

The Effect of Plyometric Training Performed on Different Surfaces on Some Performance Parameters

Selim Buğra¹, Yıldırım Gökhan Gencer²

¹Provincial Directorate of Youth Services and Sports, Şırnak, Turkey; ²Faculty of Sports Sciences, Mersin University, Mersin, Turkey

Abstract. *Study Objective:* This study aimed to examine the effects of plyometric training performed on different surfaces on some performance measurement parameters in basketball players and compare them. *Methods:* Experimental design with the pretest-posttest control group, which was one of the real experimental models, was used in the study. Using simple random sampling, one of the random sampling methods, 48 male basketball players were divided into aqua (n=12), grass surface (n=12), parquet surface (n=12), and control groups (n=12). In the study, after the plyometric training, on-court basketball technical training was applied to the experimental groups. On the other hand, only basketball technical training was applied to the control group. Height, body weight, vertical jump, long jump, balance, agility, flexibility, speed, and anaerobic power measurements were taken before and after the training. *Results:* In the pre-test and post-test comparisons within the group, the difference was significant in balance and sprint measurement, except for the control group, in the aqua group in the flexibility measurement tests, in all groups in the vertical jump and long jump measurements, and in the aqua, grass and parquet surface groups in the agility measurement ($p < 0,05$) was found and it was determined that the best improvement was in favor of the group working in water. *Conclusions:* As a result, it can be said that plyometric exercises applied in aqua, grass, and parquet surfaces will positively improve the vertical jump strength, agility, balance skills, long jump, and anaerobic power performance of 12-15 age-group basketball players.

Key words: plyometric, aqua, grass surface, parquet surface, basketball

Introduction

The word “Plyometric” comes from the Greek word “plethyein”, which means to increase or multiply plyometrics (1). It is used to describe exercises that allow athletes to take advantage of the stretch-shortening cycle to create an explosive movement (2). In running and jumping movements, the muscles first contract eccentrically, then concentric contraction follows. This combination of eccentric and concentric motion is defined as the stress-shortening cycle. Plyometric exercises consist of eccentric, amortization, and concentric contraction phases. In the eccentric phase, the muscle-tendon units of the agonist and synergist

muscles in the lower extremity are stretched as a result of the load and the kinetic energy created in the joint. Stretching of the muscle-tendon unit during the eccentric phase results in an increase in both performance and strength generation, which creates the strain-shortening cycle. The time between the cessation of the eccentric stress and the beginning of the concentric movement is called the amortization phase. It represents the delay time between the negative workload of eccentric stretching and muscle movement, including elastic recovery, to generate force in the plyometric movement. This phase, which plays a key role, is very important for improving performance. Prolonging the amortization phase will ensure that the

accumulated energy is wasted as heat. Immediately after the amortization phase, the concentric phase occurs with the shortening of the muscle-tendon unit. This phase is the functional phase of plyometric exercise with force generation. It occurs as a result of multiple interactions, including biomechanical responses using the elastic structures of previously stretched muscles in the concentric phase, which is the last stage of plyometric movement (3-7).

Due to the support of intramuscular coordination with plyometric exercises, it performs a rapid and distinct maximal strength change without any change in muscle mass or body weight, which is preferred in all sports branches that explosive strength has an important place (8, 9). Since many basketball trainers argue that vertical jump and leg strength are the basis of superior performance, they also attach importance to methods that accelerate muscle response and plyometric exercises (10). In terms of being a basis for strength training, it is stated that it would be better to perform plyometric exercises at the beginner level in adolescent athletes and the intermediate level in advanced ages (11).

Plyometric training is a versatile method. Therefore, plyometric training can be performed on surfaces with different properties (12). The choice of the training surface can affect injuries, as well as change the effects of plyometric training. The hardness of the surface where bounces, jumps, and other explosive movements are performed can affect the amortization stage by extending or shortening the contact time with the ground (7). In this study, the effects of plyometric training on different surfaces were examined and it was aimed to investigate which one would have better results by predicting the differences in the amortization stage between the soils. In this context, this study aimed to examine the effects of plyometric training on

parquet surface, grass surface, and aqua on some performance parameters on 12-15 age-group basketball players.

Material and Methods

Participants

The study was approved by the Mersin University Ethics Committee and adhered to the Declaration of Helsinki. Before the participation, the necessary permissions were obtained from the sports clubs and the necessary permissions from the athletes' parents, and the study was conducted with a total of 48 male athletes aged 12-15, who did not have any knee and ankle beard before, and who had at least two years of licensed basketball player history. Participants were divided into 4 groups as control (n=12), aqua (n=12), grass surface (n=12), and parquet surface (n=12) (Table 1). In the determination of the groups, it was determined by using simple random sampling, which was one of the random sampling methods.

Procedure

In the study, only basketball technical training was applied to the control group for 8 weeks, and plyometric training + basketball technical training was applied to the experimental groups. Plyometric exercises (10 minutes warm-up, 20 minutes main phase, and 10 minutes cool-down) were applied to the experimental groups two days a week before basketball training (Table 2). Basketball technical training (10 minutes warm-up, 40 minutes main phase, and 10 minutes cool-down) was applied to all study groups four days a week. The Aqua group participated in

Table 1. Some Anthropometric Measurements of the Participants

Parameters	Aqua Group (n=12)	Grass Surface Group (n=12)	Parquet Surface Group (n=12)	Control Group (n=12)
Age	13.33±1.15	13.08±.79	13.17±1.11	13.58±.90
Weight (kg)	50.87±12.63	58.19±11.16	55.36±18.98	57.71±7.33
Height(cm)	160.50±13.24	165.75±9.41	163.83±16.55	166.75±6.38
BMI (kg/m ²)	19.35±2.53	20.64±3.29	19.83±3.25	20.58±1.71

the ground. They were asked to wait for 2 seconds while the movement was taking place (20). Before the measurements, the movement was shown to the athletes practically and after the trial phase, each athlete applied the test twice. In the evaluation, the best grade of the athlete was recorded in the measurement form in cm.

Sprint Test: When the athletes were ready, they finished the test with maximum speed by making a rapid exit at a distance of 20 meters. The measurements of the athletes were recorded in seconds with the WT 3540 brand photocell stopwatch. Each athlete performed the test twice, the best result was recorded on the measurement form.

Long Jump Test: The distance between the heel of the left foot after contacting the ground by jumping forward as far as possible with the swing of the arms, with the arms in the semi-squat position just behind the determined line, was measured with meters (21). Each athlete applied the test twice and their best scores were recorded in cm.

Anaerobic Power: To calculate the anaerobic power of the participants; $P = [\sqrt{4,9(\text{bodyweight kg})}] \times \sqrt{\text{vertical jump distance (m.)}} \times 9.81$ Lewis formula was used (22).

Statistical analysis

The obtained data were analyzed with the SPSS package program. The distribution of the data was analyzed with the Shapiro Wilk test. As a result of the test, it was determined that the data did not show normal distribution, and the data were analyzed with non-parametric tests. Descriptive statistics, Mann Whitney U-Test for pairwise comparisons, Kruskal-Wallis Test for multiple groups were used in the analyses. Non-parametric Wilcoxon Paired-Sample Test was used to determine the significance of the difference between the pre-test and post-test for each group. Differences between groups (posttest and post-pretest differences) were made with the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Significance levels were evaluated according to $p < 0.05$.

Results

The results obtained from the study and the statistical analysis of these results were presented in the following tables.

As can be seen in Table 3, while the difference in balance, sprint, and agility parameters was significant in all groups except the control group, the difference was significant in flexibility only in the aqua group. The difference between the pretest and posttest in the vertical jump, long jump, and anaerobic power variables were significant in all groups ($p < 0.05$).

As seen in Table 4, after 8 weeks of training on different surfaces, according to the difference between the performance measurements of the participants, in vertical jump; In the agility parameter, the grass, parquet surface, and control group of the aqua group, and the control group of the grass and parquet surface working groups; standing long jump and anaerobic power; in parameters; Statistically significant difference was found between the group working in aqua and the parquet and control group, and the groups working on grass and parquet surfaces with the control group ($p < 0.05$).

Discussion and Conclusion

In this study, a difference was found in the vertical jump in all groups (Table 3). There were many studies supporting this significant difference in studies on plyometric training (13,18,23,24,25,26). It was seen that the highest increase between the pre-test and post-test in the experimental groups was in the aqua group. There are also studies supporting these results (27,28,29,30). This may be since, unlike other groups, water-resistance during training in the aqua group required extra force applications to take the feet of the athletes out of the water. Moreover, since the group athletes working in the water exercised in water for the first time, it enabled them to be more willing and concentrated than the athletes working on other surfaces, so it may have improved their vertical jump performance more positively.

There was a significant difference between the pretest and posttest scores of the long jump test in all groups (Table 3). Studies were supported that plyometric exercises also increased long jumps such as vertical jumps are frequently encountered (11,27,31,32,33,34,35). Besides, the difference in anaerobic power pre-test and post-test scores was found

Table 3. Wilcoxon Signed Ranks Test Results of Participants' Pre-Test-Post-Test Measurement Values

Variables	Groups	N	Pre-Test ($\bar{X}\pm SS$)	Post-Test ($\bar{X}\pm SS$)	Z	p
Balance	Aqua Group	12	2.17±1.26	1.25±.96	-2.636	.008*
	Grass Surface	12	3.25±1.35	2.08±.99	-2.558	.011*
	Parquet Surface	12	2.92±1.62	1.92±1.24	-2.460	.014*
	Control Group	12	2.75±.96	2.50±.67	-1.342	.180
Sprint	Aqua Group	12	3.61±.37	3.45±.33	-2.080	.037*
	Grass Surface	12	4.00±.41	3.83±.41	-2.589	.010*
	Parquet Surface	12	4.00±.43	3.81±.49	-2.118	.034*
	Control Group	12	3.59±.48	3.49±.40	-1.735	.083
Vertical Jump	Aqua Group	12	31.65±5.49	36.64±6.07	-3.061	.002*
	Grass Surface	12	30.07±4.50	33.76±4.73	-3.061	.002*
	Parquet Surface	12	29.02±4.91	32.35±5.12	-3.064	.002*
	Control Group	12	30.34±3.34	31.67±3.25	-3.063	.002*
Agility	Aqua Group	12	15.02±2.21	13.41±2.30	-3.061	.002*
	Grass Surface	12	15.53±1.67	14.94±1.73	-2.353	.019*
	Parquet Surface	12	16.29±1.61	15.78±1.61	-1.961	.050*
	Control Group	12	15.14±.89	15.13±.80	-.078	.937
Flexibility	Aqua Group	12	28.75±5.25	29.16±5.34	-2.041	.041*
	Grass Surface	12	27.12±4.46	27.75±4.75	-1.932	.053
	Parquet Surface	12	26.67±6.19	26.75±4.02	-.045	.964
	Control Group	12	28.70±3.68	28.75±3.73	-.071	.943
Long jump	Aqua Group	12	170.08±32.90	177.67±32.08	-3.077	.002*
	Grass Surface	12	156.00±32.21	161.58±33.11	-3.075	.002*
	Parquet Surface	12	151.00±33.73	155.75±34.13	-3.071	.002*
	Control Group	12	162.25±19.77	163.67±20.22	-2.699	.007*
Anaerobic Power	Aqua Group	12	626.06±188.67	669.32±197.15	-3.059	.002*
	Grass Surface	12	691.09±138.97	727.46±144.93	-3.059	.002*
	Parquet Surface	12	653.30±248.30	682.31±252.99	-3.059	.002*
	Control Group	12	690.93±106.33	701.09±106.70	-2.824	.005*

*p<0.05

Table 4. Kruskal Wallis-H Test Results According to the Difference Between Pretest-Posttest Scores of Performance Measurement Values

Variables	Groups	N	Rank Averages	df	X ²	p	Difference Between Groups
Vertical Jump	Aqua Group	12	39.38	3	34.408	.000*	1-2, 1-3, 1-4, 2-4, 3-4
	Grass Surface	12	28.38				
	Parquet Surface	12	23.75				
	Control Group	12	6.50				

Table 4 (Continued)

Variables	Groups	N	Rank Averages	df	X ²	p	Difference Between Groups
Balance	Aqua Group	12	23.04	3	5.923	.115	
	Grass Surface	12	20.58				
	Parquet Surface	12	22.00				
	Control Group	12	32.38				
Agility	Aqua Group	12	8.88	3	23.838	.000*	1-2, 1-3,1-4
	Grass Surface	12	25.71				
	Parquet Surface	12	27.25				
	Control Group	12	36.17				
Flexibility	Aqua Group	12	26.46	3	2.911	.406	
	Grass Surface	12	29.08				
	Parquet Surface	12	21.17				
	Control Group	12	21.29				
Sprint	Aqua Group	12	23.75	3	1.069	.785	
	Grass Surface	12	23.21				
	Parquet Surface	12	22.96				
	Control Group	12	28.08				
Long Jump	Aqua Group	12	37.17	3	29.298	.000*	1-3, 1-4, 2-4, 3-4,
	Grass Surface	12	29.96				
	Parquet Surface	12	23.13				
	Control Group	12	7.75				
Anaerobic Power	Aqua Group	12	36.00	3	27.878	.000*	1-3, 1-4, 2-4, 3-4
	Grass Surface	12	30.92				
	Parquet Surface	12	23.33				
	Control Group	12	7.75				

*p<0.05

to be significant in all groups, showing that plyometric exercises also affect anaerobic power indirectly by improving jumping ability (18,36,37,38). Miller, Berry, Bullard, and Gilders (2002) stated that Aqua plyometric training would be an alternative way to increase performance like other plyometrics (39). The reason for the significant difference in the control group may be that there were too many applications to improve jumping skills in basketball training, although plyometrics were not studied.

In the literature, it was stated that plyometric exercises including jumps and bounces increase balance performance due to the need for extra balance to perform the movements properly in athletes (17,40), but long-term exercises with high intensity affect balance performance negatively (41). In this study, plyometric

studies were applied at the beginner and intermediate levels. For this reason, this study may have positively affected the balance performances of the experimental groups and supported the literature. The reason why there was no significant difference in balance skills in the control group may be due to the lack of extra applications or plyometric studies for balance skills.

In this study, it was found that plyometric exercises affected agility (Table 3). Plyometric-based strength exercises contributed to the development of agility (42,43). Yıldız et al. (2017) stated that agility was in a relationship according to the jumping protocols applied in the sports branch (44). Due to the specialization principle of the training, there was a relationship between the movements that the athletes perform in the branch and the direction of the movements, and

agility. In the applied plyometric training protocol, the presence of forward and backward movements, as well as horizontal and vertical jumps, can increase agility performance.

According to the flexibility test score (Table 3), there was a significant change only in the aqua experimental group, based on the idea that the evaluation alone may be wrong, and that it should be evaluated together with the strength development; In the study of plyometric training, Ateş et al. (2007) provided the development of inter and intramuscular coordination, and as Uzuner (2016) stated, it may be since water exercises can increase the flexibility of the hamstring and gluteus maximus due to the increase in the flexibility of the connective tissues to which they were attached with the muscles (45,46).

Studies showed that plyometric exercises improve sprint performance, similar to Table 1 (18,38,47). Components such as stride frequency and stride length were very important in the development of speed (18). In plyometric studies, it may have increased the sprint value since it contains too much movement for the number of strides and jumps (contact with the ground).

In this study, when the pre-test and post-test data obtained by performing 8-week aqua, grass surface, and parquet surface plyometric training applied to the 12-15 age group basketball players were examined, it was seen that the plyometric training applied on different surfaces were the vertical jump, balance, agility, 20 m sprint. It was seen that it provided significant improvements in the long jump and anaerobic power parameters. It was seen that there was a significant difference in the flexibility parameter between aqua, grass, and parquet surfaces in favor of the aqua group. As a result of the data obtained, it can be said that plyometric exercises applied in aqua, grass, and parquet surfaces will positively improve the vertical jump strength, balance skills, 20 m sprint, long jump, and anaerobic power performances of basketball players. In this study on basketball players, it was seen that the outstanding results in terms of surface groups and performance parameters were in the aqua group (Tables 3 and 4). According to Davies et al. (2015), for an effective and high plyometric movement, the duration of the amortization phase should be short (42). They stated that the prolongation of the amortization phase can reduce

the occurrence of an effective concentric contraction by preventing the stretch reflex from being active because the accumulated energy was wasted as heat. Although there was a prolongation in the amortization phase with the prolongation of the contact time to the ground due to the buoyancy of the aqua plyometric studies, while it was different from the other groups, and it was thought that the reason was the athletes applied extra force to take their feet out of the water due to the water resistance along with the friction. Besides, Katkat et al. (2009) stated that as the hardness coefficient of the surface increased during plyometric studies, muscle damage increased in athletes, and the recovery period prolonged (48). Moreover, Arazi, Eston, Asadi, Roozbeh, and Saati Zarei (2016) stated that aqua exercises would be beneficial for performance improvements with less muscle damage and pain (49). As a result, it can be concluded that aqua, grass, and parquet surfaces can be considered as alternatives to each other for plyometric studies and that the studies to be done can improve performance in 12-15-year-old basketball players positively, and it can be said that aqua plyometric studies up to knee level can be effective in plyometric studies to be done on different surfaces to improve the athletic performance of athletes.

However, in new plyometric studies, the training intensities to be applied to the athletes or the difficulty levels of the movements can be changed, as well as the diversification of the surfaces. Moreover, for aqua studies, power outputs and effects can be examined by measuring the amortization times according to the height differences of the water.

Acknowledgement: This study was obtained from Selim Buğa's master thesis.

Conflicts of interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest about this manuscript.

References

1. Patel NN. Plyometric training: a review article. *International Journal of Current Research and Review* 2014; 6 (15): 33.
2. Faccioni A, Garcia L, Herrero A. ve De Paz F. Plyometrics. *Metodología de entrenamiento pliométrico. Revista*

- Internacional de Medicina y Ciencias de la Actividad Física y el Deporte 2003; 190-204.
3. Akalan C, Bayraktar B. The effect of plyometric training planned according to the differences of right and left leg jump skills on double leg performance in volleyball players. Hacettepe University Volleyball Science and Technology Magazine 2007;1: 32-45.
 4. Chu Donald A, Meyer G. Curt. Plyometrics. Human kinetics 2013.
 5. Karadeniz Ç. Effect of the plyometric exercises on working time in vertical jumping and determined model in volleyball. Master Thesis 1998.
 6. Demirci S. The effect of plyometric training with blood flow restriction on muscle strength and function after anterior cruciate ligament reconstruction. PhD Thesis 2019.
 7. Hansen D, Kennelly S. Plyometric anatomy. Human Kinetics 2017.
 8. Markovic G. Does plyometric training improve vertical jump height? A meta-analytical review. British journal of sports medicine 2007; 41 (6): 349-355.
 9. Gencer YG, Iğdir EC, ahin S, Eriş F. Effects of 8 Weeks of Plyometric Exercise on Certain Physiological Parameters and Performance of Swimmers. Journal of Education and Training Studies 2018; 6 (7): 49-54.
 10. Kızılet A, Atılan O, Erdemir İ. The effect of the different strength training on quickness and jumping abilities of basketball players between 12 and 14 age group. Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences 2010; 12 (2): 44-57.
 11. Pancar Z, Biçer M, Özdal M. Effect of 8-week plyometric training on selected strength parameters of 12-14 aged woman handball players. Ondokuz Mayıs University Journal of Sports and Performance Researches 2018; 9 (1): 18-24.
 12. Bayraktar BY. The effect of plyometric training planned via left and right leg jumping skill differences on double-leg jumping performance of volleyball players. Master Thesis; 2008.
 13. Bavlı Ö. The effect of aquatic plyometrics and land plyometrics on structural and biomotorical features of adolescent basketball players. PhD Thesis 2009.
 14. Glatthorn JF, Gouge S, Nussbaumer S, Stauffacher S, Impellizzeri FM, Maffiuletti NA. Validity and reliability of Optojump photoelectric cells for estimating vertical jump height. The Journal of Strength & Conditioning Research 2011; 25 (2): 556-560.
 15. Kranti P, Watson A, Joseph OR, Mukesh S, Mansoor R. A study to associate the Flamingo Test and the Stork Test in measuring static balance on healthy adults. The Foot and Ankle Online Journal 2015; 8 (3): 4.
 16. Erdoğan CS, Fatmanur ER, İpekoğlu G, Çolakoğlu T, Zorba E, Çolakoğlu FF. The effects of different type balance exercises on static and dynamic balance performance in volleyball players. Ondokuz Mayıs University Journal of Sports and Performance Researches 2017; 8 (1), 11-18.
 17. Yerli T. The effect of plyometric trainings on some physical parameters in 15-16 aged basketball players. Unpublished Master Thesis 2020.
 18. Cigerci AE, Genc H. Plyometric training improves some physical and biomotoric parameters of young male basketball players. International journal of applied exercise physiology 2020; 9: 260-268.
 19. Brown AE. The reliability and validity of the lane agility test for collegiate basketball players. University of Wisconsin-La Crosse, College of Science and Health 2012.
 20. Gene MA. "Egzersiz Fizyolojisi Laboratuvar El Kitabı." Çev: Özer MK., Ankara, Nobel Akademik Yayıncılık; 2013.
 21. Reiman Michael P, Robert C. Manske. Functional testing in human performance. Human kinetics; 2009.
 22. Fox EL.; Mathews DK. Interval training: conditioning for sports and general fitness. Par Edward L. fox Et Donald K. Mathews. Illus. Par Nancy Allison Close. Saunders; 1974.
 23. Yarayan TF, Müniroğlu RS. Effect of eight-week plyometric training program on vertical jump, agility, speed and strength parameters in 13-14 age group soccer players. Spormetre The Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences 2020; 18 (4): 100-112.
 24. Shaji J, Isha S. Comparative analysis of plyometric training program and dynamic stretching on vertical jump and agility in male collegiate basketball player. Al Ameen Journal of Medical Sciences 2009; 2 (1), 36-46.
 25. Cicioğlu İ, Gökdemir K, Erol E. Pliometrik Antrenmanın 14-15 Yaş Grubu Basketbolcuların Dikey Sıçrama Performansı İle Bazı Fiziksel ve Fizyolojik Parametreleri Üzerine Etkisi. Hacettepe Journal of Sport Sciences 1996; 7 (1): 11-23.
 26. Kubo K, Morimoto M, Komuro T, Yata H, Tsunoda N, Kanehisa H, Fukunaga T. Effects of plyometric and weight training on muscle-tendon complex and jump performance. Medicine and science in sports and exercise 2007; 39 (10): 1801.
 27. Genç H, Cigerci AE. Investigation of the effect of eight weekly plyometric training program on body composition and some motorical properties in 13-14 age group handball players. Journal of Sport for All and Recreation 2020; 2 (1): 34-41.
 28. Kamalakkannan K, Vijayaragunathan N, Kalidasan R. Influence of aquatic training on selected physical fitness variables among volleyball players. Indian journal of science and technology 2010; 3 (7): 743-5.
 29. Arazi H, Coetzee B, Asadi A. Comparative effect of land and aquatic-based plyometric training on jumping ability and agility of young basketball players. South African Journal for Research in Sport, Physical Education and Recreation 2012; 34 (2): 1-14.
 30. Martel GF, Harmer ML, Logan JM, Parker CB. Aquatic plyometric training increases vertical jump in female volleyball players. Medicine and science in sports and exercise 2005; 37 (10): 1814-1819.
 31. Özbar N. Effects of plyometric training on explosive strength, speed and kicking speed in female soccer players. Anthropologist 2015; 19 (2): 333-33.
 32. Çınar V, Öner S, Sarıkaya M, Akbulut T. The effect of four weekly plyometric training on some physical characteristics

- in 10-13 age group handballs. *International Music Dance and Health Congress* 2018; 11-13 Ekim, 36-42.
33. Işıkdak K. The effect of plyometric training on quickness, vertical jumping and long jump effect on performance. *Mediterranean Journal of Sport Science* 2020; 3 (1): 37-44.
 34. Özbar N, Duran D, Duran S, Köksalan B. The Effect of 8-Week Plyometric Training on Speed, Agility and Strength Performance of 13-15 Age Soccer Players. *Düzce Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi* 2020; 10 (2): 194-200. <https://doi.org/10.33631/duzcesbed.609220>
 35. eker MÇ. The effect of 8-week pliometric training on physiological parameters. Master Thesis 2019.
 36. Aydemir B, Yüksel S, Ölmez C. The Effect of Taekwondo-Themed Pliometric Training on the Motoric Properties of 12-14 Years Taekwondo Athletes. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational studies (IntJCES)* 2021; 7 (1): 335-351.
 37. Öner S. The Effects of Pliometric and Resistance Training on Some Motoric and Performance Parameters of Tennis Players. PhD Thesis; 2021.
 38. Turgut C. The effect of 8-week pliometric training on the various physical and physiological parameters of the sportsmen in male secondary school students learned in secondary education. Master Thesis; 2017.
 39. Miller MG, Berry DC, Bullard S, Gilders R. Comparisons of land-based and aquatic-based plyometric programs during an 8-week training period. *Journal of Sport Rehabilitation* 2002; 11 (4): 268-283.
 40. Arazi H, Asadı A. The effect of aquatic and land plyometric training on strength, sprint, and balance in young basketball players. *Journal Of Human Sport & Exercise* 2011; 6 (1).
 41. Uzun A, Karakoç Ö, Göksu ÖC, Yüksel S. Effect of the Plyometric Training on Static Balance of Judokas. *Research in Sports Science* 2018; 8 (1): 49-57.
 42. Davies G, Riemann BL, Manske R. Current concepts of plyometric exercise. *International journal of sports physical therapy* 2015; 10 (6): 760.
 43. Krasnanska L, Pupis M, Izakova A, Pivovarnicek P, Cigan P. The effect of 5 weeks of plyometric and speed training program on the development of chosen specific indicators in basketball. *Sport Science* 2016; 9 (Supplement 2): 108-115.
 44. Yıldız M, Atik H, Baysal A, Keleş G, Kayan Ö, Tekin D. Association between agility and jumping performance in team and court tennis. *Ondokuz Mayıs University Journal of Sports and Performance Researches* 2017; 8 (3): 175-182.
 45. Ateş M, Demir M, Ateşoğlu U. The effects of plyometric training on some physical and physiological parameters of 16-18 years old male soccer players. *Niğde University Journal of Physical Education and Sport Sciences* 2007; 1 (1): 1-12.
 46. Uzuner ME. The effect of aquatherapy on fine – gross motor function and quality of life in individuals with cerebral palsy. Master Thesis; 2016.
 47. Markovic G, Jukic I, Milanovic D, Metikos D. Effects of sprint and plyometric training on muscle function and athletic performance. *Journal of Strength and Conditioning Research* 2007; 21 (2): 543-549.
 48. Katkat D, Bulut Y, Demir M, Akar S. Effects Of Different Sport Surfaces On Muscle Performance. *Biology of Sport* 2009; 26 (3).
 49. Arazi H, Eston R, Asadı A, Roozbeh B, Saati Zarei A. Type of ground surface during plyometric training affects the severity of exercise-induced muscle damage. *Sports* 2016; 4 (1): 15.

Correspondence

Yıldırım Gökhan Gencer
Faculty of Sports Sciences,
Mersin University,
Mersin, Turkey
E-mail: ygokhangencer@mersin.edu.tr