

Development and validation of a brief form of the nursing questionnaire on organizational health

Elsa Vitale¹, Maria Caputo², Anna Canonico³

¹Department of Mental Health, Local Healthcare Company, Bari, Italy. ²Nursing Coordinator Medical Direction, General hospital Policlinic of Bari, Italy. ³Student master's degree in Nursing and Midwifery, University of Bari Aldo Moro, Bari, Italy.

Abstract. *Background and Aim of the work.* Well-being work environment organizational conditions might generate health and, at the same time, maintaining high quality of life in their workers. The Nursing Questionnaire on Organizational Health (QISO) assessed organizational health in nursing. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the reliability and validity of a brief version of the QISO. *Methods.* The QISO was administered to nurses belonged to surgical, medical and emergency settings in the Di Venere Hospital, Local Health Authority Bari, Italy. The same interviewers were recruited a second time in order to fill also the QISO brief form. *Results.* The correlations between the compilations of the two different questionnaires, significantly confirmed the reproducibility of the different sub-dimensions ($p < .001$). The QISO brief form also demonstrated good internal consistency comparable to the values of its original version ($r = .630$). *Conclusions.* The QISO brief form was not designed to replace its original version, but it was conceived as a broader and more general administration in its content prior to the QISO, which could be administered successfully, if the QISO brief form underlined a negative organizational condition, in order to mention all negative aspects of the context investigated.

Keywords: Environmental Comfort; Nursing Questionnaire; Organizational Context; Organizational Health; Positive Indicators; Safety.

Introduction

The radical changes regarding the National Health System (NHS) inevitably caused a transition from a formal and bureaucratic management to a managerial one, also based on the assignment of trustee-type assignments and periodic checks of the results (1). Today, management applied to the nursing sciences is considered the key tool for nurses to better interpret this change by realizing the combination between the care improvement and, at the same time, human resources optimizing and enhancing (2-4).

Healthcare organizations reflected on workplace safety and relationships with their teams, creating an organizational well-being and, therefore, positively influencing their workers' health (5).

From the current literature, it appeared that nurses who perceived the presence of their nursing manager more in their contexts felt less stressed and more respected, having a perception of greater justice and more committed to their organizations (6-8).

Moreover, the important change implied that nurses judged and measured their working satisfactions linked to their needs and conditions, too (9,10). So, nurses underlined their importance in implementing organizational and managerial practice through observance of standard procedures. On the contrary, an unsafe environment determined high levels of stress among the staff that will inevitably affect patients (9,11).

In this context, the organizational well-being, health and quality of life in the workplace became more important issues in healthcare management (9,12,13),

referring to the ability of the Healthcare Agency not only to be effective and productive in terms of health provided to patients but also to promote an adequate degree of physical and psychological well-being (14) and directly influence the health of the entire system by using ad hoc procedures (15,16).

In fact, by considering poor organizational well-being conditions, phenomena such as decrease in productivity, absenteeism, low motivation levels, stress and burn out, reduced availability to work, lack of trust, lack of commitment, increasing in patients' complaints and the condition of unease and psychological malaise of the organization (9,17).

The presence of all the well-being conditions will be capable to generate health and, at the same time, maintaining high quality of life in their working.

Recently, literature reported several studies on working well-being assessment and its related benefits. For example, Xenidis and Theocharous (18) evaluated organizational health in four different steps by performing critical aspects of the organization assessed. Additionally, Miles (19) reported that the organizational health implied personal work, relationships, empowering information flow and norms. Moreover, other studies emphasized the organizational performance as an indicator both for the organization's well-being and workers (20,21). Orvik and Axelsson (22) explained how the organizational well-being might manage the tension between the competitions and ameliorated the care provided (22). Additionally, the Multidimensional Organizational Health Questionnaire (MOHQ) was identified as a self-report questionnaire validated among 3197 employees of the Italian Public Administration (23) to better explore and quantify the organizational well-being (24). The MOHQ was successfully adapted in the nursing context by validating the Nursing Questionnaire on Organizational Health (QISO) form (25,26). This adapted version consisted in 118 items, that exhaustively aimed to find several detailed information on the organizational and individual well-being of the nurse interviewed. Each item was associated to a score on the Linkert scale from 1, as "never" to 4, as "often", even if there was further information, especially in the descriptive demographic part and in the improvement proposals of the organization where this range of response was not considered.

Despite the QISO was very complete in its genre, it had the peculiarity of being very long in its compilation, as each interview took at least 20-25 minutes to spare their work duties. Hence the idea, connected to the weakness complained by the interviewees of being able to shorten the QISO, so that it might be more immediate and faster in its administration and in its consequentially elaboration.

Moreover, by analyzing the literature, there was no universally accepted method to develop questionnaires, so the approach used in developing a brief form of the QISO followed commonly used general practices and appeared consistent with the basic principles outlined in the US Food and Drug Administration Guidance for industry patient reported outcome measures (27,28).

By considering all that abovementioned, the present study aimed to validate a brief form of the QISO, in order to be more easily applied in the nursing environments, already struggling thanks to several activities performed.

Materials and Methods

Participants

Initially to a sample of nurses all employed at the "Di Venere" hospital in Bari, Italy, the QISO questionnaire was administered to assess the organizational health of their work environments. The chosen sample was random, with no randomization parameters. The compilation period of the QISO questionnaires took place between April and June 2019. The questionnaires were filled in with great difficulty since the interviewees underlined the high number of questions to answer.

Therefore, a reduced version of the QISO was formulated and administered to the same interviewees during April and May 2020 in order to perform the validity of the QISO in its brief form.

Questionnaires

The QISO aimed to search and quantify all information on the different dimensions of organizational health and on three groups of indicators, as: positive, negative and of mental and physical discomfort, in the

nursing population. It was composed of 118 items divided into 8 scales/dimensions, by assessing:

- the Work Environment Comfort, as the nurses' perceptions on their work environments characteristics;
- the Organizational context, which included clarity in Health Companies' objectives, in their awareness and programmed purposes as: the enhancement of skills, the active listening, the availability and circulation of information, the conflict management, the collaborative interpersonal relations, the operational smoothness, the organizational equity, the propensity and openness to innovation sub-dimensions;
- the Stress Factors, which evaluated experiences related to fatigue, the sense of not having the preparation or the appropriate skills and the degree of psychological involvement that the job causes the employee;
- the Safety and Accident Prevention dimension, which explored area relating to safety measures in the work environment;
- the Tolerability of Work Tasks dimension, which investigated the different components that characterized nursing and which generally had reason to consider less desirable as mental and physical fatigue, psychological isolation, monotony as well as excessive emotional involvement;
- the Propensity to open up to innovation dimension, which investigated the capacity for innovation within the organization;
- the Positive and Negative indicators present in the nursing organizational context;
- the Psychophysical distress indicators characterizing the malaise condition of the interviewees linked to their work environments.

At the end of the collection of information, in the QISO, interviewees also had the opportunity to suggest some areas for improvement which, in their opinions, deserve an appropriate measure of intervention. On the other hand, in the brief form of the QISO, a total of 48 items were included by considering the same sub-dimensions and reducing items, as the questions with similar key concepts were combined, eliminating satisfaction levels at the different company levels, as the figures of the nursing manager and the coordinator, and encompassing all the concepts of satisfaction relating to the company as a whole (Table1). The or-

ganizational context brought together 30 items, since we excluded the items that sounded redundant in their meaning and items that had a meaning opposite to the other items considered. Additionally, safety perception was assessed by a unique item, considering an overall assessment by the interviewer. Furthermore, also the dimensions concerning the positive and negative indicators had been generalized in their specific meanings to reduce the number of items in a consistent way in order to outline a photograph of the perception of the well-being of the interviewee's own organizational context, without any details (Appendix I).

Finally, both the QISO and the brief form performed, all the items were associated to the 4-point Likert scale, assigning the negative condition to the value 1 and the best condition to the value 4, therefore the higher score attributed the better condition explored will be.

Ethical considerations

Each participants voluntary agreed to participate to this study. The present study was approved by the Ethical Committee of Polyclinic in Bari, Italy, with no. 6315/2020.

Data analysis

Anonymous data were collected in an Excel data sheet and then, statistical analysis were performed thanks to the SPSS program, version 20. Socio-demographic data were presented as frequencies and percentages by considering categorical variables as: sex and ward of belonging. On the other hand, age and years of work experiences were showed as continuous variables and expressed in means and standard deviations. Test-retest reliability or reproducibility, internal consistency and convergent validity of the QISO questionnaire in its brief form were assessed. Specifically, to perform the repeatability, the compilation of the QISO questionnaire and that of the related brief form were compared to the same subjects, in two different times and the degree of correlations between the scores were calculated. Correlations were assessed thanks to the paired-sample t-test. To assess internal consistency, Cronbach's alpha was performed in the QISO-brief version.

Results

All 295 nurses who answered to the first QISO administration were contacted a second time and also responded to the QISO brief form.

Table 1 showed socio-demographic characteristics of the nurses interviewed.

Additionally, Table 3 showed the descriptive statistics of the two samples collected.

Table 1. Dimensions analyzed and number of items in QISO and in the QISO-brief form.

Dimension explored	n. item QISO	n. item QISO brief form
Scale 1: Comfort of the Work Environment	11	7
Scale 2: Organizational context		
Clarity of the Organizational Objectives	4	2
Enhancement of Skills	4	2
Active Listening	4	1
Availability and circulation of information	4	2
Conflict Management	4	1
Collaborative Interpersonal Relations	4	1
Operational smoothness	4	2
Organizational equity	4	2
Sense of Social Utility	4	2
Scale 3: Stress Factors	4	3
Scale 4: Accident Safety and Prevention	8	1
Scale 5: Tolerability of Work Tasks	10	4
Scale 6: Openness and Innovation	9	5
Scale 7:		
Positive indicators	18	6
Negative indicators	13	5
Psychosomatic disease	8	2
Total items	118	48

Table 2. Sampling characteristics (n=295)

Variables	Frequencies; Percentages (n;%) ^a	Means ± Standard Deviations (μ±s.d.) ^b
Sex:		
Female	189(64.1%) ^a	
Male	106(35.9%) ^a	
Ward:		
Medical Area	75(25.4%) ^a	
Surgical Area	107(36.3%) ^a	
Emergency Area	113(38.3%) ^a	
Age (years)		37.33±8.33 ^b
Work experience (years)		10.54±6.52 ^b

Table 4 reported correlations for each scale, between the compilations of the QISO and the QISO brief form, assessing by the t-test.

Table 3. Statistics for paired samples

Dimension explored	N	Means ± Standard Deviation (μ±s.d.)	SEM
Scale 1			
QISO	295	2.36±.20	.011
QISO-brief form	295	2.49±.23	.014
Scale 2			
QISO	295	2.13±.23	.013
QISO-brief form	295	2.15±.41	.025
Scale 3			
QISO	295	2.39±.43	.025
QISO-brief form	295	2.64±.93	.054
Scale 4			
QISO	295	2.76±.50	.029
QISO-brief form	295	2.90±1.11	.064
Scale 5			
QISO	295	2.39±.43	.025
QISO-brief form	295	2.62±.88	.051
Scale 6			
QISO	295	2.41±.36	.021
QISO-brief form	295	2.19±.47	.027
Scale 7			
QISO	295	2.46±.23	.013
QISO-brief form	295	2.43±.34	.020
Scale 8			
QISO	295	2.16±.33	.019
QISO-brief form	295	2.25±.67	.039

Table 4. Paired samples correlations (n=295)

Scales	N	Correlation	Significance
Scale 1: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.770	<.001*
Scale 2: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.930	<.001*
Scale 3: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.947	<.001*
Scale 4: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.597	<.001*
Scale 5: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.949	<.001*
Scale 6: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.918	<.001*
Scale 7: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.880	<.001*
Scale 8: QISO & QISO-brief form	295	.726	<.001*

*p<.005: statistically significant

The Internal Coherence (Cronbach Alpha Coefficients) for all the items of the QISO was $=.563$, while the Cronbach Alpha Coefficients of the QISO brief form was $=.630$, highlighting an adequate inter-relationship of the items of each sub-dimension of the questionnaire.

Discussion

The present study reported that the validation of the QISO-brief form might be an opportunity. The correlations between the compilations of the two different questionnaires, evaluating through the t-test, significantly confirmed the reproducibility of the different sub-dimensions ($p<.001$). The QISO brief form also demonstrated good internal consistency comparable to the values of its original version.

In addition, to the small number of samples, it was also necessary to consider the fact that the recruitment of participants was carried out only in one hospital of the Local Health Authority of Bari, without considering the multiplicity of conditions that could arise in the rest of both the Puglia Region and the rest of Italy. Furthermore, the Di Venere hospital was a First Level facility, therefore even more complex realities should be considered in future studies. In any case, considering the clinical area to which each participant belonged, the sample was very representative also in relation to the single organizational context examined.

Furthermore, the QISO brief form only reduced the number of items by trying to assemble them by meaning or by eliminating the different levels of the Company organization by dealing of the Company in general. This was purposely wanted by the authors so that the main objectives of the QISO such as the job satisfaction of nurses, attributable to company organizational processes in order to be able to identify and perhaps subsequently implement important information regarding professional growth and development, were not lost. Another important aspect that the QISO was able to detect was the relationship of trust that the nurse had with their company in strengthening their skills. The idea of creating a QISO brief form was inherent in the fact of trying

to obtain an ever-increasing number of respondents who could actively participate in the change of their working realities, as well as in the motivation and assumption of responsibility increasing by nurses in order to be more promoters of profound changes in their organizations. Therefore, it was important to understand the context and how each nurse perceived the organizational context to implement change actions that might implement both organizational and individual performance.

In this regard, in the literature there were many studies that evaluated the organization of healthcare realities, but all from a multidimensional perspective (9,29-33). Hence the real strength of the QISO which instead focused on the nursing organizational well-being and from the QISO its brief form which aimed to reach all nurses now called very often to fill out questionnaires and therefore also tired of this approach. This was the reason for a reduced form of the questionnaire, since it was very important to since nowadays there was a lot of talk about performance and therefore having more immediate tools available that allowed to weigh the entire organizational context became an urgent necessity.

Conclusion

The QISO brief form was not designed to replace its original version, but it was conceived as a broader and more general administration in its content prior to the QISO, which could be administered successfully, if the QISO brief form underlined a negative organizational condition, in order to mention all negative aspects of the context investigated. Certainly, results demonstrated promising perspectives for the QISO brief form in its use. Additionally, one of the strengths of the study was having administered the two versions of the QISO, both the integral and the brief form, to the same nurses. On the other hand, the limitation of this study surely consisted in having included a small number of subjects. Therefore, further validation studies could be desirable in order to implement the validity of the QISO brief form so that a snapshot of the nurse's organizational condition could be performed.

References

- Rouhi-Balasi L, Elahi N, Ebadi A, Jahani S, Hazrati M. Professional Autonomy of Nurses: A Qualitative Meta-Synthesis Study. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res.* 2020; 25(4):273–81. doi: 10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_213_19.
- Duffield CM, Roche MA, Blay N, Stasa H: Nursing unit managers, staff retention and the work environment. *J Clin Nurs.* 2011; 20:23–33. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03478.
- Khamisa N, Peltzer K, Ilic D, Oldenburg B: Work related stress, burnout, job satisfaction and general health of nurses: A follow-up study. *Int. J. Nurs. Pract.* 2016; 22: 538-545.
- Lake ET, Riman KA, Sloane DM: Improved work environments and staffing lead to less missed nursing care: A panel study. *J Nurs Manag* 2020; 3 [Epub ahead of print]. https://doi: 10.1111/jonm.12970.
- Laschinger HK, Finegan J, Wilk P: Context matters: the impact of unit leadership and empowerment on nurses' organizational commitment. *J Nurs Adm* 2009; 39(5): 228-35.
- Laschinger HK, Almost J, Tuer-Hodes D. Workplace empowerment and magnet hospital characteristics: making the link. *J Nurs Adm* 2003; 33(7-8): 410-422.
- Monroe M, Morse E, Price JM: The relationship between critical care work environment and professional quality of life. *Am J Crit Care* 2020; 29(2):145-149.
- Valizadeh S, Khoshknab MF, Mohammadi E, Ebrahimi H, Bostanabad MA. Nurse's perception from barriers to empowerment: A qualitative research. *J Urmia Nurs Midwifery Fac.* 2015; 12:1128–38.
- Vitale E, Cesano E, Germini F. Prevalence of Burnout among Italian Nurses: a descriptive study: Italian Nursing Burnout. *Acta Bio Med* 2020;91(4):Epub ahead of print.
- Lautizi M, Laschinger HKS, Ravazzolo S: Workplace empowerment, job satisfaction and job stress among Italian mental health nurses: an exploratory study. *J Nurs Manag* 2009; 17(4):446-52. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2834.2009.00984.
- Laschinger HK, Finegan J, Shamian J, Wilk P: Impact of structural and psychological empowerment on job strain in nursing work settings: expanding Kanter's model. *J Nurs Adm* 2001; 31(5):260-72.
- Lee YW, Dai YT, Park CG, McCreary LL: Predicting quality of work life on nurses' intention to leave. *J Nurs Scholarsh* 2013; 45:160-8. doi: 10.1111/jnu.12017.
- Uhl-Bien M, Meyer D, Smith J: Complexity leadership in the nursing context. *Nursing Administration Quarterly* 2020; 44(2):190-116. doi: 10.1097/NAQ.0000000000000407.
- Lee SN, Kim JA: Predictor model for nursing work outcome of nurses: focused on positive psychological capital. *J Korean Acad Nurs* 2020; 50(1): 1-13. doi: 10.4040/jkan.2020.50.1.1.
- Alvaro R, Sili A: Infermieri e benessere organizzativo: indagine conoscitiva in alcune importanti realtà sanitarie romane. *Ig Sanita Pubbl* 2007; 63 (3): 291-304
- Cortese CG: La soddisfazione lavorativa del personale infermieristico. Adattamento italiano della scala Index of Work Satisfaction di Stamps. *Med Lav* 2007; 98: 175-191.
- Sili A, Fida R, Trezza T, Vellone E, Alvaro R: Nurse coordinator leadership and work environment conflicts: consequences for physical and work-related health of nursing staff. *Med Lav* 2014; 105(4):296-306.
- Xenidis Y, Theocharous K. Organizational health: definition and assessment. *Proc Eng.* 2014; 85:562–570.
- Miles M. Planned change and organizational health: figure and ground, change process in the public schools. Eugene (OR): United States of America at the University of Oregon Press; 1965.
- Hoy WK, Feldman JA. Organizational health: the concept and its measure. In: Hoy WK, DiPaola MF, editors. Essential ideas for the reform of American schools (PB). Charlotte (NC): Information Age Publishing; 2007. p. 49–66.
- Hussein AHM. Relationship between nurses' and physicians' perceptions of organizational health and quality of patient care. *Eastern Mediterr Health J.* 2014;20(10):634–642.
- Orvik A, Axelsson R. Organizational health in health organizations: towards a conceptualization. *Scand J Caring Sci* 2012;26(4):796–802.
- Nelson WA, Taylor E, Walsh T. Building an ethical organizational culture. *Health Care Manag (Frederick)* 2014; 33(2): 158-64.
- Pisanti R, Paplomatas A, Bertini M. Measuring the positive dimensions among health care workers: a contribution to the Italian validation of the UWES-Utrecht work engagement scale. *G Ital Med Lav Ergon* 2008; 30 (1 Suppl A): A111-9.
- Scialò G, Sili A, Vellone E, Alvaro R. La salute organizzativa negli infermieri dell'emergenza territoriale. *SCE-NARIO: Official Italian Journal of ANIARTI* 2010; 27(1).
- Sili A, Vellone E, De Marinis MG, Fida R, Venturini G, Alvaro R: Validità e affidabilità del questionario infermieristico sulla salute organizzativa. *Professioni Infermieristiche* 2010; 63(1):27-37.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services FDA Center for Drug Evaluation and Research; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services FDA Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research; U.S. Department of Health and Human Services FDA Center for Devices and Radiological Health. Guidance for industry: patient-reported outcome measures: use in medical product development to support labeling claims: draft guidance. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2006 Oct 11; 4:79. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-4-79.
- Revicki DA, Cella D, Hays RD, Sloan JA, Lenderking WR, Aaronson NK. Responsiveness and minimal important differences for patient reported outcomes. *Health Qual Life Outcomes.* 2006 Sep 27; 4:70. doi: 10.1186/1477-7525-4-70.
- Chen CK, Lin C, Wang SH, Hou TH. A study of job stress, stress coping strategies, and job satisfaction for nurses working in middle-level hospital operating rooms. *J Nurs Res.* 2009 Sep;17(3):199-211. doi: 10.1097/JNR.0b013e3181b2557b.

30. Abbasi M, Zakerian A, Akbarzade A, Dinarvand N, Ghaljahi M, Poursadeghiyan M, Ebrahimi MH. Investigation of the Relationship between Work Ability and Work-related Quality of Life in Nurses. *Iran J Public Health*. 2017; 46(10): 1404-1412.
31. Cohn J: LEading healthcare in complexity. *Nurs Leadersh* 2014; 27(4):52-64.
32. Nouri A, Jouybari L, Sanagoo A: Nurses' perception of factors influencing professional autonomy in nursing: A qualitative study. *J Urmia Univ Med Sci* 2017; 28:469-77.
33. Nouri A, Sanagoo A, Jouybari L, Taleghani F. Challenges of respect as promoting healthy work environment in nursing: A qualitative study. *J Educ Health Promot* 2019; 8:261. doi: 10.4103/jehp.jehp_125_19.

Correspondence:

Received: 22 October 2020

Accepted: 1 June 2021

Elsa Vitale

Department of Mental Health, Local Healthcare Company,
Bari, Italy

Via X marzo, 43, 70026 Modugno, Bari

E-mail: vitaleelsa@libero.it

RETRACTED

Appendix I. QISO brief form items.

Dimension explored/Items

Scale 1: Comfort of the Work Environment:

Cleaning
 Lighting
 Temperature
 Silence
 Pleasantness of the environment and ergonomic furnishings
 Space available per person
 Functional and clean toilets and changing rooms
 Safety

Scale 2: Organizational context
Clarity of the Organizational Objectives

The Company's objectives are clear and well defined
 The organizational roles and work tasks are clear and well defined

Enhancement of Skills

The company offers real career opportunities to everyone
 Opportunities for professional development and updating are offered

Active Listening

Anyone who makes requests or proposals and suggestions is listened to

Availability and circulation of information

It's easy to get the information you need
 Management and organizational changes are clearly communicated to all staff

Conflict Management

Even among colleagues we listen and try to meet each other's needs

Collaborative Interpersonal Relations

Anyone who makes requests or makes proposals and suggestions is listened to

Operational smoothness

There are means and resources to do your job properly
 Decisions are made quickly

Organizational equity

Coordinators treat employees fairly
 The company finds adequate solutions to the problems that arise

Sense of Social Utility

At the end of the working day, you feel satisfied
 Commitment at work and personal initiatives are appreciated

Scale 3: Stress Factors

Physical and mental fatigue
 Emotional overload
 Work overload

Scale 4: Accident Safety and Prevention

Security level of your work environment

Scale 5: Tolerability of Work Tasks

The tasks at hand require an excessive level of stress
 The work totally absorbs

Scale 6: Openness and Innovation

Accept user requests
 Recognize and deal with the problems and mistakes of the past by improving work process
 Develop innovative skills in employees and introduce new professionals
 Establish collaborative relationships with other organizations and
 Confront the experiences of other organizations sharing the experiences of each organization

Appendix I. QISO brief form items.

Dimension explored/Items

Scale 7: Positive and Negative indicators**Positive indicators**

Satisfaction with your organization and feeling of being part of a team

Going to work makes me fulfilled

Right balance between work and free time

Satisfaction with relationships built at work and trust that negative conditions can change

Sharing of corporate values and how they are appreciated externally

Appreciation and confidence in company management skills, at every level

Negative indicators

Impatience with going to work

Disinterest in work and the desire to change the environment

Feeling of not counting in the organization

Little clarity in circulating information

Feeling of not being appreciated and properly involved

Scale 8: Psychosomatic disease

Feeling of excessive fatigue

Onset of psycho-somatic disorders of different nature attributable to one's work

RETRACTED