

The prevalence of pre-hypertension and hypertension and their related metabolic or anthropometric parameters in rural elderly population in northwest of Iran

Mahdieh Abbasalizad Farhangi

Drug Applied Research Center, Nutrition Research Center, Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran - Email: abbasalizad_m@yahoo.com

Summary. *Methods:* Data from the 248 elderly subject (n = 110 for men and 138 for women) were obtained from the routinely collected health- center records in four health centers in rural areas of East Azarbaijan, Iran. Data on anthropometric and demographic variables were extracted from the health records. Joint National Committee (JNC)-7 criteria were used to classify hypertension in participants. *Results:* There was a high prevalence of overweight and obesity (44.6% in men and 53.7% in women) and pre-hypertension (16.36% of men and 22.46% of women). Prevalence of hypertension in men and women was 30.90% and 34.04% respectively. Systolic blood pressure (SBP) was significantly correlated with body mass index (BMI) ($r = 0.3$; $P = 0.019$) and fasting serum glucose (FSG) ($r = 0.3$; $P = 0.03$) in men and women respectively. *Conclusions:* High prevalence of pre-hypertension and hypertension in the present study reinforced the need for routine evaluation of blood pressure for detecting the subjects at high risk of cardiovascular events and referring of vulnerable elderly subjects to other health care providers.

Key words: hypertension, rural elderly population, body mass index

Introduction

Hypertension as defined by Joint National Committee - 7 (JNC-7) is an important health problem and is associated with metabolic abnormalities (1, 2). Hypertension is as a known modifiable risk factor of cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease and end stage renal disease (3). Hypertension can also intensify the effects of other cardio- metabolic risk factors such as obesity, dyslipidaemia and diabetes (4). It has been estimated that the worldwide epidemic of hypertension is about one billion per year and approximately 7.1 million deaths per year may be attributable to it (5). World Health Organization (WHO) cites a “second wave” epidemic of cardiovascular disease (CVD) related to hypertension and other factors in developing countries

(5). The prevalence of hypertension in Iran is also rising (6, 7). In Tehran lipid and glucose study (TLGS) the prevalence of age adjusted hypertension in men and women were 19.4% and 23.3% respectively (4).

Hypertension is a common health problem in elderly persons and its prevalence dramatically increases in higher ages reaching a prevalence as much as 60 to 80% (8-12). Moreover, rural population have higher incidence of cardiovascular events due to their lower access to health screening programs compared with urban population (13, 14).

Previous reports about the epidemiology of hypertension in Iran identified that the prevalence of hypertension in Iranian population was strongly age dependent and by each year increase in age, the hypertension prevalence increases around 0.54% after the age

of 20. After age 50 the prevalence increases to 49.5% (9). However to our review of literature, there was no study exploring the prevalence of hypertension and its determinants in rural residents in East Azarbayjan of Iran. The current study was aimed to evaluate the prevalence of pre-hypertension and hypertension and related metabolic, anthropometric and socio-demographic parameters in elderly rural population of this province.

Methods

Study area and population

All data of the present study was collected from the health records of 248 elderly men and women ($n = 110$ for men and 138 for women) aged 60 to 94 years old referring to public health centers in four rural areas in East Azarbayjan-Iran between March 2012 and February 2013. The rural cites chosen in this study were as follows: Akhula, Anakhatun, Shadabad – Mashayekh and Shadabad-Olya. In the primary health care system in Iran, a trained health worker interviewed with each elderly and recorded the health information in a standard questionnaire. These records included information about age, marital status, living arrangement, smoking habits, educational level and disease history. For ethical considerations, clear explanation of the study aims and methods anteceded taking written informed consent from participants. Data were handled confidentially and de-identified. Each subject had the right to withdraw his/her consent at any time. The project was completely free of charge for all participants.

Biochemical and anthropometric assays

Weight was measured with a balanced beam scale to the nearest 0.1 kg and height to the nearest 0.5 cm with a wall scale while subjects wearing light clothes and no shoes. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated as weight (kg) / Height² (m). Subjects with BMI: 18.5-24.9 kg/m², 25-29.9 kg/m² and ≥ 30 kg/m² were classified to normal, overweight and obese respectively (15, 16).

Blood pressure measurements and classifications

Resting blood pressure was measured with a calibrated sphygmometer. These readings were classified as normotensive and hypertensive according to

JNC7 guidelines (17). In this classification, systolic blood pressure (SBP) / diastolic blood pressure (DBP) less than or equal to 120/80 was considered as normal blood pressure, 120-139/80-89 as pre hypertension and $\geq 140/90$ was hypertension. Among hypertensive group, subjects with SBP/DBP ratio between 140-159/90-99 and $\geq 160/100$ have stage one and two of hypertension respectively.

Biochemical assessments

At their first visit to the health center, elderly subjects were referred to the laboratory for biochemical assays including fasting serum glucose (FSG) and low density lipoprotein cholesterol (LDL); the lab results then were recorded in the questionnaire up to one or two day after. FSG was classified into three groups according to the American Diabetes Association (ADA) guidelines: those with FSG < 100 mg/dl, those with impaired fasting glucose (IFG) or serum FSG 100-125 mg/dl and those with FSG > 126 mg/dl (18). Serum LDL was classified according to the pre-specified categorizes of LDL (<100 mg/dl, 100-129.9 mg/dl and >130 mg/dl) as suggested by National Cholesterol Education Program Adult Treatment Panel III (NCEP-ATP III) (19).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed by Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS for Windows, release 11.5, 2002, Chicago, IL, USA). Normality of data was analyzed by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Comparison of the variables between men and women were carried out by independent sample t-test. Comparison of the variables between BMI categorizes was evaluated with one-way ANOVA using Tukey's post-hoc comparison. Pearson correlation analysis was used for evaluation the relationship between variables. Chi-square analysis was used to compare the proportions of subjects in each BMI category by levels of lipids or FSG.

Results

General characteristics of study participants are shown in Table 1. Mean weight and height in men was higher than women ($P < 0.05$); However age and

BMI were not significantly different. Majority of men (73.6%) and women (54.34%) were married and were living with spouse. Additionally more than 86% of men and 73% from women had no formal education. Approximately 45% of men and 54% of women were overweight or obese (BMI \geq 25 kg / m²); The prevalence of pre-hypertension and hypertension in men and women were 16.36 % , 22.46 % and 31%, 34% in men and women respectively (Table 2).

Comparison of systolic and diastolic blood pressure, FSG and serum LDL cholesterol concentrations

between different BMI categorizes has been presented in Table 3. SBP and DBP in both men and women were increased gradually in accordance of increase in BMI; however these increments were not significant except for the levels of SBP in men with BMI \geq 30 kg/m².

Approximately 16% of men and 20% of women had LDL greater than 130 mg/dl. The corresponding values for men and women with LDL 100-129.9 mg/dl were 32.7% and 34.7% respectively. Additionally, over half of elderly men (51.8%) and women (52.9%) were in

Table 1. General characteristics of elderly participants based on gender and body mass index

Variable	Men N= 110	Women N= 138	Total N=248	P
Age (year)	72.20±7.29	71.10±7.93	71.99±7.60	0.72*
Weight (kg)	71.61±8.44	65.26±14.11	67.92±16.33	0.003*
Height (cm)	165.99±8.91	156.24±9.05	160.73±10.03	0.000*
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.52±6.54	26.45±5.10	26.35±5.62	0.74*
Marital status [n (%)]				
Married	94 (85.4)	62 (45)	156 (62.9)	0.000**
Widowed	15 (13.6)	71 (51.4)	86 (34.6)	
Divorced	1 (1.81)	5 (2.8)	6 (2.1)	
Living arrangement [n (%)]				
Live with spouse	81(73.6%)	75 (54.34%)	156 (62.90%)	0.000**
Live with others	15 (13.63%)	44(31.88%)	59 (23.79%)	
Alone	14 (12.72%)	19 (13.76%)	33 (13.30%)	
Educational attainment [n (%)]				
Illiterate	89 (86.40%)	141 (73.24%)	230 (92.74)	0.001**
1-6 years of schoolings	14 (13.59%)	4 (26%)	18 (7.2%)	0.001**

*P values are for t-test, **P values are for chi-square

Table 2. Prevalence of overweight and different stages of hypertension according to the JNC7 classification in study participants

Variable [n (%)]	Men	Women	Total	P
Overweight or Obesity (BMI \geq 25 kg/m ²)	49 (44.66)	74 (53.79)	123 (50)	0.64
Normotensive	50 (45.45)	68 (49.27)	118 (47.58)	
Pre hypertensive	18 (16.36)	31 (22.46)	49 (19.75)	0.81
Hypertensive stage 1	23 (20.90)	29 (21)	52 (21)	
Hypertensive stage 2	11 (10)	18 (13.04)	29 (11.69)	

Table 3. Blood pressure, fasting serum glucose and LDL concentrations in elderly participants based on gender and body mass index

Variable	Total	BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m ²	BMI 25-29.9 kg/m ²	BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ²	Total	BMI 18.5-24.9 kg/m ²	BMI 25-29.9 kg/m ²	BMI ≥ 30 kg/m ²
	(N = 110)	(n=58)	(n=36)	(n=16)	(N=138)	(n=58)	(n=41)	(n=39)
SBP (mmHg)	129.95±20.02	127.9±18.40	126.06±16.80	147.69±25.31*	129.47±19.12	127.05±15.80	129.00±23.07	133.65±18.84
DBP(mmHg)	87.55±12.32	77.30±10.12	78.79±12.37	83.85±9.60	77.37±15.21	75.54±16.14	76.25±17.96	81.36±8.79
LDL (mg/dL) [n (%)]								
<100	47(42.7)	26 (44.2)	15 (41.6)	6 (37.5)	52(37.6)	25(68.1)	20 (48.7)	7(17.9)
100-129.9	36(32.7)	24 (41.3)	6 (16.6)	6 (37.5)	48(34.7)	20(34.4)	13 (31.7)	15(38.4)
130≤	17(15.4)	8 (13.7)	5 (13.8)	4(25)	28(20.2)	13(22.41)	8 (19.5)	7(17.9)
FSG mg/dL [n (%)]								
< 90	53(48.2)	25(43.1)	20(55.5)	8 (50)	65(47.1)	25(43.1)	20(48.8)	20(51.2)
90-100	27 (24.5)	14(10.4)	8 (12.3)	5 (31.2)	24(17.4)	3 (5.1)	11(26.8)	10(25.6)
100-125	17 (15.5)	12(20.7)	2 (17.9)	3 (18.7)	25(18.1)	15(25.8)	2(4.8)	8(56.5)
126≤	13 (11.8)	7(2.4)	6 (16.6)	0	24(17.4)	15(25.8)	8(19.5)	1(2.5)

*A significant difference between SBP in this group and another groups (P = 0.002)

different abnormal serum glucose groups; among them 11.8% from men and 17.4% of women had diabetes.

At the time of the blood pressure measurement, only 34 persons (13.70%) reported to be on antihypertensive drugs, among them only 5 persons (0.2% of total population or almost 0.4% of normotensive subjects) were in normotensive group; since they constituted a very low sample of normotensive subjects therefore the results were similar even after excluding the subjects on anti-hypertensive drugs (data not shown). Only 10 persons (4%) were in anti-diabetic drugs and all of them were in diabetic group. SBP was significantly correlated with BMI and FSG in men and women respectively (Table 4). In combined analysis of subjects SBP was positively associated with age, BMI and FSG in total participants.

The prevalence of socio-demographic factors in different hypertensive groups are presented in Table 5. Approximately 40% of hypertensive group were in widowed marital status and 14.8% were living alone. A high prevalence of pre-hypertensive group were living with others (38.77%) or alone (24.28%).

Discussion

The number of elderly subjects in developing countries is increasing; therefore it has been expected that the prevalence of chronic non-communicable disease such as hypertension reach to its enormous burden (20). Only a few published data analyzing the relationship between obesity and hypertension in developing coun-

Table 4. Relationship between systolic and diastolic blood pressure, body mass index and fasting serum sugar in elderly participants

Variable	Males (N =110)		Females (N = 138)		Total (N = 248)	
	SBP (mmHg) r (P)	DBP (mmHg) r (P)	SBP (mmHg) r (P)	DBP (mmHg) r (P)	SBP (mmHg) r (P)	DBP (mmHg) r (P)
Age (y)	0.136 (NS)	0.001 (NS)	0.143 (NS)	0.06 (NS)	0.141 (0.03)	0.009 (NS)
BMI (kg/m ²)	0.30 (0.019)	0.03 (NS)	0.163 (NS)	0.11 (NS)	0.25 (0.003)	-0.008 (NS)
FSG (mg/dL)	0.22 (NS)	0.05 (NS)	0.30 (0.03)	0.18 (NS)	0.30 (0.004)	-0.06 (NS)

Table 5. Socio-demographic factors according to the different hypertension stages in elderly subjects

Variable	Normotensive N = 118	Pre-hypertension N = 49	Hypertension N = 81	P*
Marital status [n (%)]				
Married	80 (67.79)	29 (59.18)	47 (58.02)	0.05
Widowed	34 (28.81)	20 (40.81)	32 (39.50)	
Divorced	4 (3.38)	0	2 (2.46)	
Living arrangement [n (%)]				
Live with spouse	78 (66.10)	18 (36.73)	60 (74.07)	0.004
Live with others	31 (26.27)	19 (38.77)	9 (11.11)	
Alone	9 (7.62)	12 (24.48)	12 (14.81)	
Educational attainment [n (%)]				
Illiterate	108 (91.52)	45 (91.83)	77 (95.06)	0.53
1-6 years of schoolings	10 (8.47)	4 (8.16)	4 (4.93)	

*P values are for chi-square

tries (21-23) especially in rural elderly population (24) are available. In the present study, we found that elderly men with BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² have significantly higher SBP compared with other groups; additionally a positive relationship between SBP and BMI in men and in total participants has been reported. These results are in accordance with the results of several other studies (1, 25-27).

Higher BMI leads to hypertension via increase in body fluid volume, peripheral resistance and higher cardiac output (28). Other possible mechanisms explaining this relationship are insulin-dependent sympathetic nervous system stimulation (29), activation of the rennin-angiotensin system (30) and endothelial dysfunction in obese individuals (31). We also found that FSG was in positive association with SBP in women and in total participants ($P < 0.05$). This finding was in consistent with several other reports in general population (26) and in middle aged population (32). The mechanisms underlying this positive relationship have not been fully determined; however one possible mechanism is that high serum glucose concentrations can increase stiffness of joints and arteries and ultimately higher blood pressure via non-enzymatic glycosylation of collagen and elastin (33, 34). Additionally higher serum insulin can also directly increases arterial stiffness

and hypertension (35).

The prevalence of hypertension in our study was higher compared with several other studies (36); The prevalence in men and women were 16.36%, 22.46 % for pre-hypertension and 31%, 34% for hypertension in men and women respectively; Gambassi G et al. reported the prevalence of hypertension 33% and 27% in elderly women and men respectively (37). There is a point of controversy that whether the hypertension prevalence will be higher in older women than in men which has been reported in several cross-sectional studies (38-41). It has been suggested that the protective role of estrogen is responsible to lower blood pressure in young women than in men (39) and menopause leads to increase in blood pressure in women (41, 42). However several factors other than menopause such as lower physical activity level might be responsible in higher blood pressure in older women (40). Moreover, the literature suggests that women are more likely to be unaware of treat to health that pre-hypertension or hypertension may pose (43).

Living arrangements can also be a potent predictor of cardiovascular risk factors and high blood pressure in elderly population; in our study the percent of elderly subjects who were living alone among hypertensive and pre-hypertensive group were 14.8% and 24.28% respectively. Consistent with our find-

ings, Gliksman et al. (48) found that elderly men living alone had the highest SBP in the Western Sydney Stroke Risk in Elderly Study.

The current study has several limitations; first of all we did not evaluate serum concentrations of other metabolic risk factors including total cholesterol, triglyceride or high density lipoprotein concentrations. Moreover the data about nutritional intakes as a major determinant of hypertension and its metabolic risk factors were not assessed. However, the current study was the first one evaluating the hypertension prevalence in rural areas of East Azarbayjan and the results of the current study can point to the high prevalence of pre- hypertension and hypertension in rural communities of Iran.

In conclusion, the high prevalence of hypertension and pre-hypertension among this elderly population in rural areas, and the positive relationships between blood pressure and body mass index, suggests that rural elderly individuals are especially vulnerable to cardiovascular disease and further confirms the need of additional counseling about the presence of health problems and refer to other health care providers for elderly rural people in East Azarbayjan-Iran.

Acknowledgements

We thank all of the health workers in the health care system for their cooperation.

References

1. Yildiran H, Acar TN, Koksall E, Gezmen KM, Akbulut G, Bilici S et al. The association of anthropometric measurements and lipid profiles in Turkish hypertensive adults. *Afr Health Sci.* 2011; 11(3): 407-413.
2. Onat A, Sansoy V. Systolic and diastolic blood pressure related to six other risk parameters in Turkish adults: Strong correlation with relative weight. *Int J Cardiol.* 1998; 63: 295-303.
3. Fuchs FD, Gus M, Moreira LB, Moraes RS, Wiehe M, Pereira GM. Anthropometric indices and the incidence of hypertension: a comparative analysis. *Obes Res* 2005; 13(9): 1515-1517.
4. Azizi F, Ghanbarian A, Madjid M, Rahmani M. Distribution of blood pressure and prevalence of hypertension in Tehran adult population: Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study (TLGS), 1999-2000. *J Hum Hypertens.* 2002; 16 (5): 305-312.
5. World Health Organization (WHO) report. Reducing risks, promoting health life: [http:// www.who.int/whr/2002/](http://www.who.int/whr/2002/).
6. Aghaei Meybodi HR, Khashayar P, Rezai Homami M, Heshmat R, Larijani B. Prevalence of hypertension in an Iranian population. *Ren Fail* 2014; 36 (1):87-91.
7. Fallah Z, Qorbani M, Esmail-Motlagh M, Heshmat R, Ardalan G, Kelishadi R. Prevalence of prehypertension and hypertension in a nationally representative sample of Iranian children and adolescents: The CASPIAN-IV study. *Int J Prev Med Ped Hypert* 2014; S57-S64.
8. Aronow WS, Fleg JL, Pepine CJ. ACCF/AHA 2011 expert consensus document on hypertension in the elderly: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation Task Force on Clinical Expert Consensus Documents. *Circulation* 2011; 123 (21): 2434-2506.
9. Haghdoost AA, Sadeghirad B, Rezazadehkermani M. Epidemiology and Heterogeneity of Hypertension in Iran: A Systematic Review. *Arch Iranian Med* 2008; 11 (4): 444 - 452
10. Ostchega Y, Dillon CF, Hughes JP. Trends in hypertension prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control in older U.S. adults: data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 1988 to 2004. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2007; 55 (7): 1056-1065.
11. Egan BM, Zhao Y, Axon RN. US trends in prevalence, awareness, treatment, and control of hypertension 1988-2008. *JAMA.* 2010; 303 (20): 2043-2050.
12. Vokonas PS, Kannel WB, Cupples LA. Epidemiology and risk of hypertension in the elderly: the Framingham Study. *J Hypertens.* 1988; 6 (1):S3-9.
13. Mihalache L, Graur LI, Popescu DS, Boiculescu L, Badiu C, Graur M. The prevalence of the metabolic syndrome and its components in a rural community. *Acta Endocrinologica (Buc).* 2012; 8 (4): 595-606.
14. Feresu SA, Zhang W, Puumala SE, Ullrich F, Anderson JR. The frequency and distribution of cardiovascular disease risk factors among Nebraska women enrolled in the WISE-WOMAN screening program. *J Womens Health.* 2008; 17 (4): 607-617.
15. WHO expert committee on physical status. The use and interpretation of anthropometry: Report of a WHO expert committee, in World Health Organization Technical Report Series. 1995, Geneva- Switzerland p. 854.
16. Abbasalizad Farhangi M, Keshavarz SA, Eshraghian M, Ostadrahimi A, Saboor-Yaraghi AA. White blood cell count in women: relation to inflammatory biomarkers, haematological profiles, visceral adiposity, and other cardiovascular risk factors. *J Health Popul Nutr* 2013; 31(1):58-64
17. Chobanian A, Bakris G, Black H, Cushman W, Green L, Izzo E et al. Seventh report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure. *Hypertension.* 2003; 42: 1206-1252.
18. The Expert Committee on the Diagnosis and Classification of Diabetes Mellitus: Follow-up report on the diagnosis of diabetes mellitus. *Diabetes Care.* 2003; 26: 3160- 3167.

19. Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. On behalf of the American Heart Association and National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: an American Heart Association/National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute scientific statement. *Circulation*. 2005; 112:2735-52.
20. Quasem I, Shetye M, Alex S, Nag A, Sarma P, Thankappan K, et al. Prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension among the elderly in Bangladesh and India: a multicentre study. *B World Health Organ*. 2001; 79 (6): 490-500.
21. Doll S, Paccaud F, Bovet P, Burnier M, Wietlisbach V. Body mass index, abdominal adiposity and blood pressure: consistency of their association across developing and developed countries. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2002; 26 (1): 48-57.
22. Adedoyin RA, Mbada CE, Bisiriyu LA, Adebayo RA, Balogun MO, Akintomide AO. Relationship of anthropometric indicators with blood pressure levels and the risk of hypertension in Nigerian adults. *Int J Gen Med*. 2008; 1: 33-40.
23. Aekplakorn W. Prevalence, treatment, and control of metabolic risk factors by BMI status in Thai adults: National Health Examination Survey III. *Asia Pac J Public Health* 2011; 23 (3): 298-306.
24. Midha T, Idris MZ, Saran RK, Srivastav AK, Singh SK. Prevalence and determinants of hypertension in the urban and rural population of a north Indian district. *East Afr J Public Health*. 2009; 6 (3): 268-73.
25. Faheem M, Qureshi S, Ali J, Zahoor H, Abbas F, Mahmood Gul A, et al. Does BMI affect cholesterol, sugar, and blood pressure in general population? *J Ayub Med Coll Abbotabad*. 2010; 22 (4): 74-77.
26. Hageman P, Pullen C, Walker S, Boeckner L. Blood pressure, fitness, and lipid profiles of rural women in the wellness for women project. *Cardiopulm Phys Ther J*. 2010; 21 (3): 27-32.
27. Brandon L, Mullis R, Jonnalagadda S, Hughes M. Relationships and CHD risks of BMI, lipoproteins, lipids, and blood pressure in African-American men and women. *Prev Med*. 2005; 40 (3): 349-354.
28. Tuan NT, Adair LS, Stevens J, Popkin BM. Prediction of hypertension by different anthropometric indices in adults: the change in estimate approach. *Public Health Nutr*. 2010; 13 (5): 639-646.
29. Landsberg L. Insulin-mediated sympathetic stimulation: role in the pathogenesis of obesity-related hypertension. *J Hypertens*. 2001; 19 (3): 523-528.
30. Sharma AM. Is there a rationale for angiotensin blockade in the management of obesity hypertension? *Hypertension*. 2004; 44: 12-19.
31. Elian VI, Cucu I, Cheta D, Serafinceanu C. Weight loss in young obese subjects improves lipids and adipokines levels and reduces arterial stiffness. *Acta Endocrinologica (Buc)*. 2013; 9 (1): 79-86.
32. Filipovsky J, Ducimetiere P, Eschwege E, Richard JL, Rosselin G, Claude JR. The relationship of blood pressure with glucose, insulin, heart rate, free fatty acids and plasma cortisol levels according to degree of obesity in middle-aged men. *J Hypertens*. 1996; 14 (2): 229-235.
33. Brownlee M, Vlassara H, Cerami A. Nonenzymatic glycosylation and the pathogenesis of diabetic complications. *Ann Intern Med*. 1984; 101:527-537.
34. Monnier VM, Vishwanath V, Frank KE, Elmetts CA, Dauchot P, Kohn RR. Relation between complications of type I diabetes mellitus and collagen-linked fluorescence. *N Engl J Med*. 1986; 314: 403-408.
35. Salomaa V, Riley W, Kark J.D., Nardo C, Folsom AR. Non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus and fasting glucose and insulin concentrations are associated with arterial stiffness indexes. *Circulation*. 1995; 91: 1432-1443.
36. Esteghamati A, Meysamie A, Khalilzadeh O, Rashidi A, Haghazali M, Asgari F, et al. Third national surveillance of risk factors of non-communicable diseases (SuRFNCD-2007) in Iran: methods and results on prevalence of diabetes, hypertension, obesity, central obesity, and dyslipidemia. *BMC Public Health*. 2009; 9: 167-177.
37. Gambassi G, Lapane K, Sgadari A. Prevalence, clinical correlates, and treatment of hypertension in elderly nursing home residents. *Arch Intern Med*. 1998; 158 (21): 2377-2385.
38. Gambassi G, Lapane K, Sgadari A, Landi F, Carbonin P, Hume A et al. Prevalence, clinical correlates, and treatment of hypertension in elderly nursing home residents. *Arch Intern Med*. 1998; 158 (21): 2377-2385.
39. August P, Oparil S. Hypertension in Women. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab*. 1999; 84 (6): 1862-1866.
40. Kotchen JM, MacKean HE, Kotchen TA. Blood pressure trends with aging. *Hypertension* 1982; 4:128-134.
41. Weiss NS. Relationship of menopause to serum cholesterol and arterial pressure: The United States Health Examination Survey of Adults. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1972; 96 (4): 237-241.
42. Staessen J, Bulpitt CJ, Fagard R, Lijnen P, Amery A. The influence of menopause on blood pressure. *J Hum Hypertens*. 1989; 3:427-433.
43. Arnett DK, Jacobs DR Jr, Luepker RV, Blackburn H, Armstrong C, Claas SA. Twenty-year trends in serum cholesterol, hypercholesterolemia, and cholesterol medication use: the Minnesota Heart Survey, 1980- 1982 to 2000-2002. *Circulation*. 2005; 112 (25): 3884-3891.
44. Gallo L, Troxel WM, Kuller LH, Sutton-Tyrrel K, Edmundowicz D, Matthews KA. Marital status, marital quality, and atherosclerotic burden in postmenopausal women. *Psychosom Med*. 2003; 65: 952-962.
45. Broadwell SD, Light KC. Family support and cardiovascular responses in married couples during conflict and other interactions. *Int J Behav Med*. 1999; 6:40-63.
46. Kiecolt-Glaser JK, Glaser R, Cacioppo JT, Maccallum RC, Snydersmith M, Kim C, et al. Marital conflict in older adults: endocrinological and immunological correlates. *Psychosom Med*. 1989; 59:339-349.

47. Mayne TJ, O'Leary A, McCrady B, Contrada R, Labouvie C. The differential effects of acute marital distress on emotional, physiological and immune functions in martially distressed men and women. *Psychol and Health*. 1997; 12: 277-288.
48. Glikzman MD, Lazarus R, Wilson A, Leeder SR. Social support, marital status and living arrangement correlates of cardiovascular disease risk factors in the elderly. *Soc Sci Med*. 1995; 40 (6): 811-814.

Correspondence:
Mahdiah Abbasalizad Farhangi, Associate Professor
Drug Applied Research Center, Nutrition Research Center,
Department of Community Nutrition, Faculty of Nutrition,
Tabriz University of Medical Sciences,
Tabriz, Iran
E-mail: abbasalizad_m@yahoo.com