Med. Lav. 2024; 115 (1): e2024008 DOI: 10.23749/mdl.v115i1.15404

# The Role of Physical Exercise in the Prevention of Musculoskeletal Disorders in Manual Workers:

## **A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis**

VALENTINA BULLO<sup>1,2</sup>, FRANCESCO FAVRO<sup>1</sup>, DAVIDE PAVAN<sup>2</sup>, ALESSANDRO BORTOLETTO<sup>1</sup>, STEFANO GOBBO<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, GIUSEPPE DE PALMA<sup>3,4</sup>, STEFANO MATTIOLI<sup>5</sup>, EMMA SALA<sup>4</sup>, LUCIA CUGUSI<sup>6</sup>, ANDREA DI BLASIO<sup>7</sup>, DAVID CRUZ DIAZ<sup>8</sup>, DANILO SALES BOCALINI<sup>9</sup>, MARCO BERGAMIN<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medicine, University of Padova, Padova, Italy

<sup>2</sup>GymHub S.r.l., Spin-off of the University of Padova, Padova, Italy

<sup>3</sup>Occupational Health Unit – DSMC, University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Occupational Health, Hygiene, Toxicology and Prevention Unit, University Hospital ASST Spedali Civili di Brescia, Brescia, Italy

<sup>5</sup> Department of Environmental and Prevention Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

<sup>6</sup>Department of Biomedical Sciences, University of Sassari, Sassari, Italy

<sup>7</sup> Department of Medicine and Sciences of Aging, G. d'Annunzio University of Chieti-Pescara, Chieti, Italy

<sup>8</sup> Department of Health Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University of Jaén, Jean, Spain

<sup>9</sup>Laboratorio de Fisiologia e Bioquimica Experimental, Centro de Educacao Fisica e Deportos, Universida-Federal do Espirito Santo

(UFES), Goiabeiras, Espírito Santo, Brazil

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL A

#### **Pubmed Search Strategy**

- 1. "Exercise"[Mesh]
- 2. "Exercise"
- 3. "Physical Activity"
- 4. "Training Routine"
- 5. OR/1-4
- 6. "Musculoskeletal Diseases"[Mesh]
- 7. "Musculoskeletal Diseases"
- 8. "Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders"

- 9. Job-Related
- 10. Injury
- 11. Illness
- 12. Pain
- 13.0R/10-12
- 14.9 AND 13
- 15. Work-Related
- 16. Injury
- 17. Illness
- 18. Pain
- 19.0R/16-18
- 20.15 AND 19
- 21. Pain
- 22. Neck
- 23. Hand
- 24. Wrist
- 25. Back
- 26. Musculoskeletal
- 27. "Upper Limb"
- 28. Intensity
- 29. Shoulder
- 30. MSK
- 31.OR/22-30
- 32.21 AND 31
- 33. "Perceived"
- 34. "Exertion"

35. "Work"

- 36. AND/33-35
- 37. "Visual Analogue Scale"

38. VAS

- 39. OR/6-8,14,20,32,36-39
- 40. "Return to Work" [Mesh]
- 41. "Workplace" [Mesh]
- 42. "Workplace"
- 43. "Return to Work"
- 44. "Manual Labor"
- 45. "Blue Collar"
- 46. "Manual Handling of Loads"
- 47. Ergonom\*
- 48. "Work Ability"
- 49. "Work Performance"
- 50. "Sick Leave"
- 51. "physically demanding work"
- 52. "Sickness Presenteeism"
- 53. "Sickness Absence"
- 54. "Assembly Work"
- 55. "Handwork"
- 56.OR/40-55
- 57.5 AND 39 AND 56

#### 4 of 61

#### **EMBASE Search Strategy**

# 1 exp exercise/

# 2 ("exercise" or "physical activity" or "training routine").mp. [mp=ti, ab, tx, ct, kw, ot, sh, hw, bo, bt, tn, dm, mf, dv, kf, fx, dq]

# 3 1 or 2

# 4 exp Musculoskeletal Diseases/

# 5 ("Musculoskeletal Diseases" or "work-related musculoskeletal disorders" or (job-related adj3 (injury or illness or pain)) or (work-related adj3 (injury or illness or pain)) or (pain adj3 (neck or hand or wrist or back or musculoskeletal or "upper limb" or intensity or shoulder or msk)) or ("perceived" adj3 "exertion" adj3 "work") or "visual analogue scale" or vas).mp. [mp=ti, ab, tx, ct, kw, ot, sh, hw, bo, bt, tn, dm, mf, dv, kf, fx, dq]

# 6 4 or 5

# 7 ("workplace" or "Return to Work" or "manual labor" or "blue collar" or "manual handling of loads" or ergonom\* or "work ability" or "work performance" or "sick leave" or "physically demanding work" or "sickness presenteeism" or "sickness absence" or "assembly work" or "handwork").mp. [mp=ti, ab, tx, ct, kw, ot, sh, hw, bo, bt, tn, dm, mf, dv, kf, fx, dq]

# 8 exp return to work/

# 9 exp workplace/

# 10 7 or 8 or 9

# 11 3 and 6 and 10

#### **CINAHL Search Strategy**

S1 (MH "Exercise+")

S2 (MH "Musculoskeletal Diseases+")

S3 (MH "Work Environment+") OR (MH "Occupational-Related Injuries") OR (MH "Job Re-Entry")

S4 (MH "Exercise+") OR "exercise" OR "physical activity" OR "training routine"

S5 (MH "Musculoskeletal Diseases+") OR "Musculoskeletal Diseases" OR "work-related musculoskeletal disorders" OR (job-related AND (injury OR illness OR pain)) OR (work-related AND (injury OR illness OR pain)) OR (pain AND (neck OR hand OR wrist OR back OR musculoskeletal OR "upper limb" OR intensity OR shoulder OR MSK)) OR ("perceived" AND "exertion" AND "work") OR "visual analogue scale" OR VAS

S6 (MH "Work Environment+") OR (MH "Occupational-Related Injuries") OR (MH "Job Re-Entry") OR "workplace" OR "Return to Work" OR "manual labor" OR "blue collar" OR "manual handling of loads" OR ergonom\* OR "work ability" OR "work performance" OR "sick leave" OR "physically demanding work" OR "sickness presenteeism" OR "sickness absence" OR "assembly work" OR "handwork"

S7 S4 AND S5 AND S6

#### **Scopus Search Strategy**

TITLE-ABS-KEY ( ( "exercise" OR "physical activity" OR "training routine" ) AND ( "Musculoskeletal Diseases" OR "work-related musculoskeletal ( job- related W/3 ( injury OR illness OR pain ) ) OR ( work-related W/3 ( injury OR illness OR pain ) ) OR ( pain AND ( neck OR hand OR wrist OR back OR musculoskeletal OR "upper disorders" OR limb" OR intensity OR shoulder OR msk ) ) OR ( "perceived" W/3 "exertion" W/3 "work" ) OR "visual analogue scale" OR vas ) AND ( "workplace" OR "Return to Work" OR "manual labor" OR "blue collar" OR "manual handling of loads" OR ergonom\* OR "work ability" OR "work performance" OR "sick leave" OR "physically demanding work" OR "sickness presenteeism" OR "sickness absence" OR "assembly work" OR "handwork" ) ) AND NOT INDEX ( medline )

#### Web of Science Search Strategy

TS=( ( "exercise" OR "physical activity" OR "training routine" ) AND ( "Musculoskeletal Diseases" OR "work-related musculoskeletal disorders" OR ( job-related NEAR/3 ( injury OR illness OR pain ) ) OR (work-related NEAR/3 ( injury OR illness OR pain ) ) OR ( pain NEAR/3 ( neck OR hand OR wrist OR back OR muscoloskeletal OR "upper limb" OR intensity OR shoulder OR msk ) ) OR ( "perceived" NEAR/3 "exertion" NEAR/3 "work" ) OR "visual analogue scale" OR vas ) AND ( "workplace" OR "Return to Work" OR "manual labor" OR "blue collar" OR "manual handling of loads" OR ergonom\* OR "work ability" OR "work performance" OR "sick leave" OR "physically demanding work" OR "sickness presenteeism" OR "sickness absence" OR "assembly work" OR "handwork" ))

#### SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL B

 Table B1: Studies quality summary.

Citation	Randomization	Similarity	Inclusion	Dropouts	Blinding	Compliance	Intention-	Timing of	Follow-	Results
	procedure	of study	or				to-treat	outcomes	up	
		groups	exclusion				analysis	assessment		
			criteria							
AUTHOR	+/-									0/9
Weyh	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	6/9
2020										
Moreira-Silva	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	4/9
2014										
Sundstrup	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	8/9
2014, disability										
Krüger	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	3/9
2015										
Cimarras-Otal	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	8/9
2020										
Ludewig	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	6/9

2002										
Rasotto	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	7/9
2014										
Zebis	+	-	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	7/9
2011										
Pedersen	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	8/9
2013										
Rasotto	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	7/9
2015										
Muñoz-Poblete	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	8/9
2019										
Kang	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	+	-	5/9
2018, lowback										
Camargo	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	+	-	4/9
2009										
Gram	+	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	5/9
2012										
Bertozzi	-	+	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	5/9
2014										
Nurminen	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	8/9

9 of 61

2002										
Sundstrup	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	9/9
2014, upperlimb										
Gobbo	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+	-	4/9
2021										
Kang	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	-	8/9
2018, finger										
Cheng	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	4/9
2007										
Malarvizhi	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	-	2/9
2017										
Lowe	+	+	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	7/9
2017										
Mesquita	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	8/9
2012										

### Table B3: studies descriptions.

Study	Subjects and grouping,	Training modality, program and intensity	Duration and
	age		frequency
Gobbo et al (2021)	22 (M)	1st-3rd wk: 10 min warm-up; resistance exercises for major muscular	12 weeks
		groups with elastic bands or free weights: squat, lunges, glute bridge,	
	Age: 49.11 ± 7.58	standing calf, floor press, upright row, lateral raise (5 sec reps), face pull	Hour: 5:00 p.m.
		(5 sec reps), external/internal rotation (5 sec reps), bicep curl, push	
		down, crunches/plank; 10 min cool-down of stretching exercises.	
		$4^{th}\mathchar`-12^{th}$ wk: 10 min warm-up; workout adapt for each participant with	
		resistance and stretching specific exercises for neck, wrist, forearm,	
		shoulders, and pelvic/hamstrings muscles: isometric neck	
		flexion/extension (5 sec reps), lateral bending, wrist flexion/extension,	
		forearm supination /pronation, shoulder mobility exercises in all	
		directions, pelvic tilt/ hamstrings flexibility exercise; 10 min cool-down	
		of stretching exercises.	
Cimarras-Otal et al	18	Compensatory exercises (displacement of workplace, cervical, spinal	8 weeks
(2020)		movement, handle loads, shoulder movement, use of tools). Three	
	IG – intervention group: 10	exercise levels of difficulty: starting level ( $1^{st}$ - $3^{rd}$ wk), average level ( $4^{th}$ -	
	(8 M, 2 F)	5 <sup>th</sup> wk) and advanced level (7 <sup>th</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> wk).	
	CG – control group: 8 (4		
	M, 4 F)		
	Age IG: 42.25 ± 7.28		
	Age CG: 42.20 ± 5.59		
Weyh et al (2020)	77	STG:	24 weeks
		1 <sup>st</sup> -12 <sup>m</sup> wk: 3 sets of 20-25 reps at 55-60% 1RM	
	ETG – endurance training	13 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> wk: 3 sets of 10-15 reps at 70-75% 1RM	STG: 2-3 d/w
	group : 27 (M), 1 (F)	10 min of global warming before each session 60-sec break between	
		each set.	ETG: 3 d/w
	STG – strength training	Chest or bench press, shoulder raises, seated row, dumbbell neck lift,	
	group: 28 (M)	fore-arm dumbbell curls, cable internal/external rotation, back	
		extension, abdominal crunch/common crunches, leg press.	
	CG – control group: 21 (M)		
	Age ETG: 39 ± 10	1 <sup>**</sup> -12 <sup>***</sup> wk: moderate intensity (65-75% HRmax), 30 min each 4 wk by	
		5 min up to 40 min, vigorous (75-85% HRmax) intensity stayed	
	Age STG: $42 \pm 8$	consequently at 20 min.	

	Age CG: 39 ± 11	13 <sup>th</sup> -24 <sup>th</sup> wk: moderate intensity (65-75% HRmax) once and vigorous	
	U	intensity twice (75-85% HR max) Duration of vigorous intensity	
		increased from 30 min each 4 wk by 5 min up to 40 min moderate	
		intereased from 50 min cach 4 wk by 5 min up to 40 min, moderate	
		intensity keeps at 40 min.	
		Cycling, jogging, (nordic-) walking. 24 hours rest, training volume	
		increased every 4 wk by 10%.	
Muñoz-Poblete et al	105	Progressive resistance; training was bilateral, focusing on three areas of	16 weeks
(2019)		the body: scapular waist zone, shoulder zone, forearm-hand zone-	
	IG – intervention group: 52	started with a pre-tensioned rubber band, concentric contraction,	3 d/w
	(M: 83.2%; F: 16.98%)	isometric contraction (6 sec), eccentric contraction. Pause between each	
		cycle (10 sec).	15 min
	CG – control group: 53 (M:		
	78.6%: E: 21.4%)	Phase 1 (48 sessions): shoulder stabilizing muscles with three	
	70.070, 1. 21. 470)	programsing layels of resistance using the Thereband of 4.6 kg 6.2 kg	
		progressive reversion resistance using the Theraband of 4.0 kg, 0.5 kg	
	Age IG: $29.03 \pm 5.38$	and 8.5 kg for 16 sessions each.	
		Phase 2 (36 sessions): three progressive levels of resistance, Theraband	
	Age CG: 28.36 ± 5.42	of 4.6 kg, 6.3 kg and 8.5 kg for 12 sessions each.	
		Phase 3 (24 sessions): three progressive levels of resistance, Theraband	
		of 4.6 kg, 6.3 kg and 8.5 kg for 8 sessions each.	
		Women carried out the same protocol as men, but with a lower	
		resistance, Theraband of 3.2 kg, 4.6 kg and 6.3 kg.	
		The control group maintained a daily routine established by both	
		companies consisting of stretching exercises. These exercises consisted	
		of limb movements to stretch musculoskeletal tissues.	
Kang et al (2018,	29 (M)	Paraffin bath therapy: temperature 50 $^{\circ}\mathrm{C},$ subjects dipped the affected	8 weeks
finger)		hand into the paraffin, removed the hand, and waited for the layer of	
	IG – intervention group: 15	paraffin to harden and become opaque. Then they redipped the affected	5 d/w
	CG – control group: 14	hand. These procedures were repeated 10 times. Later the affected hand	
		was covered with a towel for 20 min.	30 min/d
	Age IG: 46.7 ± 4.6	Finger exercise program: four exercises [finger stretch (1), roll into a	
	-	first (2), make an "O-sign" (3), thumb abduction/extension (4)]. After	
	Age CG: 47.9 + 4.0	the paraffin bath, exercise 2-6 for 15 reps.	
		Intensity was determined through 10 PM	
		mensity was determined unough 10 KW.	
		15 Obd 1 10	
		1 <sup></sup> -2 <sup></sup> wk: 10 reps	
		3 <sup>rd</sup> -8 <sup>th</sup> wk: 15 reps	

Kang et al (2018,	24	10-min hot pack treatment at 80 °C, 15-min interferential current therapy	6 weeks
lowback)		(2000–2500 Hz), and 5-minute ultrasonic treatment (0.8–1 MHz). Later,	
	SSG – stable surface	familiarization period (30 sec) of lumbar stabilization exercises. 5 min	5 d/w
	group: 12	warm-up and stretching protocol, 20 min main (elbow-toe, back bridge,	
		side bridge, curl up, 10-20 rep/set and 30 sec rest), 5 min cool down and	30 min/d
	USG – unstable surface	stretching protocol.	
	group: 12		
		1 <sup>st</sup> -2 <sup>nd</sup> wk: 3 sets, 10 reps	
	Age SSG: 43.41 ± 5.96	3 <sup>rd</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> wk: 3 sets, 15 reps	
		5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> wk: 3 sets, 20 reps	
	Age USG: 42.83 ± 6.99		
Lowe et al (2017)	66	Resistance band (Therabands, The Hygenic Corporation, Akron, Ohio)	12 months
		strengthening movements and stretching/lengthening of the pectoralis	
	IG – intervention group: 37	and trapezius muscles. Stretches to be less than 30-sec.	As many sessions
			per week as possible
	CG – control group: 29		1 1
			15 min/d
	Age IG: 33.3 ± 8.61		
	C		
	Age CG: 37.4 ± 10.26		
Malarvizhi et al	30 (M)	1 <sup>st</sup> -2 <sup>nd</sup> wk: free exercises, Codman's pendular exercises, wall climbing,	6 weeks
(2017)		sideways, circling and strengthening exercises for all the shoulder	
	IG – intervention group: 15	muscles with dumbbells (max 10 reps).	
	CG – control group: 15	3 <sup>rd</sup> -4 <sup>th</sup> wk <sup>2</sup> strengthening exercises, external/internal rotators.	
		5 <sup>th</sup> -6 <sup>th</sup> wk: stretches, stretch for pectoralis minor, anterior/posterior	
		shoulder, minimize awkward postures, handling tools (power tools	
		create less torque than air tools, reducing forces).	
Krüger et al (2015)	14 (M)	Subjects were tested for their strength (1RM). Strength training for	12 weeks
		trapezius (shoulder press machine in a standing position), forearm	2 d/w, at the same
	IG – intervention group: 7	extensor and flexor m, infraspinatus m, deltoid m., erector spinae m.,	time each day
		biceps and triceps and abdominis m.	
	CG - control group: 7	3 sets, 12-15 reps, 90" rest between exercises; 3' rest between sessions.	60 min/d
		Subjects exercised at an intensity of 70-75% of 1RM. Intensity was	
	Age IG: 26.4 ± .2	measured using RPE.	
	Age CG: 28.1 ± .5		
Rasotto et al (2015)	60 (F)	1 month: first part (~8 minutes) included warm-up exercises at very low	6 months
		intensities; mobilization exercises of shoulder and upper limbs. 3 sets for	
	IG – intervention group: 30	each exercise	2 d/w
		Second part: strength training, 15 minutes, intensity was targeted	
	CG - control group: 30	between 5 to 7 on a perceived exertion scale of 0 to 10.	30 minutes
	Age IG: 38.05 ± 6.07		

		At the end of each training session, approximately 8 minutes were	
	Age CG: 40.32 ± 6.32	dedicated to the cool down, using six additional stretching positions	
		maintained from 60 to 90 seconds. The CG received no intervention.	
Bertozzi et al (2014)	40 (70% F)	The 20 participants allocated to the EG were further divided into four	5 weeks
		subgroups. They received the same intervention in 10 treatment sessions.	2 d/w
	IG - intervention group: 20	The worker could choose when to perform them. The same group also	
		performed a home exercise protocol. Simple postural exercises,	1 hour
	CG - control group: 20	relaxation, stretching and extension aimed at the lumbar spine and lower	
		limbs. Same sequence of exercise to be able to perform the exercise also	
	Age IG: 42.7 ± 8.7	at home.	
		The 20 participants allocated to the comparative group study performed	
	Age CG: 47.5 ± 7.5	the exercise protocol only at home. Pain intensity was measured with a	
		10-cm VAS.	
Moreira-Silva et al	70 (M, F)	The training sessions were given during work time. Stretching exercises:	6 months
(2014)		hands, wrists, elbows, shoulders, neck, and dorsal and lumbar regions.	3 d/w
	IG (TOI) - intervention	Strengths were included: lower extremities.	
	group: 39	Intimate to do some aerobic training at home.	10-15 min
		e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
	CG (TOR): reference		
	group 31		
	Age IG: 38.8 ± 8.6		
	C		
	Age CG: 38.0 ± 6.9		
	C		
Rasotto et al (2014)	68 (M)	1st month: general exercise familiarization.	9 months
,			
	IG - intervention group: 34	Three parts in each training sessions:	2 d/w
	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	Warm up: 8', at very low intensity, mobilization of lumbar-, dorsal- and	
	CG - control group: 34	cervical-spine, shoulder and upper-limb.	30 min for session
	8 1 1	3 sets x 5 reps. 30" rest between exercise.	
	DROP OUT IG: 17	Strength exercises: 15', were performed with low weight dumbbells and	
		elastic bands and were upper-limb abductions/adductions on transverse	
	Age IG: 41.65 ± 8.26	plane, shoulder flexions/ex- tensions, shoulder abductions/adductions.	
	<u> </u>	pushes forward, and lateral pushes.	
	Age CG: 40.88 ± 7.55	Intensity was set from 5 to 7 on a scale 0 to 10.	
	6	Cool Down: 7 / 8', stretching positions maintained from 60 to 90 s.	
		Stretching intensity was maintained at moderate intensity	
		monory indicated a moderate intensity.	
		Participants allocated in the CG were invited to continue in performing	
		their normal daily activities	
		alon normal daily activities.	

Sundstrup et al	66 (M F)	STG performed supervised high intensity strength training for the	10 weeks
	00 (WI, I')		10 weeks
(2014, disability)		shoulder, arm, and hand muscles during 3 sessions of 10 minutes.	2.1/
	STG - strength training	I raining intensity (loads) was progressively increased from 20 repetition	3 d/w
	group: 33 (25M, 8F)	maximum to 8 RM during the later phase.	
			10 min per session
	ETG - ergonomic training	EG received ergonomic training addressing job-specific training where	
	group: 33 (26M, 7F)	participants received appropriate guidance and training in how to	
		correctly handle the individual work task stations.	
	Age STG: $48 \pm 9$		
	Age ETG: 43 ± 9		
Sundstrup et al	66 (M, F)	STG performed supervised high-intensity strength training specifically	10 weeks
(2014, upperlimb)		for the shoulder, arm, and hand muscles during 3 sessions of 10 minutes.	
	RTG - resistance training	The training program consisted of 8 exercises:	3 d/w
	group: 33 (25M, 8F)	1 - 2: shoulder rotation in 2 planes with elastic	
		tubing, 3 - 4: ulnar and radial deviation of the wrist using	10 min per session
	ETG- ergonomic training	sledgehammers 5: eccentric training of the wrist extensors using a	
	group:: 22 (26M, 7E)	ElevBor 6: wrist flovion and extension by the use of a wrist rollor 7:	
	group.: 55 (2014, 717)	flaving of the head using a head using 0, entersion of the head and	
		flexion of the hand using a hand gripper, 8: extension of the hand and	
	Age RTG: $48 \pm 9$	fingers using expand-your-hand bands.	
		Training intensity (loads) was progressively increased from 20 RM to 8	
	Age ETG: $43 \pm 9$	RM during the later phase.	
		EG received ergonomic training addressing job-specific training where	
		participants received appropriate guidance and training in how to	
		correctly handle the individual work task stations.	
Pedersen et al (2013)	537	TG1 performed strength training for the shoulder, neck and arm with	20 weeks -
		dumbbells (wrist extension, shoulder lateral raise, shoulder front raise,	1 year
	TG1 - training group 1: 282	shoulder shrugs, reverse flies) 20 min, 3 times per week, for 20 weeks.	
	(80% F)	Training loads were progressively increased from moderate loadings of	TG1: strength
		15-20 RM during the initial weeks to relatively heavier loadings of 8-12	training 20' x 3d/w
	TG2 - training group 2:	RM during the final weeks. Adherence was quantified from	from 15-20 RM to
	255 (89% F)	questionnaire replies on training frequency at follow-up.	8-12 RM
		After 20 weeks TG2 was offered the same training as TG1 did the first	
	Age TG1: 42 ± 10	20 weeks for half a year until January 2010. Participants in TG1 were	TG2: same as TG1
	-	allowed to continue training until 2010 but without supervision or any	after 20 weeks
	Age TG2: 42 ± 11	form of guidance. TG1 was not allowed to train, when TG2 had	
	-	supervised training. However, they were allowed to train during working	
		hours as previously and the management was positive to this continued	
		training	
		uannig.	

Gram et al (2012) 67 (M) All training sessions included 10-minute dynamic exercises for warm- up and aerobic capacity (increasing from ~50% to 70% estimated	
up and aerobic capacity (increasing from ~50% to 70% estimated	12 weeks
EG - exercise group: 35 maximal workload) followed by 10 minutes with the individually	1 hour a week
tailored exercises. The intensity of the muscle strength training was	
CG - control group: 32 approximately 60% 1RM, and the intensity of the aerobic capacity	3 x 20 minutes
training was at least 70% of Vo2max.	
Age EG: $44 \pm 11.1$ The intensify was measured and adjusted if needed 2 times during the	
12-week training period. The control group was not offered exercise	
Age CG: $43 \pm 10.0$ training, but was given a 1-hour lecture on general health promotion.	
Pain Intensity from 0 to 10 (BORG)	
Mesquita et al (2012) 98 (M) An isometric electronic dynamometer was used to measure the resistance	11 months
(in seconds) and maximal isometric strength (in Kgf) of trunk flexors	
IG – intervention group: 57 and extensors.	8 min daily on
This program included 9 easily-executed exercises to promote stretching	working days
CG – control group: 41 and strengthening of soft tissues responsible for spinal stability,	
especially lumbar stability. This program was being executed daily for	
8' ca, at the beginning of the working time, at the company facilities.	
Age IG: $33.50 \pm 8.17$ To motivate the workers to adhere to the program and follow it, there	
were several training sessions and posters illustrating the exercise	
Age CG: $27.40 \pm 6.25$ program to execute were distributed at the company facilities.	
The program efficacy was evaluated twice – at baseline and 11 months	
later. A physiotherapists visited the warehouse facilities every 15 days,	
to correct the exercises executions and to evaluate the programme	
efficacy. All evaluations were preceded by a 5 minute warming up,	
which involved some calisthenic exercises.	
Zebis et al (2011)     537     The intervention took place over a 20-week period with questionnaires	20 weeks
sent out in January 2009 and June 2009.	3 d/w
TG - training group: 282 Training group used 1H/week during work hours for the specific training	> 20 min
(80% F) program. Experienced instructors introduced the program in small	
groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or	
CG – control group: 255in self organized groups.	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1	
CG - control group: 255       groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or         (89% F)       TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1         Age TG: 42 ± 11       exercise for the wrist extensor muscles.	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles. The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: $42 \pm 11$ exercise for the wrist extensor muscles. The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20Age CG: $42 \pm 10$ minutes.	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles. The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20Age CG: 42 ± 10minutes.After two introductory training sessions relative loadings were	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or in self organized groups.(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles. The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20Age CG: 42 ± 10minutes. After two introductory training sessions relative loadings were progressively increased	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles. The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20Age CG: 42 ± 10minutes. After two introductory training sessions relative loadings were progressively increased - from 15 RM ~70% of maximal intensity at the beginning of	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles. The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20Age CG: 42 ± 10minutes.After two introductory training sessions relative loadings were progressively increased - from 15 RM ~70% of maximal intensity at the beginning of the training period (week 1-12)	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or(89% F)in self organized groups.(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles.Age CG: 42 ± 10The training regime consisted of three sessions per week, each lasting 20Age CG: 42 ± 10minutes.After two introductory training sessions relative loadings were progressively increased-from 15 RM ~70% of maximal intensity at the beginning of the training period (week 1-12)-to 8-12 RM ~75-85% of maximal intensity during the later	
CG - control group: 255groups and then the subjects were allowed to train on individual basis or in self organized groups.(89% F)TG performed high-intensity specific strength training locally for the neck and shoulder muscles with 4 different dumbbell exercises and 1Age TG: 42 ± 11exercise for the wrist extensor muscles.Age CG: 42 ± 10minutes.After two introductory training sessions relative loadings were progressively increased-from 15 RM ~70% of maximal intensity at the beginning of the training period (week 1-12)-to 8-12 RM ~75-85% of maximal intensity during the later phase (week 13-20).	

		Participants in the control group received advice to stay physically active and were consulted once a week by a supervisor during the 20-week period. After the 20 weeks intervention period, the control group was offered an equivalent 20 weeks training period - i.e. 1 hour a week during work hours.	
Camargo et al (2009)	14 (M) Age: 31.93 ± 5.86	A standardized intervention consisting of cryotherapy, stretching, and strengthening exercises: trapezius, pectoralis minor and posterior shoulder stretching, lateral rotation, scapular retraction, serratus anterior, shoulder abduction strengthening exercises with bands. The intervention was performed for eight consecutive weeks, twice a week, on alternate days. All sessions were supervised by the same physiotherapist and completed during working hours in the physiotherapy room at the industry site.	8 weeks 2 d/week, on alternate days
		<ul> <li>Stretch: 3 reps of 30'', rest 30"</li> <li>strength: 3 sets of 10 reps, rest 30"</li> <li>20' of cryotherapy</li> <li>Progression of intervention program:</li> <li>Weeks 1-2; yellow band Weeks 3-4; red band Weeks 5-6; green band</li> <li>DASH and McGill Pain Questionnaire.</li> </ul>	
Cheng et al (2007)	94 CWH - Clinic-based work hardening training: 48 (35 M, 13 F) WWH - Workplace-based work hardening training: 46 (37 M, 9 F)	Three sessions a week in both CWH and WWH group. The training content in the CWH group was comprised of mobilization activities for upper limb extremities, strength and endurance training as well as work simulation. In addition, it was also ensured that CWH group workers did not receive any workplace-based intervention such as on-site job analysis, job placement or liaison with the superior or employer. The Training for WWH was Static stretching methods for posterior, anterior and inferior shoulder structure are performed slowly.	4 weeks 3d/week
	Age CHW: 32.1 ± 10.33 Age WWH: 32.6 ± 10.13	Shoulder stretch. Stretching exercises for the shoulder were used as warm up exercises to decrease the resistance of soft tissues. Static stretching methods for posterior, anterior and inferior shoulder structure are performed slowly and held for at least 15 s each time, one set of 10 stretches for each one.	

		Scapular control exercises (3 sets x 10 each) are closed chain exercises in which the hand of the injured shoulder is placed against the wal: Scapular elevation, depression, protraction and retraction, wall push-ups and knee push-ups. Strengthening isometric exercises (3 sets x 10 each) for shoulder (shoulder abduction, shoulder adduction, shoulder flexion, shoulder extension, shoulder external rotation and shoulder internal rotation) and specifically for rotator cuff muscle were started immediately after the scapular control exercises, and also progressive resistive dynamic exercises (holding a bottle of distilled water for 15 min)	
		The training program was based on Phase 2 (the recovery phase) & Phase 2 (the functional phase)	
Ludawia at al (2002)	02 (14)	Subjects in the central groups received no interportion. Subjects	9 weeks
Ludewig et al (2002)	92 (MI)	subjects in the control groups received no intervention. Subjects	o weeks
	IG – intervention group: 34	returned and 6-12 weeks for follow up testing.	daily for flexibility
		Stretching: 2x30s each repetition and five repetitions each day. One for	and stretching
	SCG - symtomatic control	the pectoralis minor and one for the posterior shoulder. A muscle	exercise
	group: 33	relaxation exercise for the upper trapezius was performed five times	
		daily by having the subjects raise the arm overhead in the scapular plane	3 d/w for both
	ACG - asymptomatic	without shrugging the shoulder. Relaxation was enhanced through visual	strengthening
	control group: 25	input by performing the exercise in front of a mirror, or by	exercises
		proprioceptive input by placing the uninvolved hand on the active upper	
	Age IG: 48 ± 1.8	trapezius.	3x10 week 1
			3x15 week 2
	Age SCG: $49.2 \pm 1.8$	Progressive RT: three days per week for two muscle groups. For the	3x20 week 3 (3
		serratus anterior muscle, strengthening was performed supine by	sessions)
	Age ACG: 49.4 ± 2.5	protracting the scapula and raising a handheld weight superiorly.	then increasing
		Humeral external rotation was resisted with Thera-Band while subjects	weight resistance or
		were in a standing position. Subjects were instructed to progress from an	Thera-Band tension
		initial position of the arm close to their side, to a position of abduction	
		of the arm.	
		Week 1: 3x10	
		Week 2: 3x15	
		Week 3: 3x20	
		After achieving 3x20 repetitions for three consecutive sessions, subjects	
		were to further progress their program by increasing weight resistance	
		or mera-band tension (by snortening the band), and repeating the	
		may induce muscle fatigue but should not cause increased shoulder pain	
Nurminen et al	260 (E)	The sessions lasted 60 minutes once a week during workhours and	8 months
(2002)	200 (1 )	totaled 26 sessions over an 8-month period. The adherence to the	1  d/w x  26  times
× /		intervention and the mode of exercise were rated on a participation form	1h

IG – intervention group:	that the physiotherapist filled out after each session. Two additional 60-	
133	minute reinforcement sessions were arranged for the intervention group	Two additional 60'
	in the autumn of 1997 to promote physical activity.	reinforcement
CG – control group: 127	The group sessions consisted of moderate worksite exercise based on a	sessions at 14
	guidebook published by the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health for	months
Age IG: 40.7	promoting work ability and physical activity through group exercise.	
	The program involved muscle strengthening, cardiovascular exercise,	
Age CG: 39.1	and stretching. The exercise intensity increased progressively, the mean	
	of the perceived exertion rating being 7.8 (SD 4.7) in the spring at the	
	third exercise session and 8.6 (SD 4.4) at tenth session, on a scale of $0-$	
	10.	

d/w: day/week;

#### Table B3: Studies outcomes.

Author (year)	Group			
		Pain and disabilities	Health-related physical fitness	Cardiological parameters
Gobbo et al	RT	L-VAS,	2-minutes step test, $p < .01 $ **	
(2021)		$4.08 \pm 3.08$ to $4.22 \pm 3.46$	$76.29 \pm 22.81$ to $91.00 \pm 22.50$	
			Chair sit and reach R (cm), $p < .03 *$	
		DASH, <i>p</i> < .03 *	- 9.54 $\pm$ 11.31 to - 5.22 $\pm$ 10.64	
		$20.69 \pm 16.20$ to $16.04 \pm 12.95$		
			Chair sit and reach L (cm), $p < .04 *$	
			- 7.71 $\pm$ 11.58 to - 3.65 $\pm$ 10.02	
			Back scratch R (cm),	
			- $0.86 \pm 7.16$ to $0.25 \pm 7.67$	
			Back scratch L (cm)	
			-4.90 + 8.75 to $-3.55 + 7.98$	
			Handgrip test R (kg), p < .01 **	
			$42.81 \pm 8.76$ to $46.58 \pm 7.87$	
			Handgrip test L (kg), $p < .02 *$	
			$40.63 \pm 7.42$ to $42.84 \pm 5.89$	
Cimarras-Otal	IG	ODI	General activities	
et al (2020)		$17 \pm 16.42$ to $18.6 \pm 14.67$	$4.9 \pm 3.18$ to $2.9 \pm 2.85$	
		BPI short form	Mood	
		Pain intensity in last 24 hours (total)	$3.4 \pm 3.06$ to $1.8 \pm 2.44$ , $p < .05 *$	
		$3.9 \pm 2.05$ to $2.85 \pm 2.3$	W/_11.'	
		Maximum pain	waiking $1.1 \pm 1.91$ to $0.6 \pm 1.07$	
		52 + 2.74  to  4 + 2.91	1.1 ± 1.91 10 0.0 ± 1.07	
			Usual work	
		Minimum pain	$4.2 \pm 3.26$ to $3.1 \pm 3.14$	
		$2.3 \pm 1.89$ to $1.8 \pm 1.99$		
			Relations with others	
		Average pain	$2 \pm 2.67$ to $1 \pm 1.94$	
		$4.2 \pm 2.3$ to $3.3 \pm 2.67$		
			Sleep	
		Pain at time of completion	$3.6 \pm 3.75$ to $2.9 \pm 3.21$	
		$3.9 \pm 2.18$ to $2.3 \pm 2.36$		

			E. C. C.	
			Enjoyment	
		Pain interference (total)	$3.4 \pm 3.13$ t $1.9 \pm 2.47$	
		$3.23 \pm 2.48$ to $2.03 \pm 2.11$ , $p < .01 **$		
			F/R test	
			Flexion angle (°)	
			68.38 $\pm$ 9.47 to 75.94 $\pm$ 8.34, $p$ <.05 $*$	
			Flexion speed (°/sg)	
			$31.33 \pm 8.47$ to $31.33 \pm 9.25$	
			FER spinalis (uV)	
			$1.10 \pm 0.97$ to $0.90 \pm 0.60$	
	66		Concert esticities	
	CG	ODI:	General activities	
		$16.75 \pm 13.09$ to $12.25 \pm 12.98$	$4.38 \pm 3.2$ to $2.75 \pm 2.66$	
		BPI short form	Mood	
		Pain intensity in last 24 hours (total)	$4.88 \pm 4.29$ to $3.38 \pm 3.54$	
		4.75 $\pm$ 1.16 to 3.44 $\pm$ 1.19, $p<.05$ *		
			Walking	
		Maximum pain	$3.5 \pm 3.85$ to $1.38 \pm 2.5$	
		$7.63 \pm 2$ to $5.5 \pm 2.33$		
			Usual work	
		Minimum pain	3.88 ± 2.95 t 3.13 ± 1.64	
		3 13 + 2.03 to $2 + 1.77$		
		Average poin	Palations with others	
		Average pain $5 \times 1.41 \pm 2.62 \times 1.51$		
		$5 \pm 1.41$ to $5.05 \pm 1.51$	$5.58 \pm 5.85$ to $1.75 \pm 2.76$	
		Pain at time of completion	Sleen	
		$3.25 \pm 1.67$ to $2.63 \pm 1.77$	35 + 283  to  388 + 336	
		5.25 ± 1.07 to 2.05 ± 1.77	5.5 ± 2.65 to 5.66 ± 5.56	
		Dain interference (total)	Enjoyment	
		$2.01 \pm 2.21$ to $2.82 \pm 2.04$	$2.99 \pm 2.01 \text{ to } 2.5 \pm 2.62$	
		$5.91 \pm 5.21$ to $2.82 \pm 2.04$	$5.86 \pm 5.91 \text{ (0)} 5.3 \pm 5.03$	
			F/R test	
			Flexion angle (°)	
			$74.32 \pm 13.89$ to $72.86 \pm 12.56$	
			Flexion speed (°/sg)	
			$33.69 \pm 10.47$ to $22.56 \pm 6.63$	
			FER <sup>e</sup> spinalis (uV)	
			$0.95 \pm 0.33$ to $1.07 \pm 0.32$	
Weyh et al	ETG	RPEmax (Borg)	Erector spinae m.	SBPmax (mm Hg)
(2020)		StOP: $16 \pm 2$ to $15 \pm 2$ , $p \le .05*$	StOP: $6.1 \pm 4.4$ to $5.6 \pm 2.9$	StOP: $154 \pm 16$ to $158 \pm 18$
		SiBP: 15 ± 1 to 13 ± 2, <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	SiBP: 7.9 ± 5.6 to 6.4 ± 3.9, <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	SiBP: $151 \pm 19$ to $143 \pm 13$

Weight (kg)			
$92.0\pm20.7$ to $90.8$	VASmax (mm)	Infraspinatus m.	DBPmax (mm Hg)
$\pm 20.0$	StOP: $48 \pm 23$ to $40 \pm 25$	StOP: $12.4 \pm 4.9$ to $11.7 \pm 5.7$ , <b>p</b>	StOP: 109 $\pm$ 13 to 105 $\pm$ 10
	SiBP: $37 \pm 21$ to $26 \pm 17$	≤ .05*	SiBP: 103 $\pm$ 11 to 97 $\pm$ 10
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )		SiBP: $11.7 \pm 4.7$ to $9.3 \pm 4.3$	
$29.3 \pm 6.5$ to $28.6$	PCS (SF-36-score)		HRmax (beats/min)
$\pm 6.2$	$52.7 \pm 4.8$ to $52.4 \pm 4.4$	Deltoideus m.	StOP: 98 $\pm$ 16 to 91 $\pm$ 11; <b><i>p</i></b>
		StOP: $17.5 \pm 7.8$ to $17.2 \pm 7.2$	≤ .05*
Fat mass (%)	MCS (SF-36-score)	SiBP: $8.3 \pm 5.1$ to $6.5 \pm 3.9$	SiBP: 87 $\pm$ 16 to 80 $\pm$ 15; <b><i>p</i></b>
$25 \pm 7$ to $23 \pm 6$ , <b>p</b>	$52.3 \pm 4.0$ to $53.2 \pm 5.5$		≤ .05*
≤ .05*		Pectoralis major m.	
		StOP: $11.4 \pm 6.8$ to $11.9 \pm 7.9$	EWT-duration (s)
Muscle mass (%)		SiBP: 2.5 ± 3.5 to 3.1 ± 5.8	StOP: $439 \pm 62$ to $468 \pm 31$ ;
$54 \pm 5$ to $55 \pm 5$ , <b>p</b>			p ≤ .05*
≤ .05*		Extensor dig. long m.	SiBP: $464 \pm 50$ to $476 \pm 20$
		StOP: $14.3 \pm 4.5$ to $16.7 \pm 6.6$	
		SiBP: 6.8 ± 7.1 to 8.6 ± 5.4, <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	Maximum bicycle
			performance (W)
		Biceps b. m.	$206 \pm 34$ to $226 \pm 37$ ; <b>p</b>
		StOP: $2.6 \pm 1.4$ to $3.2 \pm 2.3$	≤ .05*
		SiBP: $5.3 \pm 5.0$ to $4.2 \pm 2.9$	
			Relative bicycle performance
		Triceps b. m.	(WAg/BW)
		StOP: $2.7 \pm 1.6$ to $3.1 \pm 3.7$	$2.3 \pm 0.6$ to $2.5 \pm 0.6$ ; <b>p</b>
		SiBP: $3.8 \pm 3.8$ to $3.5 \pm 4.3$	≤ .05*
		Arm flexion (Nm)	
		$132.9 \pm 34.0$ to $138.1 \pm 33.3$	
		Arm extension (Nm)	
		$70.5 \pm 17.5$ to $74.7 \pm 21.6$	
		Knee flexion (Nm)	
		$201.4 \pm 63.1$ to $216.5 \pm 71.3$	
		Knee extension (Nm)	
		$371.1 \pm 111.6$ to $399.5 \pm 108.2$	
		Trunk flexion (Nm)	
		$151.8 \pm 50.6$ to $167.1 \pm 57.9$	
		Back extension (Nm)	
		$259.2 \pm 109.4$ to $287.1 \pm 91.8$ ; <b>p</b>	
		<i>≤ .05</i> ∗	

STG	RPEmax (Borg)	Erector spinae m.	SBPmax (mm Hg)
	StOP: 16 ± 2 to 15 ± 2; <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	StOP: $5.7 \pm 4.2$ to $4.9 \pm 2.5$	StOP: $152 \pm 24$ to $150 \pm 17$
Weight (kg)	SiBP: $15 \pm 2$ to $14 \pm 2$	SiBP: 6.9 ± 4.7 to 5.9 ± 3.9; <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	SiBP: $150 \pm 23$ to $150 \pm 23$
$87.7 \pm 12.0$ to $88.2$			
± 11.7	VASmax (mm)	Infraspinatus m.	DBPmax (mm Hg)
	StOP: 50 ± 29 to 34 ± 27; <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	StOP: 11.3 $\pm$ 7.3 to 8.4 $\pm$ 5.2; <b><i>p</i></b>	StOP: $103 \pm 12$ to $103 \pm 10$
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	SiBP: $41 \pm 24$ to $30 \pm 25$	≤ .05*	SiBP: $100 \pm 12$ to $102 \pm 18$
$27.9 \pm 3.5$ to 28.0		SiBP: 9.7 $\pm$ 5.4 to 9.4 $\pm$ 7.0	
$\pm 3.5$	PCS (SF-36-score)		HRmax (beats/min)
	$45.7 \pm 7.8$ to $52.0 \pm 4.8$	Deltoideus m.	StOP: $100 \pm 16$ to $95 \pm 14$
Fat mass (%)		StOP: $14.5 \pm 4.6$ to $12.0 \pm 6.2$	SiBP: 89 $\pm$ 15 to 84 $\pm$ 12
$23 \pm 6$ to $21 \pm 5$ ; <b>p</b>	MCS (SF-36-score)	SiBP: 7.6 $\pm$ 6.4 to 6.2 $\pm$ 4.0	
≤ .05*	$50.6 \pm 8.6$ to $53.2 \pm 5.2$		EWT-duration (s)
		Pectoralis major m.	StOP: $424 \pm 67$ to $458 \pm 45$ ;
Muscle mass (%)		StOP: $9.9 \pm 5.2$ to $12.0 \pm 7.1$	p ≤ .05*
$54 \pm 4$ to $57 \pm 4$ ; <b><i>p</i></b>		SiBP:.5 ± 2.4 to 6.1 ± 6.1; <i>p</i> ≤ .05*	SiBP: $471 \pm 33$ to $478 \pm 11$
≤ .05*			
		Extensor dig. long m.	Relative bicycle performance
		StOP: $14.5 \pm 6.2$ to $14.7 \pm 5.5$	(WAg/BW)
		SiBP: $9.8 \pm 5.2$ to $9.6 \pm 6.0$	$2.5\pm0.7$ to $2.7\pm0.6$
		Biceps b. m.	
		StOP: $4.7 \pm 3.8$ to $2.9 \pm 2.5$	
		SiBP: $6.0 \pm 4.9$ to $4.2 \pm 3.2$	
		Triceps b. m.	
		StOP: $5.7 \pm 3.9$ to $4.4 \pm 4.7$	
		SiBP: $7.6 \pm 4.3$ to $6.5 \pm 5.9$	
		Arm flexion (Nm)	
		$131.1 \pm 24.9$ to $135.9 \pm 28.5$	
		Arm extension (Nm) $75.1 \pm 20.0 \pm 77.2 \pm 10.1$	
		$75.1 \pm 20.9$ to $77.3 \pm 19.1$	
		Knoo florion (Nm)	
		$188.4 \pm 40.8 \text{ to } 202.2 \pm 52.7$	
		100.4 ± 47.0 10 202.5 ± 55.7	
		Knee extension (Nm)	
		390.1 + 92.8 to $416.2 + 120.7$	
		$570.1 \pm 72.0$ 10 $\pm 10.2 \pm 120.7$	
		Trunk flexion (Nm)	
		143.1 + 44.8 to $169.1 + 60.5$	
		Back extension (Nm)	

$299.7 \pm 93.0$ to $373.2 \pm 111.9$ ; <b>p</b>	
<i>≤ .05</i> *	
CG RPEmax (Borg) Erector spinae m.	SBPmax (mm Hg)
StOP: $16 \pm 3$ to $16 \pm 3$ StOP: $6.7 \pm 5.2$ to $6.7 \pm 4.1$	StOP: $156 \pm 27$ to $157 \pm 24$
Weight (kg)SiBP: $14 \pm 4$ to $15 \pm 3$ SiBP: $4.6 \pm 3.0$ to $6.8 \pm 4.9$ ; $p \le .05*$	SiBP: $155 \pm 24$ to $152 \pm 23$
$87.8 \pm 17.1$ to $88.2$	
± 18.8 VASmax (mm) Infraspinatus m.	DBPmax (mm Hg)
StOP: $48 \pm 30$ to $52 \pm 28$ StOP: $12.0 \pm 9.7$ to $10.6 \pm 6.1$ ; <i>p</i>	StOP: $107 \pm 13$ to $105 \pm 10$
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) SiBP: 39 ± 29 to 47 ± 26 $\leq .05*$	SiBP: $107 \pm 14$ to $103 \pm 12$
28.2 $\pm$ 4.5 to 28.2 SiBP: 9.8 $\pm$ 6.2 to 10.5 $\pm$ 5.9	
± 4.5 PCS (SF-36-score)	HRmax (beats/min)
$50.8 \pm 6.0$ to $49.7 \pm 7.3$ Deltoideus m.	StOP: $99 \pm 14$ to $95 \pm 15$
Fat mass (%) StOP: $165 + 62$ to $172 + 72$	SiBP: $87 + 11$ to $84 + 10$
$23 + 6 \text{ to } 24 + 6 \qquad \text{MCS} (SE 36 \text{ score}) \qquad \qquad \text{SiBP: } 95 + 62 \text{ to } 89 + 4.0$	5121107 _ 11 to 01 _ 10
$23 \pm 0.1024 \pm 0$ MCS (SI-30-SCOLE) SIBP. $9.3 \pm 0.2.108.9 \pm 4.0$	
$51.4 \pm 1.4$ to $50.1 \pm 9.2$	EWI-duration (s)
Muscle mass (%) Pectoralis major m.	StOP: $428 \pm 77$ to $428 \pm 79$
54.6 $\pm$ 47 to 199 $\pm$ StOP: 12.0 $\pm$ 6.4 to 11.8 $\pm$ 6.4	SiBP: $463 \pm 47$ to $463 \pm 47$
43 SiBP: $2.7 \pm 2.2$ to $2.2 \pm 1.9$ ; $p \le .05*$	
	Maximum bicycle
Extensor dig. long m.	C (ND)
	performance (W)

			SiBP: $8.9 \pm 4.8$ to $10.0 \pm 7.2$	
				Relative bicycle performance
			Biceps b. m.	(WAg/BW)
			StOP: $3.3 \pm 2.9$ to $4.1 \pm 3.3$	$2.4\pm0.5$ to $2.4\pm0.5$
			SiBP: $3.7 \pm 2.5$ to $4.2 \pm 3.4$	
			Triceps b. m.	
			StOP: $4.2 \pm 3.1$ to $8.0 \pm 9.2$	
			SiBP: $4.9 \pm 3.5$ to $8.9 \pm 8.6$	
			Arm flexion (Nm)	
			$129.1 \pm 23.4$ to $131.5 \pm 18.1$	
			Arm extension (Nm)	
			$71.0 \pm 23.4$ to $67.8 \pm 19.6$	
			Knee flexion (Nm)	
			$206.5 \pm 59.8$ to $208.1 \pm 45.9$	
			Knee extension (Nm)	
			$409.6 \pm 120.8$ to $394.4 \pm 122.6$	
			Trunk flexion (Nm)	
			$181.1 \pm 70.9$ to $162.3 \pm 56.0$	
			Back extension (Nm)	
			$337.0 \pm 126.9$ to $303.0 \pm 132.6$	
Muñoz-	IG	Pain intensity VAS 0-100 mm	Everyday functional difficulties in the	
Poblete et al		Upper limb	last week (%), $p < .112$ to $p < .018 \ *$	
(2019)		8.0 ± 7.1 to 5.4 ± 8.8; $p < .007 **$	None: 54.7 to 86.54	
			Few: 17.1 to 11.54	
		Neck	Moderate: 28.2 to 1.92	
		5.8 $\pm$ 15.1 to 1.1 $\pm$ 5.4; *	Substantial: -	
			A lot: -	
		Right shoulder		
		10.9 ±21.1 to 8.5 ±20.3;	Everyday working difficulties in the	
			last week (%)	
		Left shoulder	None: 34.7 to 71.15	
		$8.8 \pm 21.2$ to $6.5 \pm 15.9$	Few: 25.2 to 17.31	
			Moderate: 23.1 to 9.62	
		Right elbow-forearm	Substantial: 17.0 to 1.92	
		6.7 ±12.9 to 4.3 ±14.2; $p < .016 *$	A lot: -	
		Left elbow-forearm	Difficulty in performing work (%), p	
			<303 to <i>p</i> <041*	

	$4.8 \pm 13.2$ to $5.3 \pm 16.4$	None: 49.1 to 78.85	
		Little difficulty: 14.2 to 3.85	
	Right wrist-hand	Moderate difficulty: 9.8 to 3.85	
	10.6 ±19.7 to 4.5 ±16.4; $p < .034$ *	Great difficulty: 26.9 to 9.62	
		Incapable: - to 3.85	
	Left wrist-hand		
	6.2 ±16.4 to 1.1 ±5.4; $p < .013$ *	Difficulty in performing work as well	
		as you would wish (%) $p < .282$ to	
	Functionality initial DASH: 0-105	<i>p</i> <.021*	
	points	None: 31.5 to 71.15	
	27.2 ±8.9 to 25.8 ±8.7; $p < .037$ *	Little difficulty: 19.2 to 13.46	
		Moderate difficulty: 21.2 to 5.77	
		Great difficulty: 28.1 to 9.62	
		Incapable: -	
CG	Pain intensity VAS 0-100 mm	Everyday functional difficulties in the	
	Upper limb	last week (%), <i>p</i> < .112 to <i>p</i> <.018*	
	9.7 $\pm$ 10.4 to 10.4 $\pm$ 11.3; $p$ <.007 *	None: 56.4 to 71.7	
		Few: 21.3 to 9.43	
	Neck	Moderate: 22.3 to 18.87	
	$9.9\pm18.9$ to 6.6 $\pm$ 17.6; $p$ <.045 $*$	Substantial: -	
		A lot: -	
	Right shoulder		
	$12.4 \pm 23.9$ to $11.1 \pm 21.9$	Everyday working difficulties in the	
		last week (%),	
	Left shoulder	None: 32.3 to 43.40	
	$7.4\pm16.7$ to $6.6\pm17.6$	Few: 28.1 to 32.0	
		Moderate: 19.4 to 16.98	
	Right elbow-forearm	Substantial: 20.2 to 5.66	
	10.4 ± 19.9 to 12.8 ± 24.6; $p < .016$ *	A lot: - to 1.89	
	Left elbow-forearm	Difficulty in performing work (%), p	
	5.6 ±16.7 to 8.7 ±21.5	< .303 to p <.041*	
		None: 48.3 to 64.15	
	Right wrist-hand	Little difficulty: 12.2 to 1.89	
	13.9 ±26.0 to 12.2 ±25.5; <i>p</i> <.034 *	Moderate difficulty: 11.5 to 13.21	
		Great difficulty: 28.1 to 18.87	
	Left wrist-hand	Incapable: - to 1.89	
	8.7 ±21.8 to, 10.9 ±20.8, p<.013 *		
		Difficulty in performing work as well	
	Functionality initial DASH: 0-105	as you would wish (%) $p < .282$ to $p$	
	points	<.021*	
	27.2 ±8.9 to 28.7 ±9.1; $p < .037$ *	None: 33.6 to 45.28	
		Little difficulty: 17.8 to 26.42	
		Moderate difficulty: 22.4 to 20.75	

			Great difficulty: 26.2 to 7.55	
			Incapable: -	
Kang et al	IG	Pain	Hand grip strength	
(2018, finger)		$63.67 \pm 9.42$ , to $42.07 \pm 5.26$ , $p < .001$ **	$15.62 \pm 2.96$ , p < .88 to $19.14 \pm 3.88$ , p	
			< .001**	
		Difference (pain, AUSCAN index)		
		$21.6\pm8.3$	Stiffness:	
			$56.33 \pm 8.9$ , p < .64 to $42.47 \pm 7.20$ , p	
			<.001 **	
			Physical function	
			67.73 $\pm$ 9.42, $p$ < .91 to 50.93 $\pm$ 7.01	
			6.37, <i>p</i> < .001**	
	CG	Pain	Hand grip strength	
		$64.36 \pm 9.36$ to $56.50 \pm 6.19$	$15.46 \pm 2.31$ , p < .88 to $16.04 \pm 2.29$ , p	
			< .05 *	
		Difference (pain, AUSCAN index)		
		$7.9\pm5.5$	Stiffness	
			$57.93 \pm 9.34$ , p < .64 to $50.50 \pm 9.20$ , p	
			<.001 **	
			Physical function	
			$68.07 \pm 6.72$ , p < .91 to $56.64 \pm 5.26$ , p	
			<.001 **	
Kang et al	IG	VAS:	Back muscle strength:	
(2018,		$5.1\pm0.5$ to $3.0\pm1.0$	$32.9 \pm 2.7$ to $34.6 \pm 1.6$	
lowback)		p<0.05 pre-post and vs CG	p<0.05 pre-post and vs CG	
,				
		Owestry Disability Index:	Stork balance stand test:	
		$36.8 \pm 1.3$ to $32.5 \pm 1.5$	$13.1 \pm 3.5$ to $25.1 \pm 6.1$	
		p<0.05 pre-post and vs CG	p<0.05 pre-post and vs CG	
	CG	VAS:	Back muscle strength:	
		$5.0 \pm 0.3$ to $1.6 \pm 0.3$	$33.8 \pm 1.3$ to $40.4 \pm 1.6$	
		p<0.05 pre-post		
			Stork balance stand test:	
		Owestry Disability Index:	$13.1 \pm 1.4$ to $33.1 \pm 8.0$	
		$37.5 \pm 2.0$ to $24.4 \pm 1.3$	p<0.05 pre-post	
		p<0.05 pre-post		

Lowe et al	IG	SRQ	
(2017)		$83.8 \pm 12.1$ to $87.5 \pm 12.3$	
		DAGU	
		DASH	
		$12.1 \pm 13.2$ to $21.2 \pm 11.8$ Nordic	
		questionnaire (% last 12 months)	
		64.5 to 54.9	
		Nordic questionnaire (% last 12 months)	
		22.3 to 25.7	
		Nordic questionnaire (% 7 days)	
		38 to 21.4	
	CG	SRO	
		81.1 + 12.3 to $74.0 + 20.8$	
		61.1 ± 12.5 to 74.0 ± 20.0	
		DASH	
		$16.0 \pm 12.0$ to $21.2 \pm 18.2$	
		Nordic questionnaire (% last 12 months)	
		89.6 to 92.1	
		Nordic questionnaire (% last 12 months)	
		26.1 to 41.6	
		Nordic questionnaire (% last 7 days)	
		52.5 to 44.4	
Molomiahi ot			
Malarvizii et	IG (A)	VAS	
al (2017)		$5.87 \pm .352$ to $1.60 \pm .507$ , $p < .000 ***$	
		SPADI	
		$47.2893 \pm 3.95766$ to $10.5073 \pm$	
		2.90073. p < .001 **	
		FC(A) = CC(B)	
		EG (A) VS CG (B)	
		VAS	
		A: 1.60; B: 2.80; 2.20 $\pm$ .761, p	
		<.000***	
		SPADI	
		A · 10 507 · B · 16 868 · 13 688 + 4 1919	
		A. 10.007, D. 10.000, 13.000 ± 4.1717,	
		<i>p</i> < .001**	

	CG (B)	VAS	
		$5.80 \pm .414$ to $2.80 \pm .414$ , $p < .000 ***$	
		SPADI	
		$43.1240 \pm 7.59179$ to $16.8681 \pm$	
		2.51144, <i>p</i> < .001**	
Krüger et al	IG	Sitting position:	Sitting position:
(2015)			Heart Rate (bpm)
		Rating of Perceived Exertion during	$74.57 \pm 3.41$ to $73.00 \pm 4.34$
		welding	
		14.50 $\pm$ .2 to 12.57 $\pm$ .20, $p < .05$ *	Systolic Blood Pressure
			(mmHg)
		Standing position:	134.43 $\pm$ 5.12 to 123.71 $\pm$
			4.56
		Rating of Perceived Exertion during	
		welding	Diastolic Blood Pressure
		18.71 $\pm$ .57 to 16.44 $\pm$ .44, $p$ <.05 $*$	(mmHg)
			$88.86 \pm 5.51$ to $84.86 \pm 1.44$
			Lactate (mmol/L)
			$.97\pm.14$ to $1.16\pm.22$
			Standing position:
			Heart Rate (bpm)
			$84.29 \pm 9.40$ to $79.29 \pm 4.87$
			Systolic Blood Pressure
			(mmHg)
			$143.86\pm$ 7.42 to 139.86 ±
			4.79
			Diastolic Blood Pressure
			(mmHg)
			$84.00 \pm 9.05$ to $92.71 \pm 3.01$
			• • • •
			Lactate (mmol/L)
			$1.26 \pm .13$ to $1.36 \pm .24$

	CG	Sitting position:		Sitting position:
				Heart Rate (bpm)
				$74.86 \pm 1.26$ to $74.00 \pm 1.91$
		Rating of Perceived Exertion during		
		welding		Systolic Blood Pressure
		$13.71 \pm .68$ to $14.00 \pm .31$		(mmHg)
				13071 + 743 to $12971 +$
				3.64
				3.04
		standing position:		
				Diastolic Blood Pressure
		Rating of Perceived Exertion during		(mmHg)
		welding		$82.71 \pm 3.73$ to $89.00 \pm 3.04$
		$18.86 \pm .34$ to $18.57 \pm .43$		Lactate (mmol/L)
				$.83\pm.06$ to $.86\pm.07$
				Standing position:
				Heart Rate (bpm)
				$85.43 \pm 3.24$ to $75.14 \pm 2.41$
				Systolic Blood Pressure
				(mmHg)
				136.14 + 5.86 to 139.00 +
				4 55
				1.55
				Diastelia Diaed Drassure
				(mmHg)
				$89.71 \pm 3.61$ to $95.43 \pm 2.46$
				Lactate (mmol/L)
				$1.66 \pm .29$ to $1.42 \pm .27$
Rasotto et al	IG	VAS neck (cm)	SH el	
(2015)		$4.09 \pm 2.88$ to $3.73 \pm 2.65$	$164.91 \pm 7.25$ to $170.12 \pm 7.67$ , p	
			<.05*	
		VAS shoulder (cm)		
		$2.39 \pm 2.58$ to $1.76 \pm 2.56$ , $p < .05*$	SH ab	
			$162.99 \pm 13.42$ to $170.05 \pm 10.12$ , p	
		VAS elbow (cm)	<.05*	
		$1.07 \pm 1.93$ to $0.65 \pm 1.19$		
			FL head	
		VAS wrist (cm)	44.75 + 10.11 to 45 38 + 7 48	
		3.25 + 2.51 to $1.70 + 1.85$		
		$5.25 \pm 2.51$ to $1.70 \pm 1.05$	EX head	
			$54.73 \pm 11.66$ to $56.03 \pm 11.99$	

			LI head $35.80 \pm 3.86$ to $39.56 \pm 3.66$ , $p < .05*$ RO head $69.93 \pm 11.48$ to $74.02 \pm 7.62$ , $p < .05*$	
	CG	VAS neck (cm) 4.81 ± 2.79 to 4.38 ± 3.00	SH el 167.60 ± 11.48 to 167.05 ± 16.48	
		$2.03 \pm 2.20$ to $2.85 \pm 2.41$	$161.46 \pm 16.83$ to $160.20 \pm 26.15$	
		VAS elbow (cm) 0.86 ± 1.51 to 0.51 ± 1.01	FL head 42.40 ± 12.50 to 42.59 ± 8.67	
		VAS wrist (cm) 4.36 ± 2.94 to 3.50 ± 2.55	EX head 51.56 ± 11.41 to 48.68 ± 7.46	
			LI head 36.48 ± 5.05 to 37.87 ± 5.55	
			RO head 73.82 ± 8.39 to 67.60 ± 12.34	
Bertozzi et al				
(2014)	IG	Cervical VAS 3.9 ± 4.2 to 3.2 ± 3.7		
		Lumbar VAS 7.3 ± 2.3 to 7.3 ± 2.3		
		RMDQ $12.8 \pm 4.7$ to $7.3 \pm 4.3$		
		ODI $33.0 \pm 17.8$ to $20.6 \pm 11.8$		
		Difference within groups Cervical VAS -0.7 ± 0.8		

		Lumbar VAS	
		$-1.9 \pm 0.3, p < .05*$	
		RMDQ	
		$-5.5 \pm 1.0, p < .05*$	
		ODI	
		-12.4 ± 3.6, <i>p</i> < .05*	
	CG	Cervical VAS	
		$3.4 \pm 3.7$ to $3.1 \pm 3.6$	
		Lumbar VAS	
		$7.3 \pm 2.6$ to $6.1 \pm 2.4$	
		RMDQ	
		$13.2 \pm 5.3$ to $10.0 \pm 5.2$	
		ODI	
		$39.3 \pm 18.7$ to $25.5 \pm 18.9$	
		Difference within groups;	
		Cervical VAS	
		$-0.3 \pm 0.8$	
		Lumbar VAS	
		$-1.2 \pm 0.4, p < .05*$	
		RMDQ	
		$-3.2 \pm 1.1, p < .05*$	
		ODI	
		-13.8 ± 3.7. <i>p</i> < .05*	
		,	
Moreira-Silva	Reference (TOR)	Neck	Blood pressure (mmHg)
et al (2014)		12 m (% yes)	systolic
	Weight (kg)	$19 \pm 61.3$ to $20 \pm 64.5$	$123.7 \pm 14.3$ to $127.0 \pm 17.1$
	$68.7 \pm 17.6$ to $70.5$	Limit (% ves)	
	± 20.4	$7 \pm 22.6$ to $6 \pm 19.4$	diastolic
		7 days (% yes)	75.5 $\pm 8.8$ to 75.9 $\pm 10.7$
	BMI (kg/m2)	$9 \pm 29.0$ to $9 \pm 29.0$	
	$26.4 \pm 3.5$ to 27.0	Pain intensity	MPVA (min/week)
	± 3.8	$2 \pm 5$ to $3 \pm 5$	$180 \pm 390$ to $90 + 135$
	Weight status (%)	Shoulders	

non-overweight	12 m (% yes)	
25.8 to 19.4	$18 \pm 58.1$ to $18 \pm 58.$ ,	
	Limit (% yes)	
overweight	$6 \pm 19.4$ to $5 \pm 16.1$	
54.8 to 54.8	7 days (% yes)	
	$9 \pm 29.0$ to $12 \pm 38.7$	
obese	Pain intensity	
19.4 to 25.8	$3 \pm 6$ to $3 \pm 6$	
Body fat (%);	Elbows	
$30.17 \pm 9.61$ to	12 m (% yes)	
$29.3 \pm 9.8$	$9 \pm 29.0$ to $10 \pm 32.3$	
	Limit (% yes)	
Waist	$5 \pm 16.1$ to $4 \pm 12.9$	
circumfer-ence	7 days (% yes)	
(cm)	$6 \pm 19.4$ to $5 \pm 16.1$	
91.1 ± 11.8 to 92.7	Pain intensity	
± 11.3	$0 \pm 5$ to $0 \pm 3$	
	Wrists/hands	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$21 \pm 67.1$ to $24 \pm 77.4$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$7 \pm 22.6$ to $8 \pm 25.8$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	$11 \pm 35.5$ to $10 \pm 32.3$	
	Pain intensity	
	$3 \pm 5$ to $4 \pm 6$	
	Dorsal region	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$6 \pm 19.4$ to $4 \pm 12.9$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$2 \pm 6.5$ to $2 \pm 6.5$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	2 $\pm 6.5$ to 1 $\pm 3.2$	
	Pain intensity	
	$0 \pm 0$ to $0 \pm 0$ ,	
	Lumbar region	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$22 \pm 71.0$ to $23 \pm 74.2$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$9 \pm 29.0$ to $5 \pm 16.1$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	1 * * * *	

	$8 \pm 25.8$ to $8 \pm 25.8$	
	Pain intensity	
	$3 \pm 5$ to $4 \pm 4$	
	Hips/thighs	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$6 \pm 19.4$ to $9 \pm 29.0$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$3 \pm 9.7$ to $4 \pm 12.9$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	$3 \pm 9.7 \ 5 \pm 16.1$	
	Pain intensity	
	$0 \pm 0$ to $0 \pm 4$	
	Knees	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$9 \pm 29.0$ to $11 \pm 35.5$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$6 \pm 19.4$ to $2 \pm 6.5$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	$4 \pm 12.9$ to $4 \pm 12.9$	
	Pain intensity	
	$0 \pm 3$ to $0 \pm 3$	
	Ankles/feet	
	12 m (% ves)	
	14 + 45.2 to $16 + 51.6$	
	Limit (% ves)	
	4 + 12.9  to  3 + 9.7	
	7  days (%  yes)	
	$5 \pm 161 \text{ to } 10 \pm 323$	
	Pain intensity	
	$0 \pm 3$ to $3 \pm 7$	
Intervention (TOI)	Neck	Blood pressure (mmHg)
	12  m (%  yes)	systolic:
Weight (kg)	33 + 56 4  to  20 + 51 3	124.1 + 12.5 to $122.7 + 17$
$57.2 \pm 15.2$ to 67.3	1  imit (%  ves)	n< 477
+ 18.4	11 + 282  to  2 + 51  n < 004 **	P
± 10.4	7  days (% yes)	diastolic
L	11 + 282  to  9 + 231	$75.2 \pm 10.3$ to $74.7 \pm 11.7$
BMI (kg/m?)	Pain intensity	75.2 ± 10.5 ₩ 74.7 ± 11.7
260 + 68 + 250	3 + 6  to  + 5	MPVA (min/wook)
+74	5 ± 0 10 ± 5	180 + 390  to  150 + 345
194	Shoulders	$100 \pm 370$ to $130 \pm 343$
р<.194	12 m (0/ yes)	
	12 m (% yes)	

Weight status (%)	$26 \pm 66.7$ to $28 \pm 71.8$	
p<.739	Limit (% yes)	
non overweight	$7 \pm 17.9$ to $8 \pm 20.5$	
46.2 to 51.3	7 days (% yes)	
	$11 \pm 28.2$ to $12 \pm 30.8$	
overweight	Pain intensity	
25.6 to 17.9	$4 \pm 7 \text{ to } 4 \pm 5$	
obese	Elbows	
28.2 to 30.8	12 m (% yes)	
	$10 \pm 25.6$ to $4 \pm 10.3$	
Body fat (%)	Limit (% yes)	
$28.24 \pm 10.97$ to	$3.0 \pm 7.7$ to $3 \pm 7.7$	
27.5 ± 11.5	7 days (% yes)	
p<.514	$5 \pm 12.8$ to $1 \pm 2.6$	
	Pain intensity	
Waist	0 $\pm 1$ to $0 \pm 0$ , $p < .003 **$	
circumference		
(cm)	Wrists/hands	
$90.3 \pm 13.7$ to $89.5$	12 m (% yes)	
± 12.3	$26 \pm 66.7$ to $24 \pm 64.1$	
p<.512	Limit (% yes)	
	$15 \pm 38.5$ to $9 \pm 23.1$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	$12 \pm 30.8$ to $10 \pm 25.5$	
	Pain intensity	
	$4 \pm 7$ to $3 \pm 6$	
	Dorsal region	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$8 \pm 20.5$ to $4 \pm 10.3$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$3 \pm 7.7$ to $1 \pm 2.6$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	$2 \pm 5.1$ to $3 \pm 7.7$	
	Pain intensity	
	$0 \pm 2$ to $0 \pm 0$ , <i>p</i> <.015**	
	Lumbar region	
	12 m (% yes)	
	$24 \pm 52.2$ to $23 \pm 59.0$	
	Limit (% yes)	
	$11 \pm 28.2$ to $0 \pm 23.7$	
	7 days (% yes)	
	$12 \pm 30.8$ to $13 \pm 33.3$	

		Pain intensity		
		$6 \pm 7$ to $4 \pm 6$		
		Hips/thighs		
		12 m (% yes)		
		$7 \pm 17.9$ to $8 \pm 20.5$		
		Limit (% yes)		
		$3 \pm 7.7$ to $1 \pm 2.6$		
		7 days (% yes)		
		$1 \pm 2.6$ to $1 \pm 2.6$		
		Pain intensity		
		$0 \pm 1$ to $0 \pm 0$		
		Knees		
		12 m (% yes)		
		$11 \pm 28.2$ to $17 \pm 43.6$		
		Limit (% yes)		
		$3 \pm 7.7$ to $2 \pm 5.1$		
		7 days (% yes)		
		$6\pm15.4$ to $8\pm20.5$		
		Pain intensity		
		$0 \pm 3$ to $0 \pm 3$		
		Ankles/feet		
		12 m (% yes)		
		$18 \pm 46.2$ to $16 \pm 41.0$		
		Limit (% yes)		
		$5 \pm 12.8$ to $4 \pm 10.3$		
		7 days (% yes)		
		$6\pm15.4$ to $10\pm25.6$		
		Pain intensity		
		$1 \pm 5$ to $0 \pm 6$		
Rasotto et al	IG	VAS neck (cm)	SH el (degrees)	
(2014)		$3.08 \pm 2.80$ to $0.76 \pm 1.31^*$ to $1.54$	$165.46 \pm 8.92$ to $171.38^* \pm 5.22$ to	
		±1.91*	$172.02 \pm 6.45*$	
		VAS shoulder (cm)		
		1.12 $\pm$ 1.42 to 0.69 $\pm$ 1.07* to 0.20 $\pm$	SH ab (degrees)	
		0.45*	158.69 $\pm$ 19.06 to 175.25* $\pm$ 6.60 $\pm$	
			$175.69 \pm 5.92*$	
		VAS elbow (cm);		
		0.44 $\pm$ 0.99 to 0.34 $\pm$ 1.08* to 0.00 $\pm$	EX head (degrees)	
		0.00*	$58.41 \pm 14.84$ to $58.41 \pm 6.61 ^{*}$ to $62.16$	
			$\pm 6.68*$	
		VAS wrist (cm)		
			FL head (degrees)	

	1.69 $\pm$ 2.33 to 0.63 $\pm$ 0.97* to 0.32 $\pm$	$47.51 \pm 13.42$ to $54.45 \pm 9.66$ * to $55.75$	
	1.12*	± 5.02*	
		LI head (degrees)	
		$37.43 \pm 6.35$ to $39.70 \pm 3.14$ to $42.93 \pm$	
		1.86	
		RO head (degrees)	
		7627 + 578 to $7877 + 167*$ to $7949$	
		+ 2 75*	
CG	VAS pack (cm)	SHel (degrees)	
20	$1.06 \pm 2.62$ to $1.47 \pm 1.00$ to $2.28 \pm 2.57$	$160.21 \pm 0.60$ to $167.48 \pm 0.06$ to	
	$1.90 \pm 2.02$ to $1.47 \pm 1.99$ to $2.38 \pm 2.57$	$109.21 \pm 9.00$ to $107.48 \pm 9.00$ to	
		$167.96 \pm 7.33$	
	VAS shoulder (cm)		
	$0.92 \pm 1.66$ to ; $0.98 \pm 1.62$ to $1.12 \pm 1.68$	SHab (degrees)	
		$164.67 \pm 16.77$ to $170.43 \pm 11.22$ to	
	VAS elbow (cm)	$167.72 \pm 12.84$	
	$0.24 \pm 1.20$ to $0.53 \pm 1.74$ to $0.08 \pm 0.35$		
		FLhead (degrees)	
	VAS wrist (cm)	$48.54 \pm 9.12 \text{ to } 45.23 \pm 13.28 \text{ to } 48.82$	
	1.06 $\pm$ 1.81 to 1.13 $\pm$ 1.57 to 0.69 $\pm$	to 10.34	
	1.25		
		EXhead (degrees)	
		$55.13 \pm 10.91$ to $54.52 \pm 10.11$ to $54.41$	
		± 9.26	
		LIhead (degrees)	
		40.72 + 5.28 to 35.68 to 5.28 to 37.29	
		+ 5.22	
		± <i>3.22</i>	
		POhand (dagrans)	
		Rollead (degrees)	
		$73.64 \pm 9.62$ to $72.70 \pm 9.48$ to $72.75 \pm$	
		9.01	
Average	<u>15 – 10</u>	$\frac{15-10}{10}$	
differences			
between groups	VAS neck (cm)	SH el (degree)	
	$IG - 2.32 \pm 2.15$	IG 5.92 ± 5.59	
	$CG - 0.49 \pm 2.42^*$	CG -1.73 ±4.59*	
	VAS shoulder (cm)	SH ab (degree)	
	$IG - 0.43 \pm 1.26$	IG $16.56 \pm 17.25$	
	$CG \ 0.05 \pm 1.70$	$CG 5.75 \pm 18.78*$	
	VAS elbow (cm)	FL head (degree)	

		$IG - 0.09 \pm 1.57$	$IG~2.27\pm4.67$	
		CG 0.29 ±1.8	CG -5.03 ± 5.19*	
		VAS wrist (cm)	EX head (degree)	
		IG - 1.05 ± 2.25	IG 0.00 ± 12.27	
		CG 0.06 ± 1.48*	CG -0.61 ± 10.01	
			LI head (degree)	
		<u>T10 – T0</u>	IG 2.26 ± 4.66	
		VAS neck (cm)	CG -5.04 ± 5.19*	
		$IG-1.29\pm2.72$		
		CG -0.39 ± 2.51*	RO head (degree)	
			IG 2.51 ± 5.22	
		VAS shoulder (cm)	CG -0.93 ± 10.27*	
		IG -0.94 ± 1.09*		
		CG 0.17 ± 2.02	T10 - T0	
		VAS elbow (cm)	SH el (degree)	
		$IG - 0.43 \pm 0.98$	IG $7.03 \pm 8.39$	
		CG 0.16 ±1.12	CG -0.99 ± 5.66*	
		VAS wrist (cm)	SH ab (degree)	
		$IG - 1.40 \pm 1.87$	IG 15.07 $\pm$ 13.58	
		$CG \ 0.39 \pm 0.93*$	CG -1.73 ± 4.59*	
			FL head (degree)	
			$IG 4.83 \pm 4.38$	
			CG -3.32 ± 4.93*	
			EX head (degree)	
			IG 1.89 + 8.17	
			CG - 0.36 + 10.47	
			LI head (degree)	
			IG 4.83 + 4.39	
			CG = 3.34 + 4.93*	
			RO head (degree)	
			IG 3 25 + 3.80	
			CG = 0.73 + 6.80*	
Sundetrup at al	РТ	WAI score: $(0.3)(-1.1, 1.7)$	Baseline characteristics of the two	
(2014	K1	$I_{\text{tem}} = 1 \cdot (0 - 10) \cap (0 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1)$	intervention groups (the same as	
(2014,		Itom 2: (2, 10) 0.4 (0.0, 0.9)	Sundetrup et al 2014 unaction	
uisaoliity)		$1 = 1 = 2 \cdot (1 - 7) \cdot (0.2 + (0.0 - 0.8))$	Sundsulup et al, 2014, upperfimd)	
	1	10011 3. (1-1) - 0.2 (-0.0-0.3)		

		Item 4: (1–6) 0.1 (-0.1–0.3)	Work ability index (7–49): $39.2 \pm 3$	
		Item 5: (1–5) -0.2 (-0.6–0.0)	Item 1: Current work ability compared	
		Item 6: (1–7) 0.2 (-0.5–0.8)	with the lifetime best (0–10): 7.3 $\pm$ 1.0	
		Item 7: (1–4) 0.1 (-0.1–0.4)	Item 2: Work ability in relation to the	
			demands of the job (2–10): 7.5 $\pm$ 0.9	
		Changes in work ability index (WAI)	Item 3: Number of current diseases	
		and single-item scores from baseline to	diagnosed by a physician (1–7): 5.6 $\pm$	
		10-week follow-up (between group	0.1	
		difference) Mean (95% CI), p-value	Item 4: Estimated work impairment	
			due to diseases (1–6): $5.7 \pm 0.4$	
		WAI score: 2.3 (0.9–3.7), 0.012*	Item 5: Sick leave during the past year	
		Item 1: 0.5 (0.0–1.0) 0.18	(1–5): 4.7 ± 0.6	
		Item 2: 0.7 (0.3–1.2) 0.003*	Item 6: Own prognosis of work ability	
		Item 3: 0.1 (-0.3–0.6) 1.00	two years from now (1–7): $5.5 \pm 0.4$	
		Item 4: 0.0 (-0.2–0.2) 1.00	Item 7: Mental resources (1–4): 3.0 $\pm$	
		Item 5: 0.2 (-0.1–0.5) 0.47	0.5	
		Item 6: 0.3 (-0.4–1.0) 1.00		
		Item 7: 0.3 (0.1–0.6) 0.021*	Shoulder, elbow and hand pain	
			intensity previous week (scale 0-10)	
			$4.5\pm1.2$	
			Work disability (DASH work module;	
			scale 0–100)	
			$28.3 \pm 13.8$	
			WAI (7–49)	
			39.± 4 3	
			Item 1: $7.2 \pm 1.0$	
			Item 2: $7.5 \pm 0.9$	
			Item 3: $5.6 \pm 0.9$	
			Item 4: $5.7 \pm 0.4$	
			Item 5: $4.6 \pm 0.6$	
			Item 6: $5.7 \pm 0.4$	
			Item 7: $3.0 \pm 0.5$	
			Shoulder, elbow and hand pain	
			intensity previous week (scale 0-10)	
			$4.5 \pm 1.2$	
			Work disability (DASH work module;	
			scale 0–100): 27.8 ± 13.8	
Ī	ET	WAI score: -2.2 (-3.5– -0.8)		
		Item 1: -0.5 (-0.9–0.0)		
		Item 2: -0.3 (-0.8–0.1)		
		Item 3: -0.3 (-0.7–0.1)		

	1			
		Item 4: 0.0 -(0.2–0.2)		
		Item 5: -0.5 (-0.8–-0.2)		
		Item 6: -0.3 (-0.9–0.3)		
		Item 7: -0.3 (-0.5–0.0)		
		Pre post difference (C.I.)	Shoulder rotation strength (N)	
Sundstrup et al	RT		28 (19 to 36)	
(2014,		Modified VAS (0-10) - Pain intensity:		
upperlimb)		-1.8 (-2.3 to -1.2)	Wrist extensor strength (N)	
			30 (18 to 42)	
		DASH-W score (0–100)		
		-6.5 (-13.2 to 0.1)		
	FT	Pre poet difference $(C \mathbf{I})$	Shoulder rotation strength (N)	
	LI		$\frac{10(18 \text{ to } 2)}{2}$	
			-10 (-18 10 -2)	
		Average pain inter-ity (0, 10)	Which outcomes the state of (N)	
		Average pain intensity $(0-10)$	whist extensor strength (N)	
		-0.3 (-0.8 to 0.3)	-11 (-23 to 2)	
		5 ·		
		DASH-W score (0–100)		
		2.8 (-3.7 to 9.4)		
	Between group	Average pain intensity (0–10):		
	difference	-1.5 (-2.0 to -0.9) (< 0.0001)*	Shoulder rotation strength (N)	
			37 (28 to 45) (< 0.0001)*	
		DASH-W score (0-100)		
		-8.8 (-15.6 to -2.0) (< 0.05)*	Wrist extensor strength (N)	
			42 (29 to 54) (< 0.0001)*	
Pedersen et al	TG1	Changes of pain within groups		
(2013)		(Intention-to-treat) the last 7 days:		
		<u> </u>		
	DASH: 18,4 ±	Neck		
	21,5	T2-1 (Diff. of least squares means):		
	2-	0.31±0.12 p<.008**.		
		T3-1: 0.70±0.13. <i>p</i> <.001***		
		T3-2: 0.38±0.13. <i>n</i> <.004**		
		R-shoulder		
		T2-1: 0.49±0.12. <i>p</i> <.001***		
		T3-1: 0.92+0.13 $n < 0.01$ ***		
		T3_2: $0.43\pm0.14$ n< 002**		
		15 2. 0. <del>1</del> 5±0.14, p<.002 · ·		
		R-hand		

	T2-1: 0.18±0.10,		
	T3-1: 0.36±0.11, <i>p</i> <.002**,		
	T3-2: 0.18±0.12;		
	lower back		
	T2-1: -0.04±0.12, ,		
	T3-1: 0.42±0.14, <i>p</i> <.002**,		
	T3-2: 0.46±0.14, <i>p</i> <.001***;		
	DASH at T2-1: 5.19±0.95, <i>p</i> <.001***,		
	T3-1: 7.70±1.06, <i>p</i> <.001***,		
	T3-2: 2.51±1.08, <i>p</i> <.021*		
	Changes within groups (cases only) of		
	pain in the last 7 days:		
	Neck		
	T2-1 (Diff. of least squares means):		
	1.70±0.24, <i>p</i> <.001*,		
	T3-1: 2.57±0.27, <i>p</i> <.001***,		
	T3-2: 0.87±0.27, <i>p</i> <.002**,		
	-		
	R-shoulder		
	T2-1: 2.13±0.29, <i>p</i> <.001***		
	T3-1: 3.38±0.32, <i>p</i> <.001***		
	T3-2: 1.25±0.32, <i>p</i> <.001***		
	Upper back		
	T2-1: 1.62±0.30, <i>p</i> <.001***,		
	T3-1: 2.44±0.35, <i>p</i> <.001***,		
	T3-2: 0.82±0.35, <i>p</i> <.020**		
	lower back		
	T2-1: 0.78±0.27, p<.005**,		
	T3-1: 2.21±0.30. <i>p</i> <.001***.		
	T3-2: 1.43±0.30. <i>p</i> <.001***		
TG2	<u>Changes of pain within groups</u>		
-	(Intention-to-treat) the last 7 days:		
DASH: 15.1 + 21	Neck		
	T2-1 (Diff. of least squares means)		
	0.92±0.12, p<.001***		
	T3-1: 0.85±0.13. <i>p</i> <.001***.		
	T3-2: -0.06±0.14.		
1		1	

R-shoulder	
T2-1: 0.90±0.12, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-1: 0.79±0.13, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-2: -0.11±0.14, p<.441*;	
R-hand	
T2-1: 0.53±0.10, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-1: 0.62±0.11, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-2: 0.08±0.12, p<.480*;	
lower back	
T2-1: 0.38±0.12, <i>p</i> <.002**,	
T3-1: 0.48±0.13, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-2: 0.11±0.14, <i>p</i> <.452*;	
DASH	
T2-1: 9.96±0.97, <i>p</i> <.001*,	
T3-1: 9.70±1.03, <i>p</i> <.001*,	
T3-2: -0.26±1.10	
Changes within groups (cases only) of	
pain in the last 7 days:	
N1-	
Neck	
12-1 (DIII. of least squares means): $2.76\pm0.24$ m < $0.01***$	
$2.70\pm0.24$ , $p<.001***$ , T3-1: 2 50+0 25 $n<001***$	
T3-2: -0.26+0.27	
15 2. 0.20_0.27	
R-shoulder	
T2-1: 3.23±0.30, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-1: 2.38±0.32, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-2: -0.84±0.34, <i>p</i> <.014**;	
Upper back	
T2-1: 2.91±0.30, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-1: 2.69±0.30, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-2: -0.22±0.33	
Lower back	
T2-1: 2.52±0.30, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-1: 3.22±0.32, <i>p</i> <.001***,	
T3-2: 0.70±0.35, <i>p</i> <.048*;	

(2012) $13.4 \pm 2.9$ Self-rated productivity (scale, 0–10): $8.2 \pm 1.5$ (n = 35) Sick leave (d) last 3 months: $0.8 \pm 1.4$ (35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder: $1.3 \pm 1.9$ L = h = h = 0.25 = 0.0	
Self-rated productivity (scale, 0–10): $8.2 \pm 1.5$ (n = 35) Sick leave (d) last 3 months: $0.8 \pm 1.4$ (35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Self-rated productivity (scale, 0–10): $8.2 \pm 1.5$ (n = 35) Sick leave (d) last 3 months: $0.8 \pm 1.4$ (35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
8.2 $\pm$ 1.5 (n = 35) Sick leave (d) last 3 months: 0.8 $\pm$ 1.4 (35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: 1.3 $\pm$ 2.1 R Shoulder: 1.4 $\pm$ 2.3 L Shoulder: 1.0 $\pm$ 2.3 Shoulder dominant: 1.7 $\pm$ 2.7 Upper back: 1.3 $\pm$ 1.9	
Sick leave (d) last 3 months: $0.8 \pm 1.4$ (35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Sick leave (d) last 3 months: $0.8 \pm 1.4$ (35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
(35) Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, $0-10$ ): Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Neck: $1.3 \pm 2.1$ R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
R Shoulder: $1.4 \pm 2.3$ L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
L Shoulder: $1.0 \pm 2.3$ Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Shoulder dominant: $1.7 \pm 2.7$ Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Upper back: $1.3 \pm 1.9$	
Low back: $2.7 \pm 2.9$	
Hip: $1.0 \pm 2.4$	
Knee: $1.9 \pm 2.7$	
T0 (week 1-2) and T1 (week 11-12)	
measures, Based on Text Messages and	
Questionnaire for Each Study Group,	
Based on Intention-to-Treat	
Text Messages	
Neck-shoulder T0: $2.5 \pm 2.4$ ,	
difference $T0-T1: -0.2 \pm 1.9$	
Low back	
$2.4 \pm 2.7$	
difference $TO-TI: -0.5 \pm 1.7$	
Hip-knee	
$2.3 \pm 2.5$	
difference $TO-TI: -0.1 \pm 1.9$	
Work ability T0: 7.8 $\pm$ 2.4, difference	
T0-T1: $0.1 \pm 3.1$ ,	
Questionnaire (pooled data):	
Neck-shoulder	
$2.1 \pm 2.8$	
difference $T0-T1: -0.3 \pm 1.9$	
Low back	

	$2.7 \pm 2.9$	
	difference T0-T1: -0.2 ± 2.2	
	Hip-knee	
	$2.1 \pm 3.0$	
	difference $TO - TI: -0.1 + 2.7$	
	XX7 1 1 117	
	Work ability	
	$7.8 \pm 2.0$	
	difference T0-T1: $0.4 \pm 1.6$	
	Work ability (scale, 0–10)	
	$0.4 \pm 1.6$	
	Perceived exertion at work (scale, 6–20)	
	0.1 ± 2.2	
	Self-rated productivity	
	(scale 1-10) (total n = 64):	
	(30210, 110) (cotal $n = 04$ )	
	$-0.5 \pm 2.1 (11 - 54)$	
	Sick leave (d) last 5 months (total $n =$	
	64): 0.7 $\pm$ 2.2 (n = 35)	
	Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10):	
	Neck: $-0.2 \pm 1.5$	
	Shoulder right: $0.0 \pm 1.7$	
	Shoulder left: $-0.3 \pm 2.4$	
	Shoulder dominant: -0.3 ±	
	2.3	
	Upper back: -0.2 ± 1.6	
	Low back: $-0.2 \pm 2.2$	
	Hip: -0.1 ± 3.0	
	Knee: -0.2 ± 2.7	
CG(n = 32)	Work ability (scale, 0–10)	
- ()	81+19	
	0.1 ± 1.7	
	Demoived exertion at work (1- ( 20)	
	rerceived exertion at work (scale, 6–20)	
	$14.0 \pm 2.4$	
	Self-rated productivity (scale, 0–10)	
	8.8 ± 1.3 (n = 29)	

Sick leave (d) last 3 months	
$2.0 \pm 3.9 \ (n = 29)$	
Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10)	
Neck: 1.4 ± 1.7	
R Shoulder: $1.1 \pm 1.7$	
L Shoulder: $0.8 \pm 1.5$	
Shoulder dominant: $1.1 \pm 1.7$	
Upper back: $1.2 \pm 2.1$	
Low back: $2.6 \pm 2.6$	
Hip: 0.8 ± 2.0	
Knee: $1.6 \pm 2.4$	
Text Messages	
Neck-shoulder	
1.9 + 1.8	
difference T0-T1: $0.2 \pm 1.0$	
Low back	
2 5 + 2 7	
difference $T0 - T1: -0.5 + 1.7$	
Hip-knee	
2.0+2.5	
difference $T(0 - T): -0.1 + 2.2$	
Work ability	
8.4 + 2.2	
difference $T0-T1: -0.7 \pm 1.7$	
Questionnaire (pooled data):	
Neck-shoulder	
2.1 ± 1.9	
difference T0-T1: -0.2 ± 1.6	
Low back	
$2.6 \pm 2.6$	
difference T0-T1: $0.0 \pm 2.3$	
Hip-knee	
1.9 ± 2.5	
difference T0-T1: -0.0 ± 2.1	
Work ability	
8.0 ± 1.9	

	difference T0-T1: -0.1 ± 1.3	
	Work ability (scale, 0–10)	
	$-0.1 \pm 1.3$	
	Perceived exertion at work (scale, 6–20)	
	$-0.3 \pm 1.6$	
	0.0 - 1.0	
	Self-rated productivity (scale, 1-10)	
	(total n = 64)	
	-0.1 ± 1.1 (n = 29)	
	Sick leave (d) last 3 months (total $n =$	
	64)	
	$0.1 \pm 4.6 \ (n = 29)$	
	Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10):	
	Neck: $-0.2 \pm 1.3$	
	Shoulder right: -0.4 ± 1.0	
	Shoulder left: $0.1 \pm 1.4$	
	Shoulder dominant: -0.4 ±	
	1.0	
	Upper back: -0.2 ± 1.9	
	Low back: $0.0 \pm 2.3$	
	Hip: 0.2 ± 1.9	
	Knee: -0.3 ± 1.8	
TOTAL $(n = 67)$	Work ability (scale, $0-10$ ): $7.9 \pm 2.0$	
	Still able to perform the job in 2 years'	
	time ("inconceivable," "not sure,"	
	"surely"), %: 3/12/85	
	Persoived evertion at work (seels 6, 20)	
	$13.7 \pm 2.7$	
	13.7 ± 2.7	
	Self-rated productivity (scale, 0–10)	
	$8.5 \pm 1.5$	
	Sick leave (d) last 3 months	
	$1.4 \pm 2.9$	
	Pain intensity last 7 days (scale, 0–10):	
	Neck: 1.3 ± 1.9	
	R Shoulder: $1.3 \pm 2.0$	
	L Shoulder: $0.9 \pm 2.0$	
	Shoulder dominant: $1.4 \pm 2.3$	

r	1	1		
		Upper back: $1.2 \pm 2.0$		
		Low back: $2.6 \pm 2.7$		
		Hip: 0.9 ± 2.2		
		Knee: 1.8 ± 2.6		
Mesquita et al	IG		SFle (Kgf)	
(2012)			$72.07 \pm 14.33$ to $73.39 \pm 14.42$	
			RFle (Sec)	
			$42\ 43\ +\ 15\ 58\ to\ 44\ 31\ +\ 15\ 89$	
			12.15 ± 15.56 to 11.51 ± 15.69	
			SExt (Vaf)	
			5EXT (Kgl)	
			$79.48 \pm 13.94$ to $83.29 \pm 13.73$ ,	
			<i>p</i> <.014**	
			RExt (Sec)	
			$51.57 \pm 17.60$ to $58.69 \pm 15.38$ ,	
			<i>p</i> <.006**	
			Ratio	
			$1.10 \pm 0.25$ to $1.16 \pm 0.21$ ; $p < .037*$	
	CG		SFle (Kgf)	
			$63.49 \pm 20.94$ to $58.81 \pm 18.40$ ,	
			<i>p</i> <.002**	
			r · · · ·	
			RELe (Sec)	
			$42.71 \pm 19.45$ to $45.17 \pm 17.06$	
			42.71 ± 19.45 10 45.17 ± 17.00	
			SExt (Kgf)	
			$65.74 \pm 18.42$ to $61.90 \pm 20.10$ ,	
			RExt (Sec)	
			$62.41 \pm 18.46$ to $61.79 \pm 18.97$	
			Ratio	
			$1.12\pm0.30$ to $1.08\pm0.27$	
Zebis et al	CG	Pain intensity in the neck and shoulder	>30 days with Neck pain previous year	
(2011)		at baseline and follow-up for cases and	(% of participants): 31% /	
		non-cases, separately.	>30 days with Right shoulder pain	
			previous year (% of participants): 20%	
		Cases	>30 days with Left shoulder pain	
		Neck: $4.6 \pm 1.8$ to $2.9 \pm 2.3$ ; n = 77	previous year (% of participants): 13%	
		R shoulder: $4.7 + 1.8$ to $2.5 + 2.6$ : n = 69		

	L shoulder: $5.0 \pm 1.8$ to $22 \pm 2.6$ ; n = 43	Neck Pain intensity of 3 or more during	
		previous week (% of participants):	
	Non-cases	31%	
	Neck: $0.5 \pm 0.7$ to $0.8 \pm 1.5$ ; n= 175	Right shoulder Pain intensity of 3 or	
	R shoulder: $0.4 \pm 0.7$ to $0.5 \pm 1.2$ ; n =	more during previous week (% of	
	183	participants): 27%	
	L shoulder: 0.4 $\pm$ 0.7 to 0.5 $\pm$ 1.1; n =	Left shoulder Pain intensity of 3 or	
	209	more during previous week (% of	
		participants): 17%	
		Percentage of participants spending	
		more than half of total work time:	
		Sitting: 87%	
		Standing: 37%	
		Bend forward without arm- or hand-	
		support: 9%	
		Twisting or bending the back: 23%	
		Hand at shoulder height or higher: 1%	
		Performing physical strenous work:	
		10%	
		Bent neck: 24%	
		Hand twisted or flexed: 28%	
		The same finger movements several	
		times a minute: 57%	
		The same arm movements several	
		times a minute: 34%	
		Static work posture: 48%	
		Kneeling: 2%	
		Other work-related characteristics:	
		Weekly working hours: $35\pm 8$	
		Years working in the same type of job:	
		15±11	
TG	Pain intensity in the neck and shoulder	>30 days with Neck pain previous year	
	at baseline and follow-up for cases and	(% of participants): 34%	
	non-cases, separately.	>30 days with Right shoulder pain	
		previous year (% of participants): 27%	
	Cases	>30 days with Left shoulder pain	
	Neck: $4.7 \pm 1.6$ to $1.8 \pm 1.9$ ; n = 95	previous year (% of participants): 17%	
	R shoulder: $4.8 \pm 1.7$ to $1.4 \pm 1.7$ ; n = 76		
	L shoulder: $4.5 \pm 1.5$ to $0.9 \pm 1.3$ ; n = 46	Neck Pain intensity of 3 or more during	
		previous week (% of participants):	
	Non-cases	34%	
	Neck: $0.6\pm0.8$ to $0.5\pm1.3$ ; n = 182		
	R shoulder: $0.6\pm0.8$ to $0.5\pm1.2$ ; n = 200		

	L shoulder: $0.4\pm0.7$ to $0.4\pm1.0$ ; n = 231	Right shoulder Pain intensity of 3 or	
		more during previous week (% of	
		participants): 28%	
		Left shoulder Pain intensity of 3 or	
		more during previous week (% of	
		participants): 17%	
		Percentage of participants spending	
		more than half of total work time:	
		Sitting: 83%	
		Standing: 41%	
		Bend forward without arm or hand	
		support: 11%	
		Turisting on handing the healtr 220/	
		I wishing of behaving the back: 52%	
		Hand at shoulder height or higher: 0%	
		Performing physical strenous work:	
		14%	
		Bent neck: 29%	
		Hand twisted or flexed: 33%	
		The same finger movements several	
		times a minute: 65%	
		The same arm movements several	
		times a minute: 38%	
		Static work posture: 51%	
		Kneeling:0%	
		Other work-related characteristics:	
		Weekly working hours: 35±8	
		Years working in the same type of job:	
		16±12	
DECLINERS		>30 days with Neck pain previous year	
		(% of participants): 17%	
		>30 days with Right shoulder pain	
		previous year (% of participants): 6%	
		>30 days with Left shoulder pain	
		previous year (% of participants): 11%	
		Neck Pain intensity of 3 or more during	
		previous week (% of participants):	
		20%	
		Right shoulder Pain intensity of 3 or	
		more during previous week (% of	
		participants): 8%	
1	1	I . I	1

			Left shoulder Pain intensity of 3 or	
			more during previous week (% of	
			participants): 14%	
			Percentage of participants spending	
			more than half of total work time:	
			Sitting: 92%	
			Standing: 42%	
			Bend forward without arm- or hand-	
			support: 14%	
			Twisting or bending the back: 21%	
			Hand at shoulder height or higher: 3%	
			Performing physical strenuous work:	
			10%	
			Bent neck: 19%	
			Hand twisted or flexed: 30%	
			The same finger movements several	
			times a minute: 62%	
			The same arm movements several	
			times a minute: 33%	
			Static work posture: 58%	
			Kneeling: 3%	
			Other work-related characteristics:	
			Weekly working hours: 35±9	
			Years working in the same type of job:	
			12±12	
Camargo et al	IG	DASH score and DASH work score in		
(2009)		14 workers with SIS at pre- and post-		
	14 male workers	intervention		
		DASH score:		
		Pre-intervention: $22.32 \pm 16.80$		
		Post Intervention: 9.64 ± 8.38 *		
		Pre-Post Difference: 12.67 ±17.55		
		DASH work score		
		Pre-intervention: $23.21 \pm 18.90$		
		Post Intervention;10.27 ± 11.91 *		
		Pre-Post Difference: $12.94 \pm 18.90$		
		PRI category (max score)		
		Sensory (34)		
		Pre-intervention: $14.36 \pm 3.88$		
		Post-Intervention: 8.50 ± 8.23 *		

	1			
Change at al		Affective (17) Pre-intervention: $5.00 \pm 2.18$ Post-Intervention: $1.71 \pm 1.49 *$ Evaluative (5) Pre-intervention: $2.21 \pm 1.25$ Post-Intervention: $1.29 \pm 1.14$ Miscellaneous (12) Pre-intervention: $3.29 \pm 1.64$ Post-Intervention: $1.00 \pm 1.24 *$ Total (68) Pre-intervention: $24.86 \pm 6.72$ Post-Intervention: $12.50 \pm 11.55 *$	Shoulder flowing (degree)	
Cheng et al	Сні	SPADI	Shoulder flexion (degree)	
(2007)		$54.25 \pm 12.07$ to $40.50 \pm 16.30$ , p*	$163.38 \pm 10.98$	
			Shoulder extension (degree) $38.85 \pm 8.14$ Shoulder abduction (degree) $163.23 \pm 11.69$ Shoulder external Rotation (degree) $56.56 \pm 6.03$ Shoulder internal rotation (degree) $66.77 \pm 11.83$ Leg lift (lbs) $43.66 \pm 15.89$ Arm lift (lbs) $34.16 \pm 13.35$ High near lift (lbs) $23.56 \pm 9.35$	
			Bilateral pushing (lbs) 21.18 ± 10.22	

Bilateral pulling (lbs)	
$19.96\pm9.03$	
Bilateral carrying (lbs)	
$14.06\pm4.69$	
Unilateral lifting (affected hand)	
(lbs)	
$13.88 \pm 5.32$	
Overhead tolerance (IS%)	
$54.04\pm17.40$	
Pre-post differences in functional	
outcome	
Shoulder flexion (degree)	
163.38 $\pm$ 10.98 to 169.79 $\pm$ 9.34, p*	
(between groups)	
Shoulder extension (degree)	
$38.85 \pm 8.14$ to $43.65 \pm 7.84$	
Shoulder abduction (degree)	
$163.23 \pm 11.69$ to $166.72 \pm 23.91$	
Shoulder external rotation (degree)	
$56.56 \pm 6.03$ to $59.58 \pm 6.51$	
Shoulder internal rotation (degree)	
$66.77 \pm 11.83$ to $70.52 \pm 11.82$	
Leg lift (lbs)	
$43.66 \pm 15.89$ to $51.60 \pm 19.62$	
Arm lift (lbs)	
$34.16 \pm 13.35$ to $38.52 \pm 14.47$ , p*	
(between groups)	
High near lift (lbs)	
23.56 $\pm$ 9.35 to 28.62 $\pm$ 10.54, p**	
(between groups)	
Bilateral pushing (lbs)	
$21.18 \pm 10.22$ to $24.41 \pm 10.92$	

		Bilateral pulling (lbs) $19.96 \pm 9.03$ to $23.73 \pm 9.73$ Bilateral carrying (lbs) $14.06 \pm 4.69$ to $26.46 \pm 8.69$ , p*         (between groups)         Unilateral lifting (affected hand) (lbs) $13.88 \pm 5.32$ to $17.86 \pm 6.55$ Overhead tolerancea (IS%) $54.04 \pm 17.40$ to $76.61 \pm 23.12$ , p*	
WWH	Pra post differences in SPADI	(between groups)	
wwn	52.09 $\pm$ 10.89 to 31.54 $\pm$ 13.37	$166.63 \pm 8.30$ , p* (between groups)	
		Shoulder extension (degree) 40.98 ± 6.47	
		Shoulder abduction (degree) 165.65 ± 9.92	
		Shoulder external Rotation (degree) $57.93 \pm 6.02$	
		Shoulder internal rotation (degree) 66.41 ± 10.98	
		Leg lift (lbs) 44.58 ± 12.50	
		Arm lift (lbs) 37.35 ± 12.47, p* (between groups)	
		High near lift (lbs) 25.09 ± 8.14, p** (between groups)	
		Bilateral pushing (lbs) 22.92 ± 10.11	
		Bilateral pulling (lbs) 22.29 ± 9.95	

Bilateral carrying (lbs)	
$15.65 \pm 4.90$ , p* (between groups)	
Unilateral lifting (affected hand) (lbs)	
$14.17\pm5.06$	
Overhead tolerance (IS%)	
$59.66 \pm 14.83$ , p* (between groups)	
Pre-post differences in functional	
outcome;	
Shoulder flexion (degree)	
$166.63 \pm 8.30$ to $175.11 \pm 5.92$	
Shoulder extension (degree) 40.98 $\pm$	
6.47 to 45.76 ± 5.67	
Shoulder abduction (degree) 165.65 $\pm$	
9.92 to 173.48 ± 7.29	
Shoulder external rotation (degree)	
$57.93 \pm 6.02$ to $61.09 \pm 6.23$	
Shoulder internal rotation (degree)	
$66.41 \pm 10.98$ to $72.93 \pm 9.16$	
Leg lift (lbs)	
$44.58 \pm 12.50$ to $56.66 \pm 14.40$	
Arm lift (lbs)	
$37.35 \pm 12.47$ to $46.27 \pm 14.09$	
High near lift (lbs)	
$25.09 \pm 8.14$ to $39.33 \pm 12.63$	
Bilateral pushing (lbs)	
$22.92 \pm 10.11$ to $27.50 \pm 10.58$	
Bilateral pulling (lbs)	
$22.29 \pm 9.95$ to $26.92 \pm 10.09$	
Bilateral carrying (lbs)	
$15.65 \pm 4.90$ to $31.85 \pm 10.35$	
	1

			Unilatoral lifting (offsated hand) (11)	
			Unilateral lifting (affected hand) (lbs)	
			$14.17 \pm 5.06$ to $19.77 \pm 6.63$	
			Overhead tolerance (IS%)	
			$59.66 \pm 14.83$ to 23.80	
Ludewig et al	IG	SRQ score		
(2002)		65.9 + 1.96 to 78.0+2.31 **		
(=••=)				
		Satisfaction score		
		$4.5\pm0.31$ to $6.2\pm0.35$ *		
		Work related pain		
		$4.8 \pm 0.28 \ 2.8 \pm 0.29 \ *$		
		Work related disability		
		$4.1 \pm 0.30$ to $2.5 \pm 0.29$ *		
		Change (pretest to post-test) scores and		
		percent change scores in function and		
		pein measures by group		
		pain measures by group		
		(17.100)		
		SRQ Difference score mean (17-100)		
		11.17 ± 2.83 **		
		SRQ % change (1-10)		
		$19.23 \pm 4.75$		
		Satisfaction score (dsm) (1-10)		
		$1.50 \pm 0.33$		
		Satisfaction score % change		
		43.61 + 10.22		
		15:01 - 10:22		
		work related pain questions (dsm) (1-		
		10)		
		$-1.95 \pm 0.28$		
		Work related pain questions % change		
		$-29.99 \pm 8.22$		
		Work related disability questions (dsm)		
		(1-10):		
		$-1.52 \pm 0.35$		

	Work related disability questions %	
	change:	
	$-39.6 \pm 5.06$	
SCG	SRQ score:	
	$72.5 \pm 1.99$ to $71.1 \pm 2.24$	
	Satisfaction score:	
	$5.0 \pm 0.31$ to $5.0 \pm 0.34$	
	Work related point	
	$4.6 \pm 0.28$ to $4.1 \pm 0.29$	
	Work related disability:	
	$3.8 \pm 0.30$ to $3.7 \pm 0.29$	
	Change (pretest to post-test) scores and	
	percent change scores in function and	
	pain measures by group	
	SRO Difference score mean (17-100):	
	-1 56 + 2 5	
	1.50 - 2.5	
	SPO $\%$ always (1, 10):	
	SKQ % change (1-10).	
	$-0.27 \pm 3.72$	
	Satisfaction score (dsm) (1-10):	
	$-0.09 \pm 0.38$	
	Satisfaction score % change:	
	$12.45 \pm 11.94$	
	Work related pain questions (dsm) (1-	
	10):	
	$-0.48 \pm 0.34$	
	Work related pain questions % change	
	work related pain questions $\pi$ change.	
	0.43 ± 0.07	
	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	Work related disability questions (dsm)	
	(1-10):	
	$-0.09\pm0.31$	
	Work related disability questions %	
	change:	
	$-3.43 \pm 7.90$	

ACG	Means (standard error of mean) of	
	outcome variables by group	
	SRQ score:	
	$93.8 \pm 2.28$ to $94.0 \pm 2.64$ *	
	Satisfaction score:	
	$9.1 \pm 0.37$ to $8.8 \pm 0.40$ *	
	Work related pain:	
	$1.3 \pm 0.32$ to $1.4 \pm 0.34$ *	
	Work related disability:	
	$1.3 \pm 0.35$ to $1.3 \pm 0.34$ *	
	Change (pretest to post-test) scores and	
	percent change scores in function and	
	pain measures by group	
	SRQ Difference score mean (17-100):	
	$0.04 \pm 1.45$	
	SRQ % change (1-10):	
	0.36 ± 1.65	
	Satisfaction score (dsm):	
	$-0.36 \pm 0.28$	
	Satisfaction score % change:	
	$-3.03 \pm 3.42$	
	Work related pain questions (dsm):	
	0.08 ± 0.13	
	Work related pain questions % change:	
	$2.34 \pm 4.13$	
	Westernlag 1.P. 1.P.	
	work related disability questions (dsm): $0.02 \pm 0.08$	
	$0.05 \pm 0.08$	
	Work related disability quantizer of	
	change:	
	$128 \pm 855$	
	$12.0 \pm 0.33$	

Nurminen et al	IG	Perceived work ability	Health-related factors	
(2002)		WAI (mean): 7-49 points/7 items: 40.0	Health status compared with that of	
			persons of the same age (%): very good	
		Work ability (%): good (37-43 points)	or rather good: 69.0	
		or excellent (44-49 points) 73.7		
			Prognosis of work ability with respect	
		Work ability compared with lifetime	to health after 2 years (%): fairly sure	
		best: scale 0-10 (mean): 8.5	able to do current job: 81.2	
		Work ability in relation to physical	Prognosis of work ability during next 5	
		demands of the work (%): very good or	years with respect to musculoskeletal	
		rather good 76.7	symptoms (%): no difficulties: 59.1	
		Work ability in relation to mental	Mental resources (mean): 3 items: 9.5	
		demands of the work (%): very good or		
		rather good: 82.7	Perceived well-being	
			Stress (%): very much or rather much:	
			8.3	
			Job satisfaction (%): very good or	
			rather good: 75.6	
			Life satisfaction (%): very good or	
			rather good: 87.9	
	CG	Perceived work ability	Health-related factors	
		WAI (mean): 7-49 points/7 items: 39.8	Health status compared with that of	
			persons of the same age (%): 64.0	
		Work ability (%): 75.7		
			Prognosis of work ability with respect	
		Work ability compared with lifetime	to health after 2 years (%): 82.9	
		best: scale 0-10 (mean): 8.4		
			Prognosis of work ability during next 5	
		Work ability in relation to physical	years with respect to musculoskeletal	
		demands of the work (%): 77.4	symptoms (%): 60.3	
		Work ability in relation to mental	Mental resources (mean): 3 items: 9.3	
		demands of the work (%): 78.2		
			Perceived well-being	
			Stress (%): 8.1	
			Job satisfaction (%): 71.8	
			Life satisfaction (%): 85.5	

Results are shown as mean  $\pm$  standard deviation, or median (interquartile range), or change  $\varDelta$ 

Abbreviations: p < 0.05 \* within group comparison, \*\* between groups comparison

Gobbo et al (2021): RT: resistance training, L-VAS: Low back Visual Analog Scale, DASH: Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score

*Cimarras-Otal et al (2020): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, ODI: Oswestry Disability Index, BPI: Brief Pain Inventory, F/R test: Flexion-Relaxation test, FER: Flexion-Extension Ratio* 

Weyh et al (2020): ETG: endurance training group, STG: strength training group, CG: control group, SiBP: sitting bended position, StOP: standing overhead position, BMI: Body Mass Index, BW: body weight, MCS: mental component summary, Nm: newton meter, PCS: physical component summary, DBPmax: maximum diastolic blood pressure, EWT: experimental welding task, HRmax: maximum heart rate, RPEmax: maximum rating of perceived exertion, SBPmax: maximum systolic blood pressure, VASmax: maximum visual analogue scale

Muñoz-Poblete et al (2019): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, VAS: visual analogue scale, DASH: Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score Kang et al (2018, finger): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, BMI: body mass index, AUSCAN index: Australian/Canadian osteoarthritis hand index Kang et al (2018, lowback): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, AUSCAN index: Australian/Canadian osteoarthritis,

Lowe et al (2017): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, SRQ: Shoulder Rating Questionnaire, DASH: Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score. Malarvizhi et al (2017): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, VAS: visual analogue scale, SPADI: shoulder pain and disability index. Krüger et al (2015): IG: intervention group, CG: control group.

Rasotto et al (2015): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, VAS: visual analogue scale, EL: elevation, AB: abduction, FL: flexion, EX: extension, LI: lateral inclination, RO: rotation

Bertozzi et al (2014): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, VAS: visual analog scale, RMDQ: Roland Morris Disability Questionnaire, ODI: Oswestry Disability Index

Moreira-Silva et al (2014): TOI: intervention group, TOR: reference group, BMI: body mass index, MVPA: moderate and vigorous physical activity Rasotto et al (2014): IG: intervention group, CG: control group, VAS: visual analogue scale, EL: elevation, AB: abducion, FL: flexion, EX: extension, LI: lateral inclination, RO: rotation.

Sundstrup et al (2014, disability): RT: resistance training, ET: endurance training, WAI: work ability index, DASH: Disability of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score. Sundstrup et al (2014, upperlimb): RT: resistance training, ET: ergonomic training, DASH: Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score, VAS: visual analogue scale

Pedersen et al (2013): TG1: training group 1, TG2: training group 2, DASH: Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score.

Gram et al (2012): EG: Exercise group, CG: control group.

Mesquita et al (2012): SFle: Trunk flexors strength; RFle: Trunk flexors resistance; SExt: Trunk extensors strength; RExt: Trunk extensors resistance.

Ratio between trunk extensors/flexors strength.

Zebis et al (2011): TG: training group; CG: control group.

Camargo et al (2009): DASH: Disabilities of Arm, Shoulder and Hand Score; PRI: Pain rating index in the four different categories assessed using the Brazilian version of the McGill Pain Questionnaire.

Cheng et al (2007): CWH: Clinic-based work hardening training; Workplace-based work hardening training; SPADI: Shoulder Pain and Disability Index; Percent of Industrial Standard (%IS) is the evaluee's demonstrated ability as a percent of the Industrial Standard, where 100% and up indicates performance at or above the IS, while below 100% indicates performance below the IS.

Ludewig et al (2002): IG: intervention group; SCG: symtomatic control group; ACG: asymptomatic control group; SRQ: Shoulder Rating Questionnaire. Nurminen et al (2002): IG: intervention group, CG: control group; WAI: work ability index **Table B4:** List of papers with more than one intervention group, and relative interventions.

Paper ID	Intervention 1	Intervention 2
Cheng_2007	Clinical based work	Workplace based work
	hardening training	hardening training
Sundstrup_2014_disability	Resistance training	Ergonomic training
Sundstrup_2014_upperlimb	Resistance training	Ergonomic training
Kang_2018_finger	Finger exercises	Paraffin bath
Kang_2018_lowback	Heat, current and	Heat, current and
	ultrasonic treatments	ultrasonic treatments
	+ unstable surface training	+ stable surface training
Weyh_2020	Resistance training	Endurance training



**Figure B1.** Funnel plot for pain outcomes, showing asymmetry towards the null. Different colors denote different studies.



**Figure B2.** Funnel plot for disability outcomes, showing asymmetry towards positive effects. Different colors denote different studies.



Figure B3. Forest plot for VAS outcomes, showing good symmetry. Different colors denote different studies.