

## Is it necessary to discontinue metformin in diabetic patients with GFR > 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> undergoing coronary angiography: A controversy still exists?

Mohammad Hasan Namazi<sup>1</sup>, Saeed Alipour Parsa<sup>1</sup>, Kobra Roohigilani<sup>2</sup>, Morteza Safti<sup>1</sup>, Hossein Vakili<sup>1</sup>, Isa Khabeshi<sup>1</sup>, Fatemeh Abedi<sup>1</sup>, Adel Zare<sup>1</sup>, Shooka Esmaeli<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Cardiovascular Research Center, Modarres Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; <sup>2</sup> Labbafinegad Hospital, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran; <sup>3</sup> Student's Scientific Research Center (SSRC), Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

**Summary.** *Background:* Although metformin is not directly nephrotoxic, it has been postulated that it can impair gluconeogenesis from lactate, which may lead lactate to be accumulated under circumstances such as contrast-induced nephropathy. The present study aims to assess the role of metformin in lactate production in a group of diabetic patients with GFR > 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> undergoing coronary angiography. *Methods:* In the present randomized clinical trial, 162 metformin-treated diabetic patients were enrolled. The enlisted patients were scheduled to undergo coronary angiography at Modarres Hospital from Feb 2012 to Nov 2012. Patients were randomly allocated to continue metformin during peri-angiography period (M (+) group) or to stop the medication 24 hours prior the procedure (M (-) group). All the patients had glomerular filtration rate of >60 mL/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Iodixanol was the only contrast media which in all patients. Metformin-associated lactic acidosis (MALA) was defined as an arterial pH <7.35 and plasma lactate concentration >5 mmol/L. *Results:* 162 patients, including 79 (48.7%) male and 83 (51.3%) female patients were enrolled in the study. The average of GFR was comparable in both groups (76 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> in the M (+) group versus 79 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> in the M (-) group, p=0.53). No significant difference was observed in the mean dose of metformin before the study between the 2 groups (2.18 tablets per day in M (+) group vs. 2.21 tablets per day in M(-) group, p=0.62). No lactic acidosis was observed in the studied groups. *Conclusion:* In conclusion, the results of the present study indicate that metformin continuation in diabetic patients with a GFR of more than 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> undergoing coronary angiography does not enhance the risk of MALA development. ([www.actabiomedica.it](http://www.actabiomedica.it))

**Key words:** metformin, diabetes, coronary angiography

### Introduction

Metformin as a member of biguanide family is the most commonly prescribed oral agent in diabetic patients (1, 2). Metformin decreases hepatic gluconeogenesis and glycogenolysis; and also decreases insulin resistance by increasing skeletal muscle glucose uptake (3, 4) and was shown previously that is associated with

a reduction in cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (5). Ninety percent of metformin was eliminated via renal excretion, with a half-life of about 4-8 hours in the setting of normal kidney function (6, 7).

Phenformin, as another member of biguanides that preceded metformin, was demonstrated to be associated with a conspicuous risk of lactic acidosis (8, 9). This led to manifestation of withdrawal symptoms

of phenformin from clinical practice since 1978. Lactic acidosis is a serious life-threatening agent with an estimated mortality rate exceeding 50% (10).

Although metformin is not directly nephrotoxic (11) it has been postulated that it can impair gluconeogenesis from lactate, and lead to lactate accumulation under circumstances such as acute renal failure (12). In diabetic patients receiving metformin, this condition can be observed in the setting of acute renal failure following contrast media administration during coronary angiography, i.e., contrast-induced nephropathy. Consequently, it has been developed to become a part of routine clinical practice to discontinue metformin before angiography to prevent metformin-associated lactic acidosis (MALA). However, there is no general consensus regarding the incidence of MALA and evidences for such intervention are inadequate. On the other hand, discontinuation of metformin can be associated with detrimental effects on glycemic control and thereby may increase cardiovascular risk in diabetic patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions (13). Consequently, questions have been raised recently regarding the routine discontinuation of metformin in low-risk patients undergoing coronary angiography.

The present study was developed to assess the role of metformin in lactate production in a group of diabetic patients with normal renal function; and furthermore, to address the questions regarding the significance of routine discontinuation of metformin in low risk patients undergoing coronary angiography.

## Methods

In the present randomized clinical trial, 166 metformin-treated diabetic patients were enrolled. The enrolled patients were scheduled to undergo coronary angiography at Modarres Hospital during Feb 2012 and Nov 2012 were enrolled. Patients were randomly allocated to continue metformin during peri-angiography period (M (+) group) or to stop the medication 24 hours prior the procedure (M (-) group). All patients had normal kidney function with a glomerular filtration rate of >60 mL/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. Patients who had contraindication for metformin administration,

such as decompensated heart failure; severe liver disease; severe hypoxemia and GFR<60 mL/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> were excluded from the study. Furthermore, four patients in the M (-) group did not complete the study protocol and were excluded from the study. Finally data of 162 patients was included in the final analysis. Iodixanol was the only contrast media used in all patients, due to its low nephrotoxicity. Serum creatinine as well as arterial blood gases and lactate concentration were evaluated prior to angiography. The evaluations were repeated within 48 hours of the procedure. Glomerular filtration rate (GFR) was calculated using Cockcroft-Gault formula:

$$\{GFR = \frac{(140 - \text{age}) \times \text{weight (kg)}}{P \text{ Cr} \times 72} \times 0.85 \text{ (for women)}\}$$

Serum creatinine was measured via photometric assay; and arterial blood gases and lactate concentration were gauged via blood gas analyzer. Contrast-induced acute kidney injury was defined as a 25-50% or 0.3-0.5 mg/dl increase in creatinine concentration compared to the baseline values within 48 hours of contrast administration. Metformin-associated lactic acidosis (MALA) was defined as an arterial pH <7.35 and plasma lactate concentration >5 mmol/L. In the M (-) group metformin was re-started 48 hours after angiography in the absence of evidence of lactic acidosis and GFR of >60 mL/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>.

A written informed consent was taken from all participants and institutional review board approved the trial.

## Results

One hundred-sixty two patients including 79 (48.7%) male and 83 (51.3%) female were enrolled in the study. No significant difference was observed regarding the gender of the patients between the 2 groups (p=0.53). The mean age was 61.5 years in the M (+) group and 60.1 in the M (-) group, which was not significantly different (p=0.43).

The mean dosage of contrast media was 220 cc in the M (+) group and 182 cc in the M(-) group (p=0.18).

All the patients had a left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) of more than 30%. The mean LVEF in both groups was 50.0%, which was not significantly different between the 2 groups ( $p=0.29$ ). The average of GFR was comparable in both groups ( $79.0\pm 3.4$  ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> in the M (+) group versus  $76.0\pm 2.1$  ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> in the M (-) group,  $p=0.53$ ). No significant difference was seen in the mean dose of metformin before the study between the 2 groups (2.18 tablets per day in M (+) group vs. 2.21 tablets per day in M (-) group,  $p=0.62$ ); (All metformin tablets were 500 mg). No lactic acidosis was seen in the studied groups. Table 1 summarizes demographic, clinical and laboratory data in the study population, according to metformin withdrawal or not before angiography. Table 2 demonstrates creatinine level, GFR and lactate level in the study groups, before and after angiography.

## Discussion

In the present clinical trial, 162 patients were included to be studied to determine whether the continuation of metformin administration during peri-angiographic study would increase the risk of metformin-associated lactic acidosis in diabetic patients with GFR of more than 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>. According to results of this study no cases of MALA was observed in the 2 studied groups.

It was shown previously that metformin may not be the only culprit in the development of lactic acidosis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus. In other words, the incidence of lactic acidosis in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus patients taking metformin is not higher than patients using other oral anti-diabetic agents (14–18). Moreover, it should be mentioned that not all cases of lactic acidosis in diabetic patients re-

**Table 1.** Demographic, clinical and laboratory data in the study population, according to metformin withdrawal or not before angiography

Groups/Variables	Metformin (+) Group N=83	Metformin (-) Group N=79	P-Value
Age(year)	61.5	60.1	0.43
Gender	Male: 40 (48.1%) Female: 43 (51.9%)	Male: 39 (49.4%) Female: 40 (50.6%)	0.53
Contrast Media Dosage(cc)	220 cc	182 cc	0.18
Metformin Dosage (tablet per day)	2.18	2.21	0.62
Ejection fraction (%)	50.0%	50.0%	0.29

**Table 2.** Demonstrates creatinine level, GFR and lactate level in the study groups, before and after angiography

Groups/Variables	Metformin (+) Group N=83	Metformin (-) Group N=79	P-Value
Creatinine level before angiography (mg/dL)	1.03±0.07	1.08±0.04	0.24
Creatinine level After angiography (mg/dL)	1.05±0.09	1.1±0.03	0.18
GFR before angiography (cc/min per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	79.0±3.4	76.0±2.1	0.53
GFR after angiography (cc/min per 1.73 m <sup>2</sup> )	77.2±3.7	74.9±1.6	0.42
Lactate level before angiography (mmol L)	1.42±0.12	1.37±0.10	0.22
Lactate level after angiography (mmol/L)	1.56±0.11	1.47±0.14	0.26

ceiving contrast media during coronary angiography should be considered as MALA; since concomitant risk factors for lactic acidosis and other comorbidities may contribute to development of lactic acidosis irrespective of the metformin effects (16, 19-21). For example, renal failure is a commonly encountered comorbidity in patients with diabetes mellitus, and is a major predisposing factor to lactic acidosis even in the absence of metformin (22).

It should be noted that metformin accumulation due to acute renal failure or metformin overdose has resulted in lactic acidosis in numerous cases which did not have pre-existing risk factors for lactic acidosis or medical comorbidities (23-32). So, lactic acidosis may not necessarily develop in diabetic patients taking metformin, or even in patients with high serum metformin concentration (33).

Concerns regarding the risk of development of MALA in diabetic patients undergoing coronary angiography rose from some case reports (34, 35). It has been suggested that in patients developing contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN) after coronary angiography i.e., acute deterioration in renal function following contrast administration and potential risk of accumulation of metformin results in lactic acidosis. However, there is not enough evidence to support this hypothesis at the present time.

It should be emphasized that, although CIN occurs in 2-25% of patients receiving contrast media undergoing coronary angiography (36), MALA does not occur in all the metformin-treated patients developing CIN (11). Moreover, the majority of reported cases of lactic acidosis in this situation have occurred in patients with severe comorbid conditions, including renal failure, septicemia, hepatic failure and acute decompensated left ventricular failure (37-40).

A meta-analysis of 347 prospective comparative trials and observational cohort studies found no cases of lactic acidosis in 70,490 patient-years of metformin use group or 55,451 patient-years in the non-metformin group (35).

A multicenter randomized controlled trial did not find any lactic acidosis in either groups of patients receiving or discontinuing metformin (41).

Among the first one million patients who received metformin in the United States, 47 cases of lactic aci-

dosis were reported. Among these cases, only four of them did not have other apparent risk factors for lactic acidosis; 13 cases had pre-existing renal failure; and 30 patients had pre-existing cardiac diseases, of whom 18 cases had congestive cardiac failure; 3 patients had chronic pulmonary disease and hypoxia; and 8 cases were older than 80 years (40). On the other hand, in diabetic patients suffering from concomitant underlying diseases, such as acute left heart failure with obvious tissue hypoxia, lactic acidosis was developed in the absence of metformin use (42).

Despite the findings of the mentioned studies above (35, 41), concerns regarding MALA have led to the development of guidelines on the management of diabetic patients taking metformin who are scheduled to undergo coronary angiography. These guidelines aim to reduce the risk of MALA in such patients. Several guidelines have been published recently by several related professional organizations and committees (43-49).

Taking all the discussed issues into consideration, it seems to some extent logical that some clinicians find the risk of MALA not high enough to make the patients discontinue metformin administration prior coronary angiography. This may be the main reason preventing clinicians to adhere rigorously to the present guidelines on metformin cessation in diabetic patients with a GFR of more than 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> undergoing coronary angiography.

## Limitations

First, it is undeniable that the number of the patients enrolled in our clinical trial was relatively small. Future studies with large sample size are recommended for revealing new consequences. Second, the financial resources were inadequate for applying this study to a larger population. Finally, in this clinical trial, we only focused on diabetic patients with a GFR of more than 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup> undergoing coronary angiography. Detailed assessment of diabetic patients based on different levels of GFR is suggested for the future investigations.

## Conclusion

The results of the present study indicate that metformin continuation in diabetic patients, with normal renal function and a GFR of more than 60 ml/min per 1.73 m<sup>2</sup>, undergoing coronary angiography, does not carry excess risk for development of MALA. It may be necessary to revise the present recommendations regarding the routine discontinuation of metformin in this group of patients.

Nevertheless, detailed information about the current topic is relatively limited and this clinical trial with such a relatively small sample size cannot adequately respond to all the remaining questions. This study, however, can serve as a trigger for future research examining metformin continuation in wide spectrum of patients undergoing coronary angiography and thus developing new therapeutic approaches.

## References

1. Webb JAW. Non-insulin-dependent diabetes and contrast media, in Thomsen HS, Webb JAW. Contrast media: safety issues and ESUR Guidelines. 2nd edition. Springer 2008.
2. Holstein A, Stumvoll M. Contraindications can damage your health - is metformin a case in point? *Diabetologica* 2005; 48: 2454-9.
3. Cusi K, Consoli A, DeFronzo RA. Metabolic effects of metformin on glucose and lactate metabolism in noninsulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1996; 81: 4059-67.
4. Kirpichnikov D, McFarlane SI, Sowers JR. Metformin: an update. *Ann Intern Med* 2002; 137: 25-33.
5. UK Prospective Diabetes Study (UKPDS) Group. Effect of intensive blood-glucose control with metformin on complications in overweight patients with type 2 diabetes (UKPDS 34). *Lancet* 1998; 352: 854-65.
6. Dunn CJ, Peters DH. Metformin. A review of its pharmacological properties and therapeutic use in non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Drugs* 1995; 49: 721-49.
7. Khurana R, Malik IS. Metformin: safety in cardiac patients. *Postgrad Med J* 2010; 86: 371-3.
8. Dembo AJ, Marliss EB, Halperin ML. Insulin therapy in phenformin-associated lactic acidosis; a case report, biochemical considerations and review of the literature. *Diabetes* 1975; 24: 28-35.
9. Cavallo-Perin P, Aluffi E, Estivi P, et al. The hyperlactataemic effect of biguanides: a comparison between phenformin and metformin during a 6-month treatment. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 1989; 11: 45-9.
10. Lalau JD, Race JM. Lactic acidosis in metformin-treated patients. Prognostic value of arterial lactate levels and plasma metformin concentrations. *Drug Saf* 1999; 20: 377-84.
11. Parra D, Legreid AM, Beckey NP, Reyes S. Metformin monitoring and change in serum creatinine levels in patients undergoing radiologic procedures involving administration of intravenous contrast media. *Pharmacotherapy* 2004; 24: 987-93.
12. Stang MR, Wysowski DK, Butler-Jones D. Incidence of lactic acidosis in metformin users. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22: 925-7.
13. Timmer JR, Ottervanger JP, de Boer MJ, et al. Hyperglycaemia is an important predictor of impaired coronary flow before reperfusion therapy in ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2005; 45: 1999-1002.
14. Salpeter S, Greyber E, Pasternak G, et al. Risk of fatal and non-fatal lactic acidosis with metformin use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2006; 1, CD002967.
15. Chan NN, Brain HP, Feher MD. Metformin associated lactic acidosis: a rare or very rare clinical entity? *Diabetic Medicine* 1999; 16: 273-81.
16. Bodmer M, Meier C, Krahenbuhl S. Metformin, sulfonylureas, or other antidiabetes drugs and the risk of lactic acidosis or hypoglycaemia. *Diabetes Care* 2008; 31: 2086-91.
17. Kamber N, Davis WA, Bruce DG, et al. Metformin and lactic acidosis in an Australian community setting: the Fremantle Diabetes Study. *Medical Journal of Australia* 2008; 188: 446-9.
18. Brown JB, Pedula K, Barzilay J, et al. Lactic acidosis rates in type 2 diabetes. *Diabetes Care* 1998; 21: 1659-63.
19. Misbin RI, Green LR, Stadel BV, et al. Lactic acidosis in patients with diabetes treated with metformin. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1998; 338: 265-6.
20. Wilholm B-E, Myrhed M. Metformin-associated lactic acidosis in Sweden 1977-1991. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1993; 44: 589-91.
21. Stang MR, Wysowski DK, Butler-Jones D. Incidence of lactic acidosis in metformin users. *Diabetes Care* 1999; 22: 925-7.
22. Stades AME, Heikens JT, Erkelens DW, et al. Metformin and lactic acidosis: cause or coincidence? A review of case reports. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2004; 255: 179-87.
23. Lalau JD, Race JM. Lactic acidosis in metformin-treated patients. Prognostic value of arterial lactate levels and plasma metformin concentrations. *Drug Safety* 1999; 20: 377-84.
24. Guo PYF, Storsley LJ, Finkle SN. Severe lactic acidosis treated with prolonged hemodialysis: recovery after massive overdoses of metformin. *Seminars in Dialysis* 2006; 19: 80-3.
25. Pont de ACJM, Kerver ED, Jansen MEP, et al. Een fatale auto-intoxicatie met metformine. *Nederlands Militair Geneeskundig Tijdschrift* 2007; 151: 981-4.
26. Bruijstens LA, Luin van M, Buscher-Jungerhans PMM, et al. Reality of severe metformin-induced lactic acidosis in the

- absence of chronic renal impairment. *The Netherlands Journal of Medicine* 2008; 66: 185-90.
27. Runge S, Mayerle J, Warnke C, et al. Metformin-associated lactic acidosis in patients with renal impairment solely due to drug accumulation. *Diabetes, Obesity and Metabolism* 2008; 10: 91-3.
  28. Prikis M, Mesler EL, Hood VL, et al. When a friend can become an enemy! Recognition and management of metformin-associated lactic acidosis. *Kidney International* 2007; 72: 1157-60.
  29. Brassøe R, Elkmann T, Hempel M, et al. Fulminant lactic acidosis in two patients with type 2 diabetes treated with metformin. *Diabetic Medicine* 2005; 22: 1451-3.
  30. Harvey B, Hickman C, Hinson G, et al. Severe lactic acidosis complicating metformin overdose successfully treated with high-volume venovenous hemofiltration and aggressive alkalinization. *Pediatric Critical Care Medicine* 2005; 6: 598-601.
  31. Lacher M, Hermanns-Clausen M, Haeffner K, et al. Severe metformin intoxication with lactic acidosis in an adolescent. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 2005; 164: 362-5.
  32. Chang CT, Chen YC, Fang JT, et al. High anion gap metabolic acidosis in suicide: don't forget metformin intoxication—two patient's experiences. *Renal Failure* 2002; 4: 671-5.
  33. Lalau JD, Lemaire-Hurtel A, Lacroix C. Establishment of a database of metformin plasma concentrations and erythrocyte levels in normal and emergency situations. *Clin Drug Investig* 2011; 31: 425-38.
  34. Goergen SK, Rumbold G, Compton G, Harris C. Systematic review of current guidelines, and their evidence base, on risk of lactic acidosis after administration of contrast medium for patients receiving metformin. *Radiology* 2010; 254: 261-9.
  35. Salpeter SR, Greyber E, Pasternak GA, Salpeter EE. Risk of fatal and nonfatal lactic acidosis with metformin use in type 2 diabetes mellitus. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2010. (4): CD002967.
  36. Mehran R, Aymong ED, Nikolsky E, et al. A simple risk score for prediction of contrast-induced nephropathy after percutaneous coronary intervention: development and initial validation. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2004; 44: 1393-9.
  37. Stades AM, Heikens JT, Erkelens DW, Holleman F, Hoekstra JB. Metformin and lactic acidosis: cause or coincidence? A review of case reports. *J Intern Med* 2004; 255: 179-87.
  38. McCartney MM, Gilbert FJ, Murchison LE, Pearson D, McHardy K, Murray AD. Metformin and contrast media – a dangerous combination? *Clin Radiol* 1999; 54: 29-33.
  39. Nawaz S, Cleveland T, Gaines PA, Chan P. Clinical risk associated with contrast angiography in metformin treated patients: a clinical review. *Clin Radiol* 1998; 53: 342-4.
  40. Misbin RI, Green L, Stadel BV, Gueriguian JL, Gubbi A, Fleming GA. Lactic acidosis in patients with diabetes treated with metformin. *N Eng J Med* 1998; 338: 265-6.
  41. Cryer DR, Nicholas SP, Henry DH, Miles DJ, Stadel BV. Comparative outcomes study of metformin intervention versus conventional approach the COSMIC approach study. *Diabetes Care* 2005; 28: 539-43.
  42. Bodmer M, Meier C, Krahenbuhl S, Jick SS, Meier CR. Metformin, sulfonylureas, or other antidiabetes drugs and the risk of lactic acidosis or hypoglycemia a nested case-control analysis. *Diabetes Care* 2008; 31: 2086-91.
  43. Wijns W, Kolh P, Danchin N, et al. Guidelines on myocardial revascularization: The Task Force on Myocardial Revascularization of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) and the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). *Eur Heart J* 2010; 31: 2501-55.
  44. Smith SC Jr, Feldman TE, Hirshfeld JW Jr, et al; American College of Cardiology/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines; ACC/AHA/SCAI Writing Committee to Update 2001 Guidelines for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. ACC/AHA/SCAI 2005 guideline update for percutaneous coronary intervention: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (ACC/AHA/SCAI Writing Committee to Update the 2001 Guidelines for Percutaneous Coronary Intervention). American Heart Association Web Site. Available at: <http://www.americanheart.org>. *Circulation* 2006; 113: e166-286.
  45. The Royal Australian and New Zealand College of Radiologists. RANZCR Guidelines for iodinated contrast administration. March 2009. Available from: <http://www.ranzcr.edu.au/>. Accessed on: 27th February 2010.
  46. Board of the faculty of clinical radiology. The Royal College of Radiologists. Standards for iodinated intravascular contrast agent administration to adult patients. London: Royal College of Radiologists, 2005.
  47. The Royal College of Radiologists. Metformin updated guidance for use in diabetics with renal impairment. London: The Royal College of Radiologists, 2009.
  48. European Society of Urogenital Radiology (ESUR) guidelines on contrast media version 7.0. ESUR contrast media safety committee. August 2008. Available from: [www.esur.org](http://www.esur.org). Accessed on: 20th September 2009.
  49. National institute for health and clinical excellence. Quick reference guide – the management of type2 diabetes. 2009. Available from: <http://www.nice.org.uk/nicemedia/pdf/CG87QuickRefGuide.pdf>. Accessed on: 27th February 2010.

Received: 13 May 2016

Accepted: 14 June 2016

Correspondence:

Saeed Alipour Parsa

Cardiovascular Research Center, Modarres hospital,

Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences,

Tehran, saadat abad, kaj square,

Modarres hospital Tehran, Iran

Tel: 22074088

Email: saeedalip@gmail.com