

New knowledge and operative framework of urban health

Federico Serra^{1,20}, Stefano Capolongo², Alessandro Cosimi¹, Roberta Crialesi^{1,3}, Lucio Corsaro^{1,4}, Stefano da Empoli^{1,5}, Francesco Dotta^{1,6}, Antonio Gaudio¹, Daniele Gianfrilli^{12,21}, Luciano Grasso^{1,7}, Francesca Romana Lenzi^{1,8}, Eleonora Mazzoni¹, Antonio Nicolucci^{1,9}, Giuseppe Novelli¹⁰, Fabio Pagliara¹¹, Attilio Parisi⁸, Antonella Polimeni¹², Andrea Rebecchi², Walter Ricciardi¹³, Marco Ronchi¹⁴, Carlo Signorelli^{15,16}, Roberta Siliquini^{17,18}, Giulia Sormani¹⁴, Chiara Spinato¹, Gianluca Vaccaro^{4,22}, Concetta Maria Vaccaro^{1,19}, Andrea Lenzi^{1,12, 21}

¹Health City Institute, Rome, Italy; ²Design and Health Lab, Department of Architecture, Built environment and Construction engineering (ABC), Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy; ³ISTAT, Integrated System Service for Health, Care and Welfare, Rome, Italy; ⁴Bhave, Rome, Italy; ⁵Institute for Competitiveness, Rome, Italy; ⁶Department of Medicine, Surgery and Neurosciences, University of Siena, Siena, Italy; ⁷Comune di Genova-Health City Manager, Genova, Italy; ⁸Department of Movement, Human and Health Sciences, University of Rome "Foro Italico"; ⁹Center for Outcomes Research and Clinical Epidemiology – CORESEARCH, Pescara, Italy; ¹⁰University of Rome Tor Vergata, Laboratorio Genetica Medica, UOC PTV, Rome, Italy; ¹¹SportCity Foundation, Italy; ¹²Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy; ¹³Institute of Hygiene, Università Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy; ¹⁴Design School of Politecnico di Milano, Milan, Italy; ¹⁵University Vita-Salute San Raffaele, Milan, Italy; ¹⁶Association of Schools of Public Health in the European Region (ASPHER); ¹⁷Italian Society of Hygiene, Preventive Medicine and Public Health (SItI); ¹⁸University of Turin, Turin, Italy; ¹⁹Fondazione CENSIS, Rome, Italy; ²⁰Cities+Network, Rome, Italy; ²¹UNESCO Chair on Urban Health Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy; ²²UO Education and Health Promotion, Asp Catania, Catania, Italy

Abstract. It is easy to understand how healthy choices bring us to an healthy life. The capability of adopt healthy lifestyles is due by opportunities, possibilities and personal motivation, all variabilities influenced by external factors. Some citizens, unlike others, have easy access to affordable, fresh and healthy foods. Some citizens, similar to others, have easy access to safe places where to walk, run, ride bicycles and play. This also shows that when some vulnerable groups get sick, the impact is wider, and the consequences are worse. The issue of the social-health inequality has gained global relevance after the Covid-19 pandemic. The Coronavirus has damaged different populations that were already fighting predictable diseases such as obesity, diabetes, cardiovascular pathologies, and cancers. This has underlined the need to establish collaboration throughout the different sectors in order to reduce the spread of predictable diseases. The solutions are known. If we offer the right incentives, we can modify the social and economic environments that affect the risk factor in order to gradually solve the gap regarding health inequalities. Cities have an important responsibility regarding this, other than the possibility of taking a lead role in promoting innovative solutions that create healthy and sustainable spaces and communities to support citizens in adopting healthy choices. But specialists, who can develop multi-sectorial plans and deal with the different aspects that operate in a city's social health system, are needed. In this context, new professional competencies like Health City Managers (HCM), who are able to elaborate on Urban Health Framework (UHF), appear necessary to facilitate the collaboration between public health entities to grant the creation of healthy environments. The HCM approach analyses the different factors that affect environment, where public and private sectors can operate in a functional way. (www.actabiomedica.it)

Key words: urban health, health city manager, urban health framework, salutogenic cities, healthy strategies, policies and actions

Introduction

Urbanisation is one of the major tendencies within the 21st century and it has a significant impact on health. Over 55% of the global population lives in urban areas; this percentage should rise to 68% by 2050. Most of the incoming urban sceneries will take place in the developing nations. Today the world has the great chance to manage the developing of the incoming urban sceneries, considering the health subject. This is mostly relevant because citizens' health is probably the most important and precious value of each community.

However, most of the 4.2 billion people living in cities suffer from inadequate housing and transportation, poor sanitary conditions, waste management, and air quality that does not meet WHO guidelines. Other forms of pollution, such as noise or excessive lighting, water and soil contamination, urban heat islands, and a lack of space for walking, cycling, and active living, further contribute to making cities not only epicentres of infectious diseases but also non-communicable diseases and negative drivers of climate change.

Non-communicable diseases (NCDs) like heart disease, cancer, asthma, and diabetes are exacerbated by unhealthy living and working conditions, inadequate green spaces, air and environmental pollution such as noise, water, and soil contamination, rising temperatures, and a lack of space for active living. Diabetes is linked to obesity and physical inactivity, especially in cities lacking good transport infrastructure and active mobility options for walking and cycling. Urbanization is also linked to high rates of depression, anxiety, mental illnesses, and neurodegenerative diseases.

Cities' responses to health challenges must consider multiple threats: increasing levels of inequality, non-communicable diseases, communicable diseases like COVID-19, persistently high rates of road accidents, and the impact of climate change. These responses must also be multisectoral, ensuring that urban housing, employment, food, and transportation policies work together to have a positive impact.

Some cities are reacting rapidly and innovatively to public health threats, building on existing urban networks and partnerships with communities to best

meet the needs of their populations, sharing their experiences, and learning from others. Many of these initiatives are strengthening resilience and will be important in shaping future urban health policy, aided by the data they can access and the predictive models they can use, such as digital twins or others.

Urban health is the science that studies the correlations between urbanization and health, through multidisciplinary interventions capable of analysing and monitoring health determinants to help local decision-makers quantify the impact of urban health risks and estimate the savings resulting from investment in urban policies that promote health. It supports urban policies promoting health as a common good, preventing diseases, and fostering collaboration between cities.

The development and training of new professional skills, such as the Health City Manager, aim to manage and coordinate urban health interventions and promote the perspective of health as a common good.

To promote this vision at all institutional and decision-making levels so that a greater awareness of the urgency imposed by the issue of health in urban areas can mature, the figure of the Health City Manager could prove useful, a professional profile whose establishment was also proposed at the European level within the framework of the opinion initiative "Health in cities: a common good" (EU Committee of the Regions, May 2017). This figure, which should have professional skills in public health management, sociology, community psychology, urban architecture, and control in reducing social and health inequalities, could help increase the administrative capacity of local authorities and develop innovative and inclusive solutions in response to health and wellness issues expressed by citizens, guiding cities towards a Healthy City model.

The establishment of a training path for "Health City Managers," promoted in 2022 by the National Association of Italian Municipalities (ANCI), and the Health City Institute (HCI), involves approximately 8,000 Italian municipalities. This initiative reflects a broader focus on urban health, examining the impact of urbanization on health determinants and emphasizing the need for a multidisciplinary approach. It also calls for a full involvement of local institutions, such as municipalities and health authorities, which

can significantly influence citizens' quality of life and lifestyles through targeted public policies. ù

The opinion initiative, inspired by the “Manifesto on health in cities as a common good” drafted in 2016 by the Health City Institute, involving 120 experts, and signed by the Ministry of Health and ANCI, already identified as a qualifying point the need to create, at the level of municipal administrations and Local Health Authorities, professional and administrative skills in public health management, attributable to the figure of the Health City Manager, as a professional with defined competencies, capable of operating in synergy with the mayor and local administrators to coordinate and implement actions concerning public health, developing innovative and inclusive solutions in response to the issues expressed by citizens. This point was entirely taken up by the Rome Urban Health Declaration document signed during the Italian presidency of the G7 on December 11, 2017.

Creating a core training curriculum has allowed the identification of knowledge, skills, and abilities related to the profile on ten management process objectives.

Therefore, this is a professional figure whose establishment has benefited from a solid validation process at both political-institutional and academic-scientific levels, to converge, starting from 2021, in a high-level training path carried out by ANCI in collaboration with the Department for Youth Policies and under the scientific coordination of the Health City Institute and the Sapienza University of Rome, leading to the inclusion of this professional figure in some metropolitan cities, such as Genoa and Palermo, but also the implementation of some management experiences in the cities of Bari, Bergamo, Imola, Sanluri, Milan, Turin, with the insertion of the Health City Manager in the management structures of the cities involved.

These professionals need operational planning tools administration that can systematize interventions in collaboration with other professionals and various municipal administration departments.

Already in 2021, the Ministry of Health in the “Guidance Document for urban planning from a Public Health perspective,” a document developed to identify criteria to help operators and decision-makers in evaluating urban planning aimed at promoting health and correct lifestyles and in the perspective of Urban

Health, identified the professional figure of the Health City Manager as a professional who works closely with the Mayor, Councilors, and political decision-makers already operating in Municipalities (Mobility / Disability / Smart City Manager) and in Territories, such as, for example, doctors and epidemiologists working in local health agencies (ASL, ATS, etc.). The contemporary debate, moreover, is oriented and focuses precisely on the urgent need to restore the same collaboration between the design (architectural and urban) component and the health professions, as was characteristic of the 19th century when the most important European metropolises underwent profound urban transformations for the purposes of Environmental Hygiene and Public Health, even before environmental sustainability, which was not a priority objective at the time.

On the occasion of the Italian Presidency of the G20, in the bridge event on June 21, 2021, “Health in the cities: key priorities for the Italian G20 2021: global health for the future of people, planet, prosperity,” an Italian urban health declaration was drawn up by a group of experts, recommending that the Governments of G20 countries commit to developing policies and actions capable of:

- Investing in promoting health and well-being in cities;
- Addressing social and cultural determinants for equitable health for all citizens;
- Integrating health into all urban policies;
- Involving and engaging local communities in ensuring sustainable health solutions;
- Creating solutions in partnership with other sectors to create a new concept of the city.

The recommendations of the document are echoed in the G20 Rome Leaders Declaration, which at point No. 20 “Cities and Circular Economy,” states: “We commit to increase resource efficiency, including through the G20 Resource Efficiency Dialogue and recognize the importance of cities as enablers of sustainable development and the need to improve sustainability, health, resilience, and well-being in urban contexts as underlined by the Habitat III New Urban Agenda. With the involvement of businesses, citizens, academia, and civil society organizations [...]”.

In this context, moreover, the COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the need for a new concept of community well-being, in relation to the built environment, and Public Health, shifting from a medical model focused on the individual to a social model, where health is considered as the result of the interaction between various socio-economic, cultural, and environmental elements, the so-called distal determinants of health and disease. It is therefore necessary to strengthen multidisciplinary collaboration between designers (urban planners, architects, transportation experts, etc.), Public Health experts (hygienists and healthcare professionals), and Policy Makers, developing systemic operational skills capable of addressing the complexity of managing urban contexts. It is necessary to make contemporary cities more resilient to health and environmental emergencies, ensuring an effective initial response from the territory and healthcare infrastructure to address possible future health emergencies better. The city and healthcare welfare are, in fact, closely related and together become the arena where the challenges of our time are played out. But this requires urban health planners.

Health City Managers and Policy Makers should collaboratively promote the digitization of urban contexts. This includes promoting the realization of Smart Communities not only for population monitoring but also for the rapid and systematic dissemination of information. The use of IoT (Internet of Things) systems would allow rapid and significant data collection actions. An example could be represented by integrated monitoring stations, equipped with sensors capable of detecting and evaluating the presence of various atmospheric agents, including pollutants, physical parameters such as sound pressure, and meteorological parameters such as temperature, air humidity, wind speed, and direction.

The collected data could be used in an aggregated manner, allowing us to test the effectiveness of temporary and experimental urban projects (Tactical Urbanism) and, if necessary, to reconfigure them appropriately. In this regard, current urban monitoring networks, aimed at controlling air and water quality, could represent a useful starting point for the implementation of these systems.

Operational framework on urban health

The development of an operational framework is necessary to guide those working on urban health in cities, closely collaborating with municipal administrators and health administrators, understand what it takes to develop specific prevention plans and program subsequent health promotion interventions.

The development of an operational framework is necessary to guide those working on urban health in cities, closely collaborating with municipal administrators and health administrators, to understand what it takes to develop specific prevention plans and program subsequent health promotion interventions.

The use of an operational framework, already studied by UCL and the Steno Center in Copenhagen, offers a structured approach in six steps along the path from defining the problem to implementing and evaluating a solution, drawing on and incorporating the basic principles of development in promoting health and well-being at the city level, addressing social and cultural factors, and striving for health equity. Integrating health and healthcare into all policies to engage communities in developing solutions that aim to promote health as a common good, through the development of factual synergies and partnerships among different actors in the system and across sectors.

The Urban Health Framework (UHF) must be seen as a dynamic platform at the level of individual urban realities and not as a model that fits all cities, a model that will evolve over time, based on the socio-demographic-urban development context and new scientific evidence, to be periodically updated with new tools, cases, and other content required to adapt to new scenarios. It was developed for Health City Managers as project leaders, teams, and anyone interested in creating sustainable public health prevention interventions.

It has also been developed for various users in different fields and aims to create a common front among all stakeholders to promote health at the urban level and increase well-being in cities.

The Urban Health Framework (UHF) offers a structured approach to the development, planning, verification, and evaluation of interventions, providing tools for each of the processes and cases presented as examples that illustrate best practices implemented in

various social and urban contexts, all through successive operational steps capable of creating a path and a plan to structure an intervention to address a public health issue.

The Urban Health Framework (UHF), through a “toolbox,” provides the necessary tools to support the development of public health interventions focusing on the common good at each step. Additionally, through a catalogue of cases and best practices, it aims to provide insights from which to draw inspiration from the best practices that have completed interventions. These cases aim to give a concrete idea of what it takes to develop and implement an intervention.

Regardless of the initial motivation for undertaking an intervention, the following priorities should always be considered by the Health City Manager:

- Formation of an urban health core team
- Assessment of the intervention’s necessity and context mapping
- Examination of available time and resources
- Definition of one’s expectations and the corresponding path for their achievement
- Identification and involvement of all stakeholders involved in the identified reality.

The urban health core team, which should be composed of individuals with diverse skills and experiences related to the intervention, will be responsible for managing the operability. It is advisable to create an interdisciplinary team that can adopt a holistic approach to problem-solving.

Regarding the assessment of the intervention’s necessity, it is necessary to consider whether the intervention has been commissioned to address a clearly defined challenge and within what context within the political-administrative priorities of the city administration it is positioned. However, if there are already interventions in place to address the challenge, it is important to ensure that what is being undertaken improves upon what already exists and aligns with them in terms of continuity.

The examination of available time and resources must take into account the requests and limits set by the funders and/or commissioners of the intervention regarding its development and scope, for example, the use of digital tools, human and financial resources, timelines,

and other essential elements for the development and success of what is to be implemented. Before starting a development phase, it is also advisable to roughly assess whether additional resources will be needed to achieve the minimum acceptable level of success, in case it should be known where to obtain such additional resources.

It is important to define one’s expectations, ensuring that before starting, the core team and potential partners, funders, and commissioners are aligned with the objectives and timing necessary to achieve them, and if the project can be developed on a larger scale or expanded beyond the original proposals, creating alignment from the beginning between one’s expectations and those of the context in which one operates and the stakeholders involved. Only through proper identification and full involvement of all stakeholders will it be possible to define a co-programmed and co-decided path of pursuit of expectations, capable of ensuring the maximum impact of the actions generated.

The steps guiding action

The Urban Health Framework (UHF) cannot do without a strategic planning of the interventions and the phases of the plan to be developed and guide the action, starting as the first step from defining the problem, through identifying the related data, analysing the data and the context, to producing a problem statement.

The final product of this step will include a problem statement and an intervention objective statement that summarizes the problem and outlines:

- What needs to change
- Who will be impacted by the change
- Where will the change occur
- When will the change occur and for how long the transition is estimated.

This statement should be used in the next step either to determine how the intervention objective will be achieved by setting specific milestones.

As the second step, commitment feedback should be considered through a survey of stakeholders, the formation of a partnership and a network, leading to the development of a logical model.

The final product of this step is a logical model that underpins the design of intervention activities. The logical model will also be the basis for future steps during the intervention's life cycle, and based on the intervention objective, behavioural and environmental change milestones will be identified to help achieve the goal.

This is followed by the intervention design phase, which involves verifying possible activities, analyzing and prioritizing the planned activities, and finalizing the design of the intervention itself.

The final product of this step is the intervention design, which uses an updated project logic model with the described activities. The description should detail how the intervention will bring about the desired change.

The intervention's content should be clear since it forms the basis of the action plan to implement it in the next step, which is developing a plan.

These first three steps lead to the development of a plan, which, starting from the assessment of available resources and capabilities, generates the creation of an action plan and the design of a monitoring and evaluation system.

An action plan and a monitoring and evaluation system that serve as a guide for implementing and realizing the intervention as well as indicating how such intervention should be monitored and subsequently evaluated, with careful consideration of available resources and capabilities, i.e., budgets, timescales, and skills to implement the intervention.

This last element is important and fundamental to enable the implementation and monitoring strategies that allow the intervention to be implemented, through interaction with stakeholders to improve implementation by ensuring constant adjustments and communications between the parties.

Therefore, it is an intervention implemented according to the plan and monitored, evaluated, and adapted during the operation, involving stakeholders.

The intervention or pilot project should be tested and refined if the goal is its scalability.

Following and completing the evaluation and sustainability phase, with an evaluation process, informative, sharing, and discussion of the conclusions to decide to support, scale, or stop the project itself.

An evaluation was made to document the observations on the intervention and the report to be provided to relevant stakeholders to arrive at the drafting of a plan that allows the Health City Manager to coordinate integrated paths on urban health.

Final consideration

The experience of training courses for Health City Managers, dedicated to those under 35, and of communities of practice within the mentioned urban contexts, demonstrate how the Health City Management Framework can represent a concrete, innovative, and modern response to the challenges posed by Urban Health, accompanying decision-makers and citizens on a journey of awareness, participation, and improvement of quality of life in urban settings globally.

Acknowledgements: The authors wish to thank ANCI (National Association of Italian Municipalities), Cities Changing Diabetes, Cities+Network, Italian Parliamentary Intergroup "Quality of life in the cities", Health City Institute, Bhave, Unesco Chair on Urban Health Education and Research for Improved Health and Well-being in the Cities Sapienza University of Rome, Italian National Institute of Statistics (ISTAT), Coresearch, Institute for Competitiveness (I-Com), Censis Foundation.

Conflict of Interest: Each author declares that he or she has no commercial associations (e.g. consultancies, stock ownership, equity interest, patent/licensing arrangement etc.) that might pose a conflict of interest in connection with the submitted article.

Authors Contribution: All authors have contributed substantially to the concept, design, acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the article. FS, SC, GN, CS, WR and AL drafted the article, while the other authors revised it critically for important intellectual content. All authors approved the version to be published and agreed to be accountable for all aspects of the work to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

References

1. WHO. Hidden Cities: Unmasking and overcoming health inequalities in urban settings. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization. 2010.

2. Health City Institute, Manifesto Health in the Cities common good. 2016_rev 2020_2023 in: <https://healthcityinstitute.com/>
3. European Committee of Region, 123rd plenary session, Opinion, Health in cities: the common good; European Parliament 11-12 May 2017
4. WHO, Copenhagen Consensus of Mayors. Healthier and happier cities for all; 2018, Copenhagen, Denmark
5. G7 Side Event, Roma Urban Health Declaration; Rome, 11 December 2018
6. Health City Institute, Creating the World of tomorrow, 4th Health City Forum, Health City Manager: Core Competences In Urban Health Management, 2019
7. World Urbanization Prospects: The 2009 Revision; United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs /Population Division, Highlights. New York: United Nations, Mar. 2010.
8. World Development Report 2009: Reshaping Economic Geography. Rep. no. 43738. The World Bank, 2009. Web. 8 Feb. 2011.
9. Urban World: Mapping the Economic Power of Cities. Rep. McKinsey Global Institute, Mar. 2011. Web. 8 Feb. 2012.
10. Glaeser E. "Cities: Engines of Innovation." *Scientific American*, 17 Aug. 2011. Web. 9 Feb. 2012.
11. Glaeser E. "Triumph of the City [Excerpt]." *Scientific American*, 17 Aug. 2011. Web. 9 Feb. 2012.
12. Pacione M. *Urban Geography: A Global Perspective*. New York: Routledge, 2001. Print.
13. World Energy Outlook 2008. Rep. International Energy Agency, 2008. Web. 9 Feb. 2012.
14. Outlook on the Global Agenda 2011. Rep. World Economic Forum, June 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
15. Global Risks 2012. Rep. World Economic Forum, June-July 2012. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
16. Satterthwaite D. Climate Change and Urbanization: Effects and Implications for Urban Governance. Rep. United Nations Secretariat: Department of Economic and Social Affairs, 27 Dec. 2007. Web. 11 Feb. 2012.
17. Matuschke I. Rapid Urbanization and Food Security: Using Food Density Maps to Identify Future Food Security Hotspots. Rep. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), 2009. Web. 11 Feb. 2012.
18. ABI Research. *Technology Trends*. Web. 10 Feb. 2012
19. Hill D. "The Adaptive City." *City of Sound*, 7 Sep. 2008. Web. 11 Feb. 2012.
20. Glaeser EL. "E-Ties That Bind." *Economix Blog*. New York Times, 1 Mar. 2011. Web. 11 Feb. 2012.
21. "Check out Zynga's Zany New Offices." *CNN Money*. Cable News Network. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
22. Gansky L. *The Mesh: Why the Future of Business Is Sharing*. New York, NY: Portfolio Penguin, 2010. Print.
23. "Climate: C40 Cities' Aggarwala Says Local Governments Can Lead the Way on Climate Action." *E&E TV*, 27 July 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
24. Brockman John. "Why Cities Keep Growing, Corporations and People Always Die, And Life Gets Faster." *Edge: Conversation on the Edge of Human Knowledge*. 23 May 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
25. Lehrer Jonah. "A Physicist Solves the City." *New York Times*, 17 Dec. 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
26. A Unified Theory of Urban Living. Rep. Macmillan Publishers Limited, 21 Oct. 2010. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
27. Geoffrey B. West: Why Cities Keep on Growing, Corporations Always Die, and Life Gets Faster." *Seminars About Long-Term Thinking*. The Long Now Foundation, July Aug. 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
28. Guterl F. "Why Innovation Won't Defuse the Population Bomb." *Scientific American*, 31 Oct. 2011. Web. 02 Mar. 2012.
29. D'Estries M. "Top Five Most Sustainable Cities in the World." *Ecomagination.com*. 29 Nov. 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
30. "10 Best Cities for the Next Decade." *Kiplinger Personal Finance*. July 2010. Web. 02 Mar. 2012.
31. "CFP: Intercity Networks and Urban Governance in Asia." *Center for Southeast and Asian Studies*. 22 Aug. 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
32. "Joint Initiative on Urban Sustainability (JIUS)." *Environmental Protection Agency*. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
33. Clay Jason. "Precompetitive Behaviour: Defining the Boundaries." *The Guardian*, 02 June 2011. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
34. "City Mayors: Eurocities Report on City Branding." *Eurocities*. Web. 10 Feb. 2012. 31 Jacobs, Jane. *The Death and Life of Great American Cities*. [New York]: Random House, 1961. Print.
35. Kermeliotis T. "Hacking the city for a greener future." *CNN Tech*. Web. 02 Feb. 2012.
36. West, H. "Why Don't Regular Joes Care About Sustainability?" *Co.Design*. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
37. "Urbanization and Megacities in Emerging Economies." *GlobeScan/SustainAbility*, 10 Feb. 2010. Web. 02 Mar. 2012. "Trendwatching.com's February 2011 Trend Briefing Covering CITYSUMERS." *Trendwatching.com*. Web. 11 Feb. 2012.
38. "Can Cities Build Local Developmental Strategies? Some Surprising Good News from Colombia." *From Poverty to Power by Duncan Green*. *Oxfam International*. Web. 10 Feb. 2012.
39. Duranton G Diego P. *Nursery Cities: Urban Diversity, Process Innovation, and the Life-cycle of Products*. CEPR Discussion Paper 2376. *American Economic Review*. Feb. 2000. Web. 14 Feb. 2012.
40. Lenzi A, Capolongo S, Ricciardi G, et al. New competences to manage urban health: Health City Manager core curriculum *Acta Biomed* 2020; Vol. 91, Supplement 3: 21-28.
41. Araújo-Soares V, Hankonen N, Presseau J, Rodrigues A, Sniehotta FF. Developing behavior change interventions for self-management in chronic illness. *Eur Psychol*. 2019; 24(1):7-25. doi: 10.1027/1016-9040/a000330.
42. Bartholomew Eldridge LK, Markham CM, Ruiter RAC, Fernández ME, Kok G, Parcel GS. *Planning health promotion programs: An Intervention Mapping approach* (4th ed.). 2016. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.

44. D'Alessandro D, Rebecchi A, Appolloni L, et al. Re-Thinking the Environment, Cities, and Living Spaces for Public Health Purposes, According with the COVID-19 Lesson: The LVII Erice Charter. *Land*, 2023, 12(10), 1863. doi: 10.3390/land12101863.
45. Buffoli M, Rebecchi A. The Proximity of Urban Green Spaces as Urban Health Strategy to Promote Active, Inclusive and Salutogenic Cities. in E. Arbizzani et Al. (eds.), *Technological Imagination in the Green and Digital Transition. The Urban Book Series*. Springer. 2023, Part F813, pp. 1017–1027. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-29515-7_90.
46. Gianfredi V, Buffoli M, Rebecchi A, et al. Association between Urban Greenspace and Health: A Systematic Review of Literature. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*. 2021, 18, 5137. doi: 10.3390/ijerph18105137.
47. Buffoli M, Rebecchi A, Dell'Ovo M, Oppio A, Capolongo S. Transforming the Built Environment Through Healthy-Design Strategies. A Multidimensional Framework for Urban Plans' Evaluation. *Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies*. 2020, 177 SIST, pp. 187–196. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-52869-0_16.
48. D'Alessandro D, Gola M, Appolloni L, et al. COVID-19 and living spaces challenge. Well-being and Public Health recommendations for a healthy, safe and sustainable housing. *Acta Biomed*. 2020, 91, pp. 61–75. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i9-S.10115.
49. Capolongo S, Buffoli M, Brambilla A, Rebecchi A. Healthy Urban Planning and Design Strategies to improve urban quality and attractiveness of places. *TECHNE*. 2020, 19, pp. 271–279. doi: 10.13128/techne-7837.
50. Capolongo S, Buffoli M, Mosca EI, Galeone D, D'Elia R, Rebecchi A. Public health aspects' assessment tool for urban projects, according to the urban health approach. *Research for Development*. 2020, pp. 325–335. doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-33256-3_30.
51. Capolongo S, Rebecchi A, Buffoli M, et al. COVID-19 and cities: From urban health strategies to the pandemic challenge. a decalogue of public health opportunities. *Acta Biomed*. 2020, 91(2), pp. 13–22. doi: 10.23750/abm.v91i2.9515.
52. Bloch P, Toft U, Reinbach HC, et al. Revitalizing the setting approach - supersettings for sustainable impact in community health promotion. *The international journal of behavioral nutrition and physical activity*. 2014, 11, 118. doi: 10.1186/s12966-014-0118-8.
53. C40 Cities Leadership Group. *Climate Action Planning Framework*. 2020. Website accessed March 2020 at: <https://resourcecentre.c40.org/climate-action-planning-framework-home>
54. Change Lab Solutions. *From Start to Finish: How to Permanently Improve Government through Health in All Policies*. 2015. Accessed at https://www.changelabsolutions.org/sites/default/files/From-Start-to-Finish_HIAP_Guide-FINAL-20150729_1_0.pdf
55. University of Kansas Center for Community Health and Development, *Community ToolBox*. Accessed March 2020 at: <https://ctb.ku.edu/>
56. University of Wisconsin Population Health Institute. *Take Action Cycle*. 2019. Accessed March 2020 at: <https://www.countyhealthrankings.org/take-action-toimprove-health/action-center>
57. Gehl Institute. *A Mayors Guide to Public Life*. 2017. Accessed March 2020 at: https://mayorsguide.gehlinstitute.org/MAYORS_GUIDE_Complete.pdf
58. Green L, Kreuter M. *Health Program Planning: An Educational And Ecological Approach*. 1999. Mountain View, CA: Mayfield Publishing Company.
59. Michie S, Atkins L, West R. *The behaviour change wheel. A guide to designing interventions*. 2014, 1st ed. Great Britain: Silverback Publishing, 1003-1010.
60. O'Cathain A, Croot L, Duncan E, et al. Guidance on how to develop complex interventions to improve health and healthcare. *BMJ open*. 2019, 9(8), e029954.
61. O'Cathain A, Croot L, Sworn K, et al. Taxonomy of approaches to developing interventions to improve health: a systematic methods overview. *Pilot and feasibility studies*. 2019, 5(1), 41.
62. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Office of the Director, Office of Strategy and Innovation. *Introduction to program evaluation for public health programs: A self-study guide*. Atlanta, GA: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2011. Accessed at <https://www.cdc.gov/eval/guide/index.htm>.
63. World Health Organization. *ExpandNet. Beginning with the End in Mind: Planning Pilot Projects and Other Programmatic Research for Successful Scaling up*. 2021.
64. Nicolucci A, Rossi MC, Vaccaro K, et al. Urban diabetes: the case of the metropolitan area of Rome. *Acta Biomed*. 2019 May 23;90(2):209–214. doi: 10.23750/abm.v90i2.8345.
65. Da Empoli S, Fadda M, Mazzoni E, et al. Urban health in Italy: health outcomes and sustainable living. *Eur. J. Public Health* 2018; Vol. 28, Suppl. 4. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/cky218.035.
66. Lenzi A. Why urbanisation and health? *Acta Biomed*. 2019 May 23;90(2):181–183. doi: 10.23750/abm.v90i2.8354.
67. Health City Institute. *Health City Manager: un professionista al servizio della salute in tutte le politiche*. 6 December 2022. Accessed November 2023 at: <https://healthcityinstitute.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/12/programma-6-DICEMBRE.pdf>
68. Lenzi A, Serra F, Spinato C. *Health City Report 2022*. Health City Institute. Published online on Jul, 23, 2022 in: <https://healthcityinstitute.com/>
69. ANCI. *Avviso di selezione per il corso di alta formazione "Health City Manager"*. Accessed April 2024 at: https://www.anci.it/wp-content/uploads/Avviso-di-selezione_Giovani-per-le-Citt%C3%A0-della-Salute_SIGLATO-11.03.pdf
70. UNESCO. *A new social contract for education: advancing a paradigm of relational interconnectedness*. 2023. Accessed April 2024 at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000384218?posInSet=1&queryId=45d65f45-bc3f-4771-a756-00b87344dc55>

71. International Commission on the Futures of Education. Reimagining our futures together: a new social contract for education; executive summary. 2021. Accessed April 2024 at: <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000379381?mselkid=32f1eb19ac4611ec8bcd9d6826d8e1fd>
72. ENLIGHTENme. Deliverable D1.2: City level lighting and Health Maps. 2022. Accessed April 2024 at: https://www.enlightenme-project.eu/user/pages/06.resources/03.research-insights/ENLIGHTENme_D1.2_CityLevelLightingHealthMaps.pdf
73. Lenzi FR, Vaccaro K. Il valore della multidimensionalità nell'approccio dell'urban health. *Sicurezza e Scienze Sociali*, 2019; 1:192-206.
74. Lenzi FR, De Falco CC, Iazzetta F, Coppola G, Capuano ME. Sustainability and Sport: An Exploratory Study on Students of Rome's Universities. *Sustainability*. 2023; 15(24):16911. doi: 10.3390/su152416911.
75. G20 Rome Leaders' Declaration. G20 Summit 30-31 Ottobre 2021, available at: <https://www.governo.it/sites/governo.it/files/G20ROMELEADERSDECLARATION.pdf>
76. Italian Urban Health Declaration. G20 bridge event: "Health in the cities: key priorities for the Italian G20 2021: global health for the future of people, planet, prosperity". 21 Giugno 2021
77. Capolongo S, Rebecchi A, Dettori M, et al. Healthy Design and Urban Planning Strategies, Actions, and Policy to Achieve Salutogenic Cities. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2018 Nov 29;15(12):2698. doi: 10.3390/ijerph15122698.
78. D'Alessandro D, Capolongo S. *Ambiente Costruito e Salute*. Franco Angeli: Milano, Italy, 2015.
79. Capolongo S, Battistella A, Buffoli M, Oppio A. Sistema multicriteriale di valutazione degli aspetti igienico- sanitari di piani di governo del territorio e piani attuativi [Healthy design for sustainable communities]. *Ann Ig*. 2011 Jan-Feb;23(1):43-53. Italian. PMID: 21736006.
80. D'Alessandro D, Arletti S, Azara A, Buffoli M, Capasso L, Cappuccitti A. Attendees of the 50th Course Urban Health et al. Strategies for Disease Prevention and Health Promotion in Urban Areas: The Erice 50 Charter. *Ann Ig*. 2017 Nov-Dec;29(6):481-493. doi: 10.7416/ai.2017.2179.
81. Signorelli C, Capolongo S, Buffoli M, et al. Italian Society of Hygiene (SItI) recommendation for a healthy, safe and sustainable housing. *Epidem Prev* 2016; 40(3-4): 265-270. doi: 10.19191/EP16.3-4.P265.094.
82. Odone A, Privitera GP, Signorelli C; Board of Directors of the Italian Postgraduate Schools of Hygiene and Public Health. Post-graduate medical education in public health: the case of Italy and a call for action. *Public Health Rev*. 2017 Oct 24;38:24. doi: 10.1186/s40985-017-0069-0.

Correspondence:

Received: 23 May 2024

Accepted: 13 June 2024

Vaccaro Gianluca, PhD.

UO Education and Health Promotion, Asp Catania

Methodological Advisor, BHAVE;

Via Giambattista Vico 1, 40122, Rome, Italy

E-mail: gianluca.vaccaro@bhav.it