (44). Inconsistent with our findings, Song et al. (2016) observed an inverse association between calcium intake and TG and HDL-C pattern (35). In a cross-sectional study on healthy females, Zaribaf et al. (2014) reported that there was no significant correlation between total amount of iron, heme iron, and non-heme dietary iron with serum lipid profile (45). On the other hand, an inverse association between iron intake and total cholesterol and LDL-C pattern was observed by other (35). Additionally, a negative association between dietary zinc intake and total cholesterol and triglycerides was noticed in a cross-sectional study (41). Regarding dietary copper intake, limited cross-sectional studies tend to suggest that dietary copper is associated with a better lipoprotein profile (46).

Both water and fat soluble vitamins, except vitamin K, were not significantly associated with serum lipid profile. In this study, the differences between vitamin K intake levels were significant with serum cholesterol and LDL-C values. The effect of vitamin k intake and blood lipid profile in the literature is equivocal and depends on its sources if they are plant (vitamin K1: phylloquinone) or animal (vitamin K2: menaquinones) sources. Indeed, vitamin K1 was found to be inversely associated with a fatal heart attack (47), while vitamin K2 was not associated with increased incidence of heart disease and increased fatal heart attack (48). In a prospective cohort study of Dutch men and women, neither vitamin K1 nor vitamin K2 was found to be associated with stroke risk (49). In our study, total vitamin K is estimated irrespective of its source, so further studies are warranted to assess the association between vitamin K from the two sources and its effect on serum lipids.

It was observed that number of servings consumed from the following groups: grain, vegetables, fruits, milk and dairy products and fats and oils were not associated with serum lipid profile. Similar results were obtained in another study, except for vegetable group, which was inversely associated with total cholesterol

and LDL-C pattern and milk as well as dairy products, which was inversely associated with TG and HDL-C pattern (35). In the present study, food groups that were inversely associated with HDL-C included meat, fish, eggs and beans. In consistent with our findings, Daoud et al. (2014) reported that high protein diets resulted in a reduction in HDL-C and LDL-C (50).

Limitations

Our study has several limitations including the cross-sectional design that did not determine a causal relationship between dietary factors and dyslipidemia. Furthermore, the one year dietary recall period, which may be affected by changes in memory and bias, is one of the major limitations of the present study. However, we believe that because food selection and the taste are mostly based on availability and habits that influence deliberate choices, including endemic cultural biases, we accept that the recall period of one year is very likely to be reflective of the previous years. In addition, our sample size is small due to the limited financial support for the biochemical analyses but within the recommended size as calculated using the sample size power calculations. Additionally, the subjects' lipid profile is generally within the normal levels which showed few associations with some nutrients. Therefore, another study on a large-scale is warranted to generalize these findings.

Conclusion

In conclusion, there are many possible associations between Jordanian diet and its components and serum lipids, even after adjustment for potential confounding factors. The consumption of meat, fish, eggs and beans should be within the recommendations.

References

 National Cholesterol Education Program (NCEP) Expert Panel on Detection. Evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult treatment III). Third report of

- the national cholesterol education program (NCEP) expert panel on detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood cholesterol in adults (Adult treatment panel III) final report. Circulation 2002; 106(25): 3143-421.
- Murray CJ, Lopez AD. Mortality by cause for eight regions of the world: Global Burden of Disease Study. Lancet 1997; 349(9061): 1269-1276.
- Kostis JB. The importance of managing hypertension and dyslipidemia to decrease cardiovascular disease. Cardiovasc Drugs Ther 2007; 21: 297-309.
- World Health Organization WHO. Cardiovascular Diseases Fact Sheet Internet. 2017 cited 2017 Jul 11. Available from: http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs317/en/
- Khader YS, Batieha A, El-Khateeb M, Al Omari M, Ajlouni K. Prevalence of dyslipidemia and its associated factors among Jordanian adults. J Clin Lipidol 2010; 4(1): 53-58.
- Haskell WL. Cardiovascular disease prevention and lifestyle interventions: effectiveness and efficacy. J Cardiovasc Nurs 2003; 18(4): 245-255.
- 7. Grundy SM, Denke MA. Dietary influences on serum lipids and lipoproteins. J Lipid Res 1990; 3(7): 1149-1172.
- 8. Hopkins PN. Effects of dietary cholesterol on serum cholesterol: a meta-analysis and review. Am J Clin Nutr 1992; 55(6): 1060-1070.
- Clarke R, Frost C, Collins R, Appleby P, Peto R. Dietary lipids and blood cholesterol: quantitative meta-analysis of metabolic ward studies. BMJ 1997; 314: 112-117.
- McNamara DJ, Kolb R, Parker TS, et al. Heterogeneity of cholesterol homeostasis in man. Response to changes in dietary fat quality and cholesterol quantity. J Clin Invest 1987; 79(6): 1729-1739.
- 11. Herron KL, Vega-Lopez S, Conde K, et al. Pre-menopausal women, classified as hypo- or hyperresponders, do not alter their LDL/HDL ratio following a high dietary cholesterol challenge. J Am Coll Nutr 2002; 21(3): 250-258.
- Herron KL, Vega-Lopez S, Conde K, Ramjiganesh T, Shachter NS, Fernandez ML. Men classified as hypo- or hyperresponders to dietary cholesterol feeding exhibit differences in lipoprotein metabolism. J Nutr 2003; 133(4): 1036-1042.
- Greene CM, Zern TL, Wood RJ, et al. Maintenance of the LDL cholesterol: HDL cholesterol ratio in an elderly population given a dietary cholesterol challenge. J Nutr 2005; 135(12): 2793-2798.
- Appel LJ, Sacks FM, Carey VJ, et al. Effects of protein, monounsaturated fat, and carbohydrate intake on blood pressure and serum lipids: results of the Omni Heart randomized trial. JAMA 2005; 294(19): 2455-2464.
- 15. Guo Z, Miura K, Turin TC, et al. Relationship of the polyunsaturated to saturated fatty acid ratio to cardiovascular risk factors and metabolic syndrome in Japanese: the IN-TERLIPID study. J Atheroscler Thromb 2010; 17(3): 777-784.
- 16. Okuda N, Ueshima H, Okayama A, et al. Relation of long chain n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acid intake to serum high density lipoprotein cholesterol among Japanese men in Ja-

- pan and Japanese- American men in Hawaii: the INTER-LIPID study. Atherosclerosis 2005; 178(2): 371-379.
- 17. Choi H, Song S, Kim J, et al. High carbohydrate intake was inversely associated with high-density lipoprotein cholesterol among Korean adults. Nutr Res 2012; 32(2): 100-106.
- Ma Y, Li Y, Chiriboga DE, et al. Association between carbohydrate intake and serum lipids. J Am Coll Nutr 2006; 25(2): 155-163.
- Louheranta AM, Schwab US, Sarkkinen ES, et al. Insulin sensitivity after a reduced-fat diet and a monoene-enriched diet in subjects with elevated serum cholesterol and triglyceride concentrations. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2000; 10(4): 177-187.
- Colette C, Percheron C, Pares-Herbute N, et al. Exchanging carbohydrates for monounsaturated fats in energy-restricted diets: effects on metabolic profile and other cardiovascular risk factors. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2003; 27(6): 648-656.
- 21. Desroches S, Paradis ME, Perusse M, et al. Apolipoprotein A-I, A-II, and VLDL-B-100 metabolism in men: comparison of a low-fat diet and a high monounsaturated fatty acid diet. J Lipid Res 2004; 45: 2331-2338. DOI: 10.1194/jlr. M400287-JLR200
- 22. Furtado JD, Campos H, Appel LJ, et al. Effect of protein, unsaturated fat, and carbohydrate intakes on plasma apolipoprotein B and VLDL and LDL containing apolipoprotein C-III: results from the Omni Heart Trial. Am J Clin Nutr 2008; 87(6): 1623-1630.
- 23. Djousse L, Arnett DK, Coon H, Province MA, Moore LL, Ellison RC. Fruit and vegetable consumption and LDL cholesterol: the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Family Heart Study. Am J Clin Nutr 2004; 79(2): 213-217.
- Merino J, Mateo-Gallego R, Plana N, et al. Low-fat dairy products consumption is associated with lower triglyceride concentrations in a Spanish hypertriglyceridemic cohort. Nutr Hosp 2013; 28(3): 927-933.
- 25. Chasman DI, Paré G, Zee RY, et al. Genetic loci associated with plasma concentration of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, apolipoprotein A1, and apolipoprotein B among 6382 white women in genome-wide analysis with replication. Circ Cardiovasc Genet 2008; 1(1): 21-30.
- 26. Deo RC, Reich D, Tandon A, et al. Genetic differences between the determinants of lipid profile phenotypes in African and European Americans: the Jackson Heart Study. Plos Genet 2009; 5(1): e1000342.
- 27. Monda KL, Ballantyne CM, North KE. Longitudinal impact of physical activity on lipid profiles in middle-aged adults: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. J Lipid Res 2009; 50(8): 1685-1691.
- 28. Gostynski M, Gutzwiller F, Kuulasmaa K, et al. Analysis of the relationship between total cholesterol, age, body mass index among males and females in the WHO MONICA Project. Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord 2004; 28(8): 1082-1090.
- 29. Ki M, Pouliou T, Li L, Power C. Physical (in) activity over

- 20 y in adulthood: associations with adult lipid levels in the 1958 British birth cohort. Atherosclerosis 2011; 219(1): 361-367.
- 30. Tayyem RF, Abu-Mweis SS, Bawadi HA, Agraib L, Bani-Hani K. Validation of a Food Frequency Questionnaire to assess macronutrient and micronutrient intake among Jordanians. J Acad Nutr Diet 2014; 114(7): 1046-1052.
- Pellet P, Shadarevian S. The Food Composition Tables for Use in the Middle East. 3rd, AUB, Lebanon, Heidelberg, 2013.
- Sallis JF, Haskell WL, Wood PD. Physical activity assessment methodology in the Five-City Project. Am J Epidemiol 1985; 121(1): 91-106.
- 33. Al-Radaideh A, Tayyem R, Al-Fayomi k, et al. Assessment of abdominal fat using high-field magnetic resonance imaging and anthropometric and biochemical parameters. Am J Med Sci 2016; 352(6): 593-602.
- 34. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2015 2020 Dietary Guidelines for Americans. 8th Edition. December 2015. Available at http://health.gov/dietaryguidelines/2015/guidelines/
- Song S, Paik HY, Park M, Song YJ. Dyslipidemia patterns are differentially associated with dietary factors. Clinc Nutri 2016; 35(4): 885-891.
- Erion KA, Corkey BE. Hyperinsulinemia: a Cause of Obesity? Curr Obes Rep 2017; 6(2): 178-186. doi: 10.1007/s13679-017-0261-z
- 37. Silbernagel G, Lütjohann D, Machann J, Meichsner S, Kantartzis K, Schick F, Häring HU, Stefan N, Fritsche A. Cholesterol synthesis is associated with hepatic lipid content and dependent on fructose/glucose intake in healthy humans. Exp Diabetes Res 2012; 2012: 361863. doi: 10.1155/2012/361863.
- 38. Pihlajamäki J, Gylling H, Miettinen TA, Laakso M. Insulin resistance is associated with increased cholesterol synthesis and decreased cholesterol absorption in normoglycemic men. J Lipid Res 2004; 45(3): 507-12.
- Brouwer IA, Wanders AJ, Katan MB. Effect of animal and industrial trans fatty acids on HDL and LDL cholesterol levels in humans – a quantitative review. PLoS ONE 2010; 5(3): e9434.
- 40. Takeuchi H, Yamaki M, Hirose K, Hienae C, Tabuchi E, Sugano M. Effect of a 0.6% energy trans fatty acid intake on serum cholesterol concentrations in healthy young Japanese subjects. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem 2011; 75(11): 2243-2245.
- 41. Bahadoran Z, Mirmiran P, Hosseini-Esfahabni F, Sadeghi M, Azizi F. Dietary protein, protein to carbohydrate ratio and subsequent changes in lipid profile after a 3-year follow-up: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. Iranian J Publ Health 2013; 42(11): 1232-1241.
- Layman DK, Boileau RA, Erickson DJ, et al. A reduced ratio of dietary carbohydrate to protein improves body composition and blood lipid profiles during weight loss in adult women. J Nutr 2003; 133(2): 411-417.

- 43. Pasiakos SM, Lieberman HR, Fulgoni VL. Higher-protein diets are associated with higher HDL cholesterol and lower BMI and waist circumference in US adults. J Nutr 2015; 145(3): 605-14. doi: 10.3945/jn.114.205203.
- 44. Jacqmain M, Doucet E, Després J-P, Bouchard C, Tremblay A. Calcium intake, body composition, and lipoprotein-lipid concentrations in adults. Am J Clin Nutr 2003; 77(6): 1448-1452.
- 45. Zaribaf F, Entezari MH, Hassanzadeh A, Mirzaian S. Association between dietary iron, iron stores, and serum lipid profile in reproductive age women. J Educ Health Promot 2014; 3: 15.
- Revoredo CM, Aguiar HD, Lima SM, et al. Zinc Status of and its Association to Cardiovascular Risk Biomarkers. Int J Cardiovasc Sci 2016; 29(5): 355-361.
- 47. Bost M, Houdart S, Oberli M, et al. Dietary copper and human health: Current evidence and unresolved issues. J Trace Elem Med Biol 2016; 35: 107-115.
- 48. Cham BE, Smith JL, Colquhoun DM. Correlations between cholesterol, vitamin E, and vitamin K1 in serum:

- paradoxical relationships to established epidemiological risk factors for cardiovascular disease. Clin Chem 1998; 44(8): 1753-55.
- Nimptsch K, Rohrmann S, Linseisen J, Kaaks R. Dietary intake of vitamin K and risk of incident and fatal myocardial infarction in the EPIC-Heidelberg cohort study. Gesundheitswesen 2010; 72: V143-DOI: 10.1055/s-0030-1266323.
- Daoud E, Scheede-Bergdahl C, Bergdahl A. Effects of dietary macronutrients on plasma lipid levels and the consequence for cardiovascular disease. J Cardiovasc Dev Dis 2014; 1(3): 201-213.

Correspondence:

Reema Tayyem

Department of Nutrition and Food Technology, Faculty of Agriculture, The University of Jordan,

Amman, Jordan.

E-mail: r_tayyem@yahoo.com