Chloroquine in the COVID-19 pandemics and quinine in the cattle plague epidemics in the eighteenth century: déjà vu all over again

Giuliano Franco

Emeritus Fellow Collegium Ramazzini - Professor (ret.) of Occupational Medicine, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Italy

Abstract. Drug treatment of diseases is not a usual task for occupational and environmental physicians. However, these professionals should be aware that some concerns on treatment during an epidemic were raised centuries ago. This commentary discusses Bernardino Ramazzini's remarks made during a cattle plague epidemic occurring four centuries before the current COVID-19 emergency; he emphasised that prescription of some drugs usually given against every kind of fever deserved careful attention. Ramazzini's contribution can be regarded as an early example of attention to evaluating the benefits and harmful effects of taking new drugs and witnesses the scientists' continuous effort to tackle new and unexpected health challenges.

Key words: COVID-19, Cattle Plague, Chloroquine, Quinine, Ramazzini

Even in the time of an epidemic, every measure should be based on a scientific approach in guiding public, occupational and environmental health strategies based on surveillance, risk mitigation and containement of transmission (Collegium Ramazzini, 2020). Although drug treatment of diseases is not a usual and specific task of occupational and environmental health physicians and is not crucial for their health practice, the therapy of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) is of indisputable interest.

The increasing amount of data and the sometimes contradictory results on the use of hydroxychloroquine and chloroquine for the treatment of COVID-19 pandemics (Hernandez et al., 2020, Rome and Avorn, 2020) prompted me to address some concerns raised centuries ago on this issue. It is worth mentioning some remarks by Bernardino Ramazzini —better known for his commitment towards workers' health (Franco, 1999)— on the fight against a cattle plague epidemics occurring centuries before the current COVID-19 emergency.

On the occasion of a rinderpest epidemic devastating the Italian peninsula at the beginning of the eighteenth century, Ramazzini was leading a group of experts established to study the issue (Franco, 2020, Ramazzini, 1739b). Since the contagion was rapidly propagating in closed spaces affecting both people and cattles, he suggested the lockdown of infected animals and other measures. Consequently, the authorities limited livestock businesses and freedom of movement of the people who had been in contact with infected animals.

As for the treatment of cattle plague, Ramazzini suggested treatment with Cinchona bark ("cortex peruvianus, vulgo Chinae Chinae") —its active principle quinine would have been isolated after several decades; chloroquine and hydroxychloroquine, which share with quinine the quinoline ring system, would have been synthesized and used as antimalarials after more than 2 centuries. In his iv oration on the theory and practice of fevers given in 1702, he already had recognised that this remedy was widely used for the treatment of fever and acknowledged that, other than its proven



Figure 1. Title page of Ramazzini's work De abusu Chinae Chinae dissertatio epistularis. (From: Opera Omnia. 1739).

effectiveness, nothing was known (Ramazzini, 1739a). Ramazzini revived what Hippocrates argued, namely that the task of science was to uncover the unknown. Wondering whether the Cinchona bark could be used to fight the malignant fever occurring in cattle disease, he suggested investigating the strength of the substance. After a few years, however, he realized that the bark was being used indiscriminately for all causes of fever, thus involving a serious misuse. In his "De abusu Chinae Chinae dissertatio epistularis", first published in 1714 (Ramazzini, 1739c), he reported that the bark caused marked complications in patients taking it, especially over a long period (Figure 1). Because continuous use of Cinchona bark usually entailed adverse effects, he warned that prescription of the drug deserved careful attention.

In the light of the contradictory results observed in current studies about COVID 19 treatment (Hernandez et al., 2020, Rome and Avorn, 2020), Ramazzini's contribution can be regarded as an early example of the attention paid to evaluating the benefits and

harmful effects of taking new drugs and witnesses the scientists' continuous effort to tackle new and unexpected health challenges.

Conflict of interest: The author declares no competing interests.

References

Collegium Ramazzini. 24th Collegium Ramazzini Statement – Prevention of Work-related Infection in the COVID-19 Pandemic. Eur J Oncol Environ Health. 2020 May22 No.1. Available from: https://www.mattioli1885journals.com/index.php/EJOEH/article/view/9890).

Franco G. Ramazzini and workers' health. Lancet. 1999 Sep 4;354(9181):858-61. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(99)80042-7.

Franco G. The 1711 rinderpest in Bernardino Ramazzini's xiii Oration and the COVID-19 public health emergency: facts and common aspects. Med Lav. 2020;4. Jun 26 (Epub ahead of print). Available from: https://www.mattioli1885journals.com/index.php/lamedicinadellavoro/article/view/9672

Hernandez AV, Roman YM, Pasupuleti V, Barboza JJ, White CM. Hydroxychloroquine or Chloroquine for treatment or

prophylaxis of COVID-19: A living systematic review. Ann Intern Med. 2020 May 27. doi: 10.7326/M20-2496. Online ahead of print.

Ramazzini B. Oratio quarta. Vera febrium theoriam, et praxim inter ea, quae adhuc desiderantur, esse recensendam. In: Opera Omnia, Medica et Physiologica. Paulum et Isaacum Vaillant, London 1739a. p. 26-31.

Ramazzini B. Oratio decimatertia. In: Opera Omnia, Medica et Physiologica. Paulum et Isaacum Vaillant, London 1739b. p. 85-96.

Ramazzini B. De abusu Chinae Chinae dissertatio epistularis. In: Opera Omnia, Medica et Physiologica. Paulum et Isaacum Vaillant, London 1739c. p. 170-183. Rome BN, Avorn J. Drug Evaluation during the Covid-19 Pandemic. N Engl J Med. 2020 Jun 11;382(24):2282-2284. doi: 10.1056/NEJMp2009457. Epub 2020 Apr 14.

Correspondence

Giuliano Franco, MD

University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Largo del Pozzo 59, 41100 Modena (Italy)

E-mail: giulianofranco.medlav@gmail.com