ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Ten year challenge with Ponseti method for clubfoot: our experience

Daniela Dibello¹, Giulia Colin², Anna Maria Chiara Galimberti³, Valentina Di Carlo¹
¹Orthopedic Pediatric Department Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste; ²Orthopedic and traumatology Unit, University of Trieste; ³Pediatric Clinic, University of Trieste

Summary. Equino-varus-adducted-supinated, also known as clubfoot, is the most frequent congenital malformation of the foot. Scientific evidences of the last decades has definitively confirmed the efficacy of the non-invasive Ponseti Technique, which is based on manipulation, plaster casts, percutaneous achillean tenotomy and stabilization of the foot using a brace. The aim of the article is to describe the experience of our third level hospital in treating clubfoot with Ponseti Method. Our data are similar to the ones in literature, confirming the effectiveness and good reproducibility of the Method. (www.actabiomedica.it)

Key words: congenital clubfoot, Ponseti Method, Achilles tenotomy, brace

Aim of the work

To describe the experience of our third level hospital in treating clubfoot with Ponseti Method and to compare the obtained results with those proposed by the scientific literature.

Background

Clubfoot (or equino-varus-adducted-supinated) is the most frequent congenital malformation of the foot. It is estimated that, in the world, 1-2 newborns every 1000 are affected by this pathology (150,000-200,000 newborns per year), with a male to female ratio of 2:1 and a major distribution in developing countries (80%) (1). Clubfoot is a complex deformity. It affects both feet in 50% of cases (2). If affected children will not properly be treated, they will not be capable to live a normal life because they will never be able to walk adequately. Over the decades, different corrective solutions have been proposed with varying degrees of invasiveness (3). Surgical treatment for clubfoot is frequently associated with complications,

with the final result of a foot that hardly reaches a complete functionality due to retractions and scarring secondary to the surgery itself (4). Scientific evidences of the last decades has definitively confirmed the efficacy of the non-invasive Ponseti Technique, which is based on manipulation, plaster casts, percutaneous achillean tenotomy and stabilization of the foot using a brace (5, 6). The Ponseti Method should start as soon as possible (between 7 and 10 days of life) and consists of manipulations of the foot followed by the application of a plaster cast, usually 5 or 6 casts are needed to correct supination and abduction deformity. The first femoropodalic cast must stay in place for 5-7 days, during this period the ligamentous structures adapt to the new position. After this term the cast is removed, the foot is re-evaluated, a new chalk is manipulated and positioned, and again it will work to progressively softner capsule-ligament structures to maintain the new position. This procedure is repeated until the correction of cavism and subsequently adduction and varism is reached. At the end of that period, if equinism is still present, we proceed with a minimally invasive tenotomy of the Achilles tendon. This is a 5-minutes lasting procedure, followed by the positioning of a cast that will allow the tendon to heal in elongation (on average 20 days) (7). In order to maintain the correction obtained and prevent recurrences, it is essential to use the Mitchell-Ponseti brace (8). This brace has to be worn with a day night schedule that develops with the child age and the personal answer to the treatment. After the removal of the last cast, for the following 3 months, the Ponseti brace must be worn full time (23 hours per day) and then gradually reduce to 12-14 hours per day from 1 year up to 5 years of age (figure 1) (9, 10).

Materials and methods

We retrospectively examined all the cases of club-foot treated with Ponseti Method from 2009 to 2019 in the Pediatric- Orthopedic Department of Burlo Garofolo Hospital in Trieste, Italy. The medical records of patients with clubfoot treated with Ponseti Method were reviewed. The inclusion criteria for the treatment was a congenital clubfoot which was clinically diagnosed. Exclusion criterion was the presence of previous clubfoot treatment and the premature quit of the treatment. We used Pirani Clinical Score to evaluate clubfoot severity (11). The clinical evaluation was performed before the first manipulation and cast application; subsequently we made a clinical evaluation with Pirani score at every cast replacement.



Figure 1. Brace position after Achilles tenotomy

Results

In the present study 96 children were treated: 62% had bilateral clubfoot and 38% monolateral of which 20% right and 18% left. We had 73% boys and 27% girls patients, an higher rate of males and bilateral feet if compared with literature (12). In a further article, althogh this evidence, Zionts et al. demonstrated that there is no difference in severity of clubfoot due to sex. Farther, on average, bilateral patients did not have increased severity, but a larger range of severity compared with those who have unilateral deformity (13). The mean Pirani score in our patients was 5.77, only 5 children had a Pirani score lower than 5. The mean number of plaster cast used was 5.62 per patient, with a minimum of 3 and a maximum of 9 casts. To eliminate residual equinus, it is required an Achillles percutaneous tenotomy (figure 2) followed by three weeks of casting to aid healing the tendon. In our study the tenotomy was realized in 92,7% of the patients. According to major studies Achilles tenotomy is required in all the children with initial Pirani score greater than 5, while in patients with a Pirani score lower than 3 it seems not needed (14). The maintenance phase then involved holding the foot in an extrarotation and dorsiflexion brace (The Mitchell-Ponseti brace) for 23 hours per day for 3 months. Progressively, the time of use of the device can be decreased until 12 -14 hours (worn during the night) until five years of age (9). However, we use an individualised protocol for each children.



Figure 2. Achilles tenotomy



Figure 3. A phase of TA transposition

The most dreadful complications is recurrence. The lack of family compliance to the bracing protocol is the leading cause of relapses and failure of the treatment (15). In a study of 73 feet treated with Ponseti Method, 24 showed recurrence (33%), and the only relevant correlation was the noncompliance to the brace (16). We observed a relapse rate of 10,4% (10/96 children), that conforms to literature where minimum relapse rate is 3,7% (17) and the maximum relapse rate was 27.1% (18). While 6 out of 10 children showed a complete response to the recasting only for relapse, 4 of them needed both recasting and surgical treatment. The surgical procedures consisted of: Achilles Z lengthening and posterior subtalar and ankle capsulotomies (2 children), Achilles Z lengthening, posterior subtalar and ankle capsulotomies and TA transposition (figure 3) (2 children). In our experience the causes of the relapses have to be found in the early interruption of the treatment, co-morbidity and bad compliance to the use of the brace.

Conclusions

The Ponseti Method is, currently, the "Gold Standard" for congenital clubfoot. In 2012, a survey of member ship of the Pediatric Orthopedic Society of North America indicated that 96,7% use the Ponseti Method to treat clubfoot (19). A systematic review of 2014 shown that 113 countries (59%) all over the world performed the Ponseti Method. This treatment with



Figure 4 a, b. The clinical case of A. V. a) First day of Treatment, b) 7 years old

its small rate of complication, low cost, and elevated effectiveness, has a great potential to treat clubfoot in both developed and undeveloped countries (20). It does not require major surgery, unless the recurrence of relapses, but to succed it is also very important a primary commitment from the family. The first step is prenatal diagnosis and counselling, where the specialist explains the Ponseti Method and enstablish the first contact with the parents of the future patient. During the first post natal evaluation the doctor reaffirm the importance of the Method and its phases, underlining the importance of the family compliance to it. The very success of the Method is related to an early onset of the treatment, the correct use of the brace in order to prevent the relapses and to the attentive participation to the scheduled follow ups (figure 4A and B). Our data are similar to the ones in literature, confirming the effectiveness and good reproducibility of the Method (21).

Conflict of interest: Each author declares that he or she has no commercial associations (e.g. consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangement etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article

References

- Parker SE, Mai CT, Stricland MJ, Olney RS, Rickard R, Marengo L, Wang Y, Hashmi SS, M. R. Multistate study of the epidemiology of clubfoot. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol (2009).
- 2. Zionts LE, Zhao G, Hitchcock K, Maewal J, Ebramzadeh E. Has the rate of extensive surgery to treat idiopathic club-foot declined in the United States? J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92(4):882–9
- 3. Sanzarello I, Nanni M, Faldini C. The clubfoot over the centuries. J Pediatr Orthop B. 2017 Mar;26(2):143-151.
- 4. MA, H. & , Davison JE, Huang JC, Walker CG, Walsh SJ, C. H. Ponseti method compared with surgical treatment of clubfoot: a prospective comparison. J Bone Jt. Surg Am Feb;92(2), 270–8 (2010).
- Nogueira MP; Farcetta M, Fox MH, Miller KK, Pereira TS, M. J. "Treatment of congenital clubfoot with the Ponseti method: the parents perspective". J Pediatr Orthop B Nov. 22 (6), 583–8 (2013).
- Herzenberg JE, Radler C, Bor N. Ponseti versus traditional methods of casting for idiopathic clubfoot. J Pediatr Orthop 2002; 22: 517-521
- Ríos-Ruiz JG, González-Torres DM, Valdez-Jiménez LA. Sonographic evaluation of Achilles tendon healing in tenotomies for congenital clubfoot and Ponsetis treatment. A 12-week follow-up. Acta Ortop Mex. 2017 May-Jun;31(3):123-127.
- Zionts LE1, Frost N, Kim R, Ebramzadeh E, Sangiorgio SN. Treatment of idiopathic clubfoot: experience with the Mitchell-Ponseti brace. J Pediatr Orthop. 2012 Oct-Nov;32(7):706-13.
- Ponseti IV. The ponseti technique for correction of congenital clubfoot. J Bone Jt. Surg Am (2002).
- 10. Staheli L, Ponseti I, Morcuende JA, et al. Clubfoot: Ponseti management. 3th edition, Global HELP Ed, 2009.
- Khan MA, Chinoy MA, Moosa R, Ahmed SK. Significance Of Pirani Score at Bracing-Implications for Recognizing A Corrected Clubfoot. Iowa Orthop J. 2017;37:151-156.
- 12. Zionts LE, Zhao G, Hitchcock K, Maewal J, Ebramzadeh

- E. Has the rate of extensive surgery to treat idiopathic club-foot declined in the United States? J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92(4):882–9.
- 13. Zionts LE1, Jew MH, Ebramzadeh E, Sangiorgio SN. The Influence of Sex and Laterality on Clubfoot Severity. J Pediatr Orthop. 2017 Mar;37(2):e129-e133.
- Janicki JA, Narayanan UG, Harvey BJ, Roy A, Weir S, Wright JG. Comparison of surgeon and physiotherapistdirected Ponseti treatment of idiopathic clubfoot. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009 May;91(5):1101-8
- Zhao D., Jianlin L., Zhao L., et al Relapse of Clubfoot after Treatment with the Ponseti Method and the Function of the Foot Abduction Orthosis. Clinics in Orth Surg 2014; 6: 245-252.
- 16. Ramírez N, Flynn JM, Fernández S, Seda W, Macchiavelli RE. Orthosis noncompliance after the Ponseti method for the treatment of idiopathic clubfeet: a relevant problem that needs reevaluation. J Pediatr Orthop. 2011 Sep;31(6):710-5.
- 17. Pulak S, Swamy M. Treatment of idiopathic clubfoot by ponseti technique of manipulation and serial plaster casting and its critical evaluation. Ethiop J Health Sci. 2012 Jul;22(2):77-84.
- 18. Hallaj-Moghaddam M, Moradi A, Ebrahimzadeh MH, Habibzadeh Shojaie SR., Ponseti casting for severe club foot deformity: are clinical outcomes promising? Adv Orthop. 2015;2015:821690.
- Zionts LE, Sangiorgio SN, Ebramzadeh E, Morcuende JA. The current management of idiopathic clubfoot revisited: results of a survey of the POSNA membership. J Pediatr Orthop. 2012 Jul-Aug;32(5):515-20
- Shabtai L., Specht S.C., Herzenberg J.E. Worldwide spread of the Ponseti method for clubfoot. World J Orthop 2014 November 18; 5(5): 585-590
- Morcuende JA, Dolan LA, Dietz FR, Ponseti IV. Radical reduction in the rate of extensive corrective surgery for clubfoot using the Ponseti method. Pediatrics 2004;113(2):376-80.

Received: 1 October 2019 Accepted: 3 November 2019

Correspondence: Daniela Dibello

Orthopedic Pediatric Department Institute for Maternal and Child Health IRCCS Burlo Garofolo, Trieste E-mail: daniela.dibello@burlo.trieste.it