Low protein diet score: a novel diet quality index and predictor of disease progression in patients with chronic kidney disease

Mohammad Hossein Rouhani[1], Mojgan Mortazavi Najafabadi[2], Leila Azadbakht[3, 4]

[1]Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Science, Food Security Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran; [2]Isfahan Kidney Diseases Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran; [3]Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutrition and Food Science, Food Security Research Center, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran - E-mail: azadbakht@hlth.mui.ac.ir; [4]Department of Community Nutrition, School of Nutritional sciences and dietetics, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

Summary. *Background and Aim:* Patients with chronic kidney disease (CKD) have been recommended to consume a low protein diet. However, there is no specific index for evaluating amounts of dietary protein and CKD progression in these patients. The objective of this study was to define a low protein diet score (LPDS) as a predictor of CKD progression and an index of diet quality in patients with CKD. *Methods:* For this cross-sectional study, two hundred twenty seven eligible subjects with CKD (stage 3 and 4) were selected. We used a validated food frequency questionnaire to assess dietary intake of patients. LPDS was defined based on the 3 cut of points: >2 gr/kg (score=1), 1.01-2 gr/kg (score=2) and ≤ 1 gr/kg (score=3). Renal function (i.e., blood urea nitrogen and serum creatinine) and cardiometabolic variables (i.e., low density lipoprotein, triglyceride, total cholesterol, fasting blood sugar and high sensitivity C-reactive protein) were measured by biochemical assessment. As dietary intakes of sodium, potassium, phosphorus, saturated fatty acid and cholesterol are important, we used intake of these nutrients to assess diet quality. *Results:* Patients who received higher scores, had better diet quality because they consumed lower amounts of phosphorus, potassium, saturated fatty acid and cholesterol (P<0.01 for all). Biochemical assessments showed that in comparison with the bottom LPDS, a marginally significant lower blood urea nitrogen was observed among subjects with higher scores (P=0.06). We did not observe any significant difference in other biochemical variables across the defined LPDS. After adjusting for all confounders, a significant decreasing trend for risk of CKD progression was revealed across LPDS (P for trend=0.04). *Conclusion:* The results of the present study showed that higher LPDS was associated with favorable nutrients intake (lower intakes of sodium, potassium, phosphorus, cholesterol and saturated fatty acid) among patients with CKD. Moreover, subjects who received higher LPDS had a marginally significant lower BUN. Also, we observed that LPDS was inversely related to the risk of being in the higher stage of CKD after adjusting for potential confounders. Therefore, it was a predictor of CKD progression.

Key words: chronic kidney disease, dietary protein, disease progression

Abbreviations

BUN: blood urea nitrogen, CKD: chronic kidney disease, eGFR: estimated glomerular filtration rate, FBS: fasting blood sugar, FFQ: food frequency questionnaire, hs-CRP: high sensitive C-reactive protein, LDL-C: low density lipoprotein cholesterol, LPDS: low protein diet score, SCr: serum creatinine, TC: total cholesterol, TG: triglyceride

Introduction

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a health concern in developed and developing countries. Reported results from NHANES data revealed that 13.1% of American population suffers from CKD (1). CKD is more prevalent among Iranian adults (18.9%) (2).

Dietary recommendations have an important role in medical management of CKD. Evidence showed that higher dietary protein intake in healthy subjects may increase the risk of renal hypertrophy, glomerular hyperfiltration and renal blood flow (3). Therefore, patients with CKD are recommended to lower protein intake (4). Observational studies revealed that CKD progression was associated with the amount of consumed dietary protein (5). Also, an inverse association between low protein diet intake and risk of death from renal disease was observed in subjects with CKD (6).

Patients with CKD were recommended to restrict dietary sodium, potassium and phosphorus because excess amounts of these minerals cannot be excreted (4). Therefore, dietitians prescribe a diet restricted in sources of mentioned minerals such as fruits, vegetables, legumes, dairies and whole grains (7). These restrictions are in contrast with recommended diets for healthy subjects. For instant, according to the HEI-2010, consumption of fruits, vegetables, whole grains and low fat dairies should be increased (8). Therefore, general dietary recommendations for healthy subjects cannot be used to assess diet quality of the subjects with CKD. Assessment of diet quality is important in individuals with CKD because most patients suffer from malnutrition (9). Unfortunately, a specific index has not yet been defined to assess diet quality of patients with CKD.

In 2008, carbohydrate scoring was suggested by Halton et al. (10). They score dietary carbohydrate by using percentage of energy as carbohydrate to assess the association between a low carbohydrate diet and risk of diabetes because dietary carbohydrate was critical for type 2 diabetic patients (10). In the management of CKD, dietary protein is the most important macronutrient. The findings of researches emphasized that protein intake should be controlled in dietary management of CKD (11). Evidence revealed that high dietary protein intake was directly related

to CKD progression (12). Therefore, we hypothesized that a low protein diet score (LPDS) may predict the progression of CKD. Scoring the dietary factors is a common practice in nutritional research and studies reported that interpretation regarding the relation between diet and disease may be easier by macronutrient scoring (10, 13, 14). However, dietary protein was not scored previously. Moreover, there is no specific diet quality index for evaluating the quality of diet in subjects with CKD. Therefore, the aim of current study was to define a LPDS as a predictor of CKD progression and an index of diet quality in patients with CKD.

Methods

Subjects: This research was a cross-sectional study. Among patients who referred to clinics of nephrology, two hundred twenty one subjects with CKD were selected. Patients were chosen from both genders. We had no age restriction. A nephrologist calculated estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) for each subject (15) and eGFR<60 mL/min/1.73m^2 was considered as CKD (16). CKD was categorized as stage 3 (30≤ eGFR ≤59 mL/min/1.73m^2), stage 4 (15≤ eGFR ≤29 mL/min/1.73m^2) and stage 5 (eGFR <15 mL/min/1.73m^2) (16). Written consent was signed by all patients.

Dietary assessment: Dietary intake of patients during the previous year was assessed by a validated (20, 21) food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) completed by trained assistants. This semi-quantitative FFQ covered 168 food items frequently consumed by Iranians. All reported consumed foods were converted to g/day by using household measures. The nutrient content of foods was calculated by Nutritionist IV software (N-Squared Computing, Salem, OR). Subjects who reported <800 or >4200 kcal/d were excluded.

Low protein diet score: Total protein intake was calculated by summing protein content of all consumed foods. The amount of protein intake for each individual was converted to gram per kilogram body weight (g/kg). As previous study used different amounts of dietary protein for patients with renal disease (17), LPDS was defined based on the 3 cut of points: >2gr/kg (score=1), 1.01-2 gr/kg (score=2), ≤ 1gr/kg (score=3).

For example, a patient who consumed 1.5gr/kg received a score of 2.

Biochemical measures: After 12-hour overnight fasting, a blood specimen was collected. Then samples were centrifuged at 3000×g for 10 min. The concentration of blood urea nitrogen (BUN) was measured by using urease enzyme. We assessed the concentration of serum creatinine (SCr) by standard spectrophotometric. Fasting blood sugar (FBS), triglyceride (TG), total cholesterol (TC), low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL-C) and high sensitivity C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) were measured as cardiometabolic variables. The level of FBS, TG and TC was analyzed by using enzymatic colorimetric tests. LDL-C concentration was measured by blocking and then enzymatic methods. Immunoturbidimetry assay was run to determine the level of hs-CRP. All kits were produced by Pars Azmoon Inc.

Other variables: General characteristics of patients were asked by oral questions. Socioeconomic status was evaluated by questions regarding income, occupation, education and region of residence. Physical activity was assessed by a one-day physical activity record.

Statistical Analysis: Normal distribution of variables were tested by Kolmogorov-smirnov test and checking the histogram curve. Qualitative variables included CKD stage, physical activity level (low, moderate and high), marital status, sex ratio and socioeconomic status (low, moderate and high) were presented as percentage frequency. Difference in these variables was assessed by Chi-square test. Quantitative variable (age, body mass index, biomarkers and dietary intakes of nutrients) reported as mean ± standard deviation. Biochemical variables were compared across the LPDS by analysis of variance (ANOVA). We used analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) to report energy-adjusted nutrient intakes across the scores of a low protein diet. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval of CKD progression was calculated by logistic regression. The risk of being in the higher stage of CKD was presented in crude and 3 adjusted models. The firs model was adjusted for age, physical activity and body mass index. Further adjustment was performed for systolic blood pressure and diastolic blood pressure in model 2. The intake of carbohydrate, fat, potassium and phosphorus was included in the third model. We considered

P<0.05 as significance level. Also, we used SPSS version 20 (IBM) to analyze this data.

Results

We have displayed demographic characteristics of the subjects with CKD across LPDS in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the age of subjects across LPDS (P=0.42). The percentage of male in the lower LPDS category was higher (P=0.04). Subjects with top score had higher body mass index (BMI) (P<0.01). We did not observe any significant comparison for other variables.

Energy adjusted dietary intake of selected unfavorable nutrients in renal insufficiency across LPDS is shown in Table 2. Patients who received higher score, consumed lower amounts of cholesterol, saturated fatty acid, phosphorus, potassium and sodium (P<0.01 for all).

Biochemical measurements of subjects with CKD across LPDS are displayed in Table 3. In comparison with the bottom LPDS, a marginally significant lower BUN was observed among subjects with higher score (P=0.06). We did not observe any significant comparison for other variables.

Table 4 shows the risk of CKD progression across LPDS. Odds ratios were reported in 4 different models. We did not observe any significant increased risk of higher stage of CKD in crude model (P for trend=0.84), model 1 (P for trend=0.22) and model 2 (P for trend=0.24). After adjusting for all potential confounders, a significant decreasing trend for risk of higher stage of CKD across LPDS was revealed (P for trend=0.04).

Discussion

The results of the present study showed that subjects with higher LPDS consumed lower amounts of unfavorable nutrients for subjects with CKD. Also, we found that adherence to a low protein diet could decrease the risk of CKD progression to the higher stage. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to introduce LPDS as an index for assessing diet quality and a predictor of CKD progression.

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the subjects with chronic kidney disease across scores of the low protein diet

Variables	Low protein diet score			P value1
	1 **(>2 gr/kg)** **N=26**	**2** **(1.01-2 gr/kg)** **N=167**	**3** **(≤ 1 gr/kg)** **N=34**	
Age (y)	65.54±10.512	65.14±9.23	67.53±10.87	0.42
Male (%)	23	34	53	0.04
Socioeconomic status (%)				
Low	15.4	9.6	8.8	
Moderate	65.4	67	55.9	
High	19.2	23.4	35.3	0.75
Married (%)	100	98.2	97.1	0.69
Body mass index (kg/m²)	21.75±3.04	23.58±3.34	25.64±3.03	<0.01
Physical activity level (%)				
Low	65.4	63.5	70.5	
Moderate	30.8	35.3	26.5	
High	3.8	1.2	2.9	0.43
CKD Stage (%)				
3	42.3	46.7	50	
4	57.7	53.3	50	0.84

[1] Calculated by chi-square test (for qualitative variables) or analysis of variance (for quantitative variables)

[2] Qualitative and quantitative variables are expressed as percentage and mean±SD, respectively.

Table 2. Energy adjusted dietary intake of selected unfavorable nutrients in renal insufficiency across scores of the low protein diet

Variables	Low protein diet score			P value1
	1 **(>2 gr/kg)** **N=26**	**2** **(1.01-2 gr/kg)** **N=167**	**3** **(≤ 1 gr/kg)** **N=34**	
Cholesterol (mg)	291.19±70.072	192.84±68.11	99.77±70.06	<0.01
Saturated Fatty acid (g)	33.87±13.97	22.73±13.54	12.63±13.98	<0.01
Potassium (mg)	4930.27±920.14	3317.81±893.71	1864.53±919.94	<0.01
Phosphorus (mg)	2407.77±378.01	1549.74±376.13	834.20±377.93	<0.01
Sodium (mg)	7778.73±4886.06	6183.35±6393.47	3997.60±2879.23	<0.01
Magnesium (mg)	443.89±79.61	313.81±77.27	171.51±79.63	<0.01

[1] Calculated by analysis of covariance

[2] Mean±SD. All values are adjusted for total calorie intake except for energy intake.

We observed an increased intake of sodium, phosphorus and potassium across LPDS. Legumes, meats and dairy are important sources of dietary protein. Legumes are rich in potassium and phosphorus (18). Moreover, previous studies reported an animal based diet resulted in higher sodium and phosphorus intake (19, 20). Also, vegetables (e.g., carrots, tomatoes, potatoes and stewed leafy vegetables) are consumed along with meats and legumes in Iran. Therefore, we observed higher potassium intake among those with higher protein intake. Usual diet quality indices focus on higher intakes of fruits, vegetables, legumes, whole grains and low-fat dairy (8). Therefore, we cannot use these diet indices to evaluate the quality of diet in patients with CKD because adherence to these recommendations results in higher intake of potassium, phosphorus

Table 3. Biochemical measurements of subjects with chronic kidney disease across the low protein diet score

Variables	Low protein diet score			P value1
	1 (>2 gr/kg) N=26	2 (1.01-2 gr/kg) N=167	3 (≤ 1 gr/kg) N=34	
BUN (mg/dl)	20.08±2.302	19.40±2.73	18.50±2.54	0.06
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.91±0.28	1.97±0.24	1.96±0.29	0.52
GFR (mL/min/1.73m2)3	22.93±6.05	29.59±6.04	31.28±7.72	0.36
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	271.73±24.68	271.13±21.79	272.32±17.45	0.95
Triglyceride (mg/dl)	246.92±32.75	253.17±29.91	253.15±29.81	0.61
LDL (mg/dl)	133.50±18.98	133.35±20.21	138.65±24.99	0.40
FBS (mg/dl)	130.58±14.76	129.20±14.44	129.79±13.49	0.89
hs-CRP (mg/L)	1.65±0.31	1.60±0.32	1.60±0.31	0.82

BUN: blood urea nitrogen, FBS: fasting blood sugar, GFR: glomerular filtration rate, hs-CRP: high sensitivity reactive protein, LDL: low density lipoprotein
[1] Calculated by analysis of variance; [2] mean±SD; [3] Calculated by MDRD equation

Table 4. Odds ratio (95% CI) for higher stage of chronic kidney disease across scores of the low protein diet

Variables	Low protein diet score			P value1
	1 (>2 gr/kg) N=26	2 (1.01-2 gr/kg) N=167	3 (≤ 1 gr/kg) N=34	
Crude	1 (Ref)	0.84 (0.36, 1.93)	0.73 (0.26, 2.05)	0.84
Model 1	1 (Ref)	0.67 (0.27, 1.71)	0.36 (0.11, 1.20)	0.22
Model 2	1 (Ref)	0.66 (0.26, 1.68)	0.37 (0.11, 1.23)	0.24
Model 3	1 (Ref)	0.39 (0.12, 1.30)	0.11 (0.02, 0.66)	0.04

Model 1: adjusted for age, physical activity and body mass index
Model 2: model 1 + systolic and diastolic blood pressure
Model 3: model 2 + dietary carbohydrate, fat, potassium and phosphorus

and protein intake. In contrast, our findings revealed that LPDS had a favorable association with important nutrients in CKD (protein, sodium, phosphorus and potassium) and it could be used as a diet quality index among patients with CKD.

We did not observe any significant comparison for biochemical variables (LDL, TG, TC, FBS and hs-CRP) except for BUN. It seems that these are more sensitive to the source of protein than its amount. Nutritional guidelines suggested lower red meat consumption to achieve reduction in LDL (21). Previous studies reported that higher red meat intake may be related to increased hs-CRP and TG (22, 23). In contrast, several studies reported that consumption of legumes have a beneficial effect on concentration of inflammatory markers and cholesterol (24, 25). In the

designing of LPDS, we did not include the source of dietary protein and it may be the possible reason for non-significant observed result.

Although we did not find statistically significant odds ratio for higher stage of CKD in crude model, multivariate adjusted model (adjusted for dietary factors) showed a significant decreasing trend for risk of higher stage of CKD across LPDS. It shows that dietary factors had a confounding role in the association between LPDS and risk of higher stage of CKD. We did not include sex in multivariate adjusted model because it had been considered in MDRD equation (15). Biochemistrists suggested that adherence to a low protein diet could decrease the amounts of toxic waste products of protein metabolism not excreted due to insufficient renal function (26). Higher protein in-

take results in hyperfiltration, increased acid load and proteinuria (27). These factors may lead to CKD progression (27).

The source of dietary protein was not included in introducing the score and it was the most important limitation of this study. Our previous studies showed that soy as a source of dietary protein may have beneficial effect on metabolic factors in patients with renal diseases (28-31). Therefore, the source of dietary protein should be included in future researches. The design of the present study was cross-sectional and this dietary scoring method should be evaluated in prospective cohort studies. Also we did not measure other renal biochemical variables such as proteinuria and urine creatinine in this study.

We introduced a novel index of diet quality for patients with CKD and it was the major strength of the present study. As dietary recommendations for management of CKD emphasize on lower intake of fruits, vegetables, legumes, dairies and whole grains (32) we cannot assess diet quality of these patients by usual diet quality indices (e.g., HEI-2010 and DQIs). Therefore, the results of this study introduce a good diet quality index to evaluated quality of diet of individuals with CKD. Also, we measured cardiometabolic biochemical variables (i.e., LDL, TG, TG, FBS and hs-CRP) because their abnormal levels were prevalent among these patients (28, 30)

In conclusion, the results of the present study showed that higher LPDS was associated with favorable nutrients intake (lower intakes of sodium, potassium, phosphorus, cholesterol and saturated fatty acid) among patients with CKD. Moreover, subjects who received higher LPDS had a marginally significant lower BUN. Also, we observed that LPDS was inversely related to the risk of being in the higher stage of CKD after adjusting for potential confounders. Therefore, it was a predictor of CKD progression.

The results of the present study showed that subjects with higher LPDS consumed lower amounts of unfavorable nutrients for subjects with CKD. Also, we found that adherence to a low protein diet could decrease the risk of CKD progression to the higher stage.

Acknowledgement

References

1. Patzer RE, McClellan WM. Influence of race, ethnicity and socioeconomic status on kidney disease. Nature reviews Nephrology. 2012 Sep;8(9):533-41. PubMed PMID: 22735764. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3950900.

2. Hosseinpanah F, Kasraei F, Nassiri AA, Azizi F. High prevalence of chronic kidney disease in Iran: a large population-based study. BMC public health. 2009;9:44. PubMed PMID: 19183493. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2658666.

3. Friedman AN. High-protein diets: potential effects on the kidney in renal health and disease. American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2004 Dec;44(6):950-62. PubMed PMID: 15558517.

4. Kdoqi. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines and Clinical Practice Recommendations for Diabetes and Chronic Kidney Disease. American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2007 Feb;49(2 Suppl 2):S12-154. PubMed PMID: 17276798.

5. Martin WF, Armstrong LE, Rodriguez NR. Dietary protein intake and renal function. Nutrition & metabolism. 2005 Sep 20;2:25. PubMed PMID: 16174292. Pubmed Central PMCID: 1262767.

6. Fouque D, Laville M. Low protein diets for chronic kidney disease in non diabetic adults. The Cochrane database of systematic reviews. 2009 (3):CD001892. PubMed PMID: 19588328.

7. Medicine UNLo. Diet - chronic kidney disease. Available from: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/002442.

8. Guenther PM, Casavale KO, Reedy J, Kirkpatrick SI, Hiza HA, Kuczynski KJ, et al. Update of the Healthy Eating Index: HEI-2010. Journal of the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. 2013 Apr;113(4):569-80. PubMed PMID: 23415502. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3810369.

9. Stenvinkel P, Heimburger O, Paultre F, Diczfalusy U, Wang T, Berglund L, et al. Strong association between malnutrition, inflammation, and atherosclerosis in chronic renal failure. Kidney international. 1999 May;55(5):1899-911. PubMed PMID: 10231453.

10. Halton TL, Liu S, Manson JE, Hu FB. Low-carbohydrate-diet score and risk of type 2 diabetes in women. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2008 Feb;87(2):339-46. PubMed PMID: 18258623. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2760285.

11. Fouque D, Aparicio M. Eleven reasons to control the protein intake of patients with chronic kidney disease. Nature clinical practice Nephrology. 2007 Jul;3(7):383-92. PubMed PMID: 17592471.

12. Lentine K, Wrone EM. New insights into protein intake and progression of renal disease. Current opinion in nephrology

and hypertension. 2004 May;13(3):333-6. PubMed PMID: 15073493.

13. de Koning L, Fung TT, Liao X, Chiuve SE, Rimm EB, Willett WC, et al. Low-carbohydrate diet scores and risk of type 2 diabetes in men. The American journal of clinical nutrition. 2011 Apr;93(4):844-50. PubMed PMID: 21310828. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3057550.

14. Halton TL, Willett WC, Liu S, Manson JE, Albert CM, Rexrode K, et al. Low-carbohydrate-diet score and the risk of coronary heart disease in women. The New England journal of medicine. 2006 Nov 9;355(19):1991-2002. PubMed PMID: 17093250.

15. Levey AS, Bosch JP, Lewis JB, Greene T, Rogers N, Roth D. A more accurate method to estimate glomerular filtration rate from serum creatinine: a new prediction equation. Modification of Diet in Renal Disease Study Group. Annals of internal medicine. 1999 Mar 16;130(6):461-70. PubMed PMID: 10075613.

16. National Kidney F. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for chronic kidney disease: evaluation, classification, and stratification. American journal of kidney diseases : the official journal of the National Kidney Foundation. 2002 Feb;39(2 Suppl 1):S1-266. PubMed PMID: 11904577.

17. Okada T, Matsumoto H, Nakao T, Nagaoka Y, Shino T, Yoshino M, et al. [Effect of dietary protein restriction and influence of proteinuria on progression of type 2 diabetic renal failure]. Nihon Jinzo Gakkai shi. 2000 Jul;42(5):365-73. PubMed PMID: 10998917.

18. U.S. National Library of Medicine. Healthy food trends -- beans and legumes. [Cited 2015 Oct]. Available from: https://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/patientinstructions/000726.htm.

19. Hua K, Liu L, Bureau DP. Determination of phosphorus fractions in animal protein ingredients. Journal of agricultural and food chemistry. 2005 Mar 9;53(5):1571-4. PubMed PMID: 15740042.

20. Karppanen H, Mervaala E. Sodium intake and hypertension. Progress in cardiovascular diseases. 2006 Sep-Oct;49(2):59-75. PubMed PMID: 17046432.

21. Hunninghake DB, Maki KC, Kwiterovich PO, Jr., Davidson MH, Dicklin MR, Kafonek SD. Incorporation of lean red meat into a National Cholesterol Education Program Step I diet: a long-term, randomized clinical trial in free-living persons with hypercholesterolemia. Journal of the American College of Nutrition. 2000 Jun;19(3):351-60. PubMed PMID: 10872897.

22. Azadbakht L, Esmaillzadeh A. Red meat intake is associated with metabolic syndrome and the plasma C-reactive protein concentration in women. The Journal of nutrition. 2009 Feb;139(2):335-9. PubMed PMID: 19074209.

23. McAfee AJ, McSorley EM, Cuskelly GJ, Moss BW, Wallace JM, Bonham MP, et al. Red meat consumption: an overview of the risks and benefits. Meat science. 2010 Jan;84(1):1-13. PubMed PMID: 20374748.

24. Bazzano LA, Thompson AM, Tees MT, Nguyen CH, Winham DM. Non-soy legume consumption lowers cholesterol levels: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Nutrition, metabolism, and cardiovascular diseases : NMCD. 2011 Feb;21(2):94-103. PubMed PMID: 19939654. Pubmed Central PMCID: 2888631.

25. Esmaillzadeh A, Azadbakht L. Legume consumption is inversely associated with serum concentrations of adhesion molecules and inflammatory biomarkers among Iranian women. The Journal of nutrition. 2012 Feb;142(2):334-9. PubMed PMID: 22190025.

26. Mandayam S, Mitch WE. Dietary protein restriction benefits patients with chronic kidney disease. Nephrology. 2006 Feb;11(1):53-7. PubMed PMID: 16509933.

27. Riccio E, Di Nuzzi A, Pisani A. Nutritional treatment in chronic kidney disease: the concept of nephroprotection. Clinical and experimental nephrology. 2015 Apr;19(2):161-7. PubMed PMID: 25319188.

28. Azadbakht L, Atabak S, Esmaillzadeh A. Soy protein intake, cardiorenal indices, and C-reactive protein in type 2 diabetes with nephropathy: a longitudinal randomized clinical trial. Diabetes care. 2008 Apr;31(4):648-54. PubMed PMID: 18184902.

29. Azadbakht L, Shakerhosseini R, Atabak S, Jamshidian M, Mehrabi Y, Esmaill-Zadeh A. Beneficiary effect of dietary soy protein on lowering plasma levels of lipid and improving kidney function in type II diabetes with nephropathy. European journal of clinical nutrition. 2003 Oct;57(10):1292-4. PubMed PMID: 14506491.

30. Miraghajani MS, Esmaillzadeh A, Najafabadi MM, Mirlohi M, Azadbakht L. Soy milk consumption, inflammation, coagulation, and oxidative stress among type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. Diabetes care. 2012 Oct;35(10):1981-5. PubMed PMID: 22787172. Pubmed Central PMCID: 3447833.

31. Miraghajani MS, Najafabadi MM, Surkan PJ, Esmaillzadeh A, Mirlohi M, Azadbakht L. Soy milk consumption and blood pressure among type 2 diabetic patients with nephropathy. Journal of renal nutrition : the official journal of the Council on Renal Nutrition of the National Kidney Foundation. 2013 Jul;23(4):277-82 e1. PubMed PMID: 23498346.

32. Medicine USNLo. Diet - chronic kidney disease [cited 2015 Feb]. Available from: http://www.nlm.nih.gov/medlineplus/ency/article/002442.

Correspondence:
Leila Azadbakht, PhD
Department of Community Nutrition
School of Nutrition and Food Science
Isfahan University of Medical Sciences
PO Box 81745, Isfahan - Iran
Tel: +98 311 792-2719
Fax: +98 311 6682509
Email: azadbakht@hlth.mui.ac.ir