

RELATIONSHIP OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND PHYSICAL CONCERNS WITH FATIGUE SEVERITY IN SARCOIDOSIS

Paweł Holas¹, Joachim Kowalski¹, Anna Dubaniewicz², Malgorzata Farnik³, Agnieszka Jarzemska⁴, Marta Maskey-Warzechowska⁵, Maksymilian Bielecki⁶, Joanna Domagala-Kularwik⁵

¹University of Warsaw, Faculty of Psychology, Warsaw, Poland; ²Department of Pneumonology, Medical University of Gdansk, Poland; ³Department of Pneumonology, Medical University of Silesia, Poland; ⁴Department of Pneumonology, Oncology and Tuberculosis, Collegium Medicum, UMK, Bydgoszcz, Poland; ⁵Department of Internal Medicine, Pneumonology and Allergology, Medical University of Warsaw, Poland; ⁶SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Faculty of Psychology, Warsaw, Poland

ABSTRACT. *Background:* Fatigue is one of the most common and disabling symptoms of sarcoidosis. The cause of fatigue remains unclear and is usually multifactorial. The majority of previous studies evaluated clinical parameters with only few of them including assessment of psychological factors as contributing to the severity of the symptoms. *Objective:* The aim of this study was to evaluate the relationship of emotional distress, physical concerns, and dyspnea in explaining fatigue in patients with sarcoidosis. *Methods:* Fifty-seven patients with sarcoidosis were enrolled to the study and filled out measures of fatigue (FAS), dyspnea (MRC), anxiety sensitivity (ASI-3), and anxiety and depression (HADS). *Results:* Linear regression revealed that distress and physical concerns subscale of ASI are significant predictors of fatigue explaining jointly 53.5% of fatigue variance. *Conclusions:* The results of the study emphasize the importance of including emotional distress and physical concerns into the diagnostic procedures and management of fatigue in sarcoidosis. (*Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2018; 35: 160-164)

KEY WORDS: anxiety, anxiety sensitivity, dyspnea, fatigue, physical concerns, sarcoidosis

INTRODUCTION

Sarcoidosis is an idiopathic inflammatory disease that affects multiple organs and is associated with a variety of general and specific symptoms depending on the organs involved (1). One of the most common, disabling, and perplexing symptoms reported by patients with sarcoidosis is fatigue. It occurs in up to 85% of patients with active sarcoidosis (2), but it is also present in nearly 50% of patients in remission (3). Fatigue is negatively related to the

patients' quality of life (QOL) (4-6), and its severity was found to predict QOL deterioration (7).

The cause of fatigue in sarcoidosis remains unclear and is usually multifactorial. Importantly, most previous studies assessed clinical parameters with an only minority of them focusing on psychological factors as a likely underlying mechanism. These studies showed various associations between fatigue and medical comorbidities (8), as well as clinical (acute phase response, 6-minute walk distance, dyspnea), and psychological parameters (stress, depression) (2). Fatigue was associated with dyspnea, as measured with the Medical Research Council (MRC), and to the 6-minute walking distance (6MWD) (9, 10). With respect to psychological factors, fatigue was shown to be related to depression (6, 11) and high scores on perceived stress, even after depressive symptoms were statistically controlled (12).

Received: 11 July 2017

Accepted after revision: 11 September 2017

Correspondence: Paweł Holas,

Faculty of Psychology, University of Warsaw,

Krakowskie Przedmieście 26/28, 00 927 Warsaw, Poland

Tel. 0048 22 55 49 705

E-mail: pawel.holas@psych.uw.edu.pl

Numerous studies have shown heightened degrees of anxiety and depression in patients with sarcoidosis (11, 13). In a recent study, Holas et al. (14) found that anxiety was correlated with symptoms severity (including asthenia). Furthermore, they found sarcoid patients had significantly more concerns about their physical symptoms than the reference groups of the healthy individuals. The importance of fear of anxiety symptoms in sarcoid patients was also demonstrated in the recent study which showed that physical symptom concerns were significantly related to dyspnea MRC severity in sarcoid individuals (15). As fatigue is a non-specific symptom subjectively assessed by a patient with self-report questionnaires, it seems plausible that anxiety and increased physical concerns might contribute to the perceived symptom's unpleasantness or severity in sarcoid patients.

Therefore, on the basis of findings described above, the present study aimed to examine the role of dyspnea, depression, anxiety and physical concerns in explaining of fatigue severity in sarcoid patients.

METHOD

Sample

Data from 57 (43.9% women) patients with sarcoidosis who volunteered to participate in this study were collected. Diagnosis of sarcoidosis was made according to the established guidelines (16). Exclusion criteria involved neoplastic diseases, uncontrolled heart failure, chronic obstructive pulmonary diseases and current psychiatric treatment. None of the patients declined participation in the study, and no participants were excluded. Mean age of patients was 45.47 ± 10.94 years. The distribution of the patients' level of education was as follows: primary - 5.3%, secondary - 40.3%, vocational education - 17.5%, higher - 35.1%.

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Medical University of Warsaw. All subjects gave informed consent to take part in the study.

Measurements

Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS) (17) was used as a standardized measurement of fatigue. It consists of ten

items which can be rated by patients on a scale from 1 which means "never" to 5 which means "always." The general outcome varies between 5 and 50 points, where higher result reflects a higher level of fatigue.

Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is a scale consisting of two subscales concerning anxiety and depression (18). The scale consists of seven anxiety and seven depression items presented in an alternating order with a four-point response format. An optimal balance between sensitivity and specificity is usually achieved when caseness was defined by a score of 8 or above on both HADS-D and HADS-A. The results of Polish adaptation (19) suggest convincingly that the Polish version of the HADS should be treated as a unidimensional measure of emotionally focused distress.

Anxiety Sensitivity Index - 3 (ASI-3) is a measurement of different aspects of fear or concerns related to arousal-related events (20). It consists of three subscales related to physical, cognitive, and social concerns. In this study, we concentrated on the subscale measuring physical (health) concerns related to anxiety. It consists of six items that can be rated on a scale from 0 ("I agree very little") to 4 ("I agree very much"). Scores on this subscale can vary from 0 to 24, where higher results indicate more physical concerns. Polish adaptation has good test-retest reliability and validity (21).

Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale (MRC) is a 5-point single item scale [22] designed to measure perceived breathlessness. A higher score on MRC scale indicates higher level of impairment. Grade one refers to the statement "I only get breathless with strenuous exercise" and grade 5 to "I am too breathless to leave the house."

Statistics

Pearson correlation coefficient and independent samples t-tests were used to assess the strength of relationships between each of the variables and FAS scores. Multiple linear regression model assessed the combined contribution of the predictors in explaining the fatigue. All assumptions of linear regression were satisfied. Statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS (version 23).

RESULTS

Univariate analysis

In the preliminary analysis, we investigated relationships between each of the predictors and FAS scores. There were no significant differences in average FAS scored between male ($M = 24.2$, $SD = 6.9$) and female ($M = 22.4$, $SD = 8.5$) patients, $t(55) = 0.87$, $p = 0.39$. Results for all the continuous predictors are reported in Table 1.

In this preliminary analysis, we included both subscales and the total score of HADS. In line with the results reported by de Kleijn et al. (2), both depression and anxiety were significantly correlated with FAS. At the same time, the relationship between FAS was strongest for the total HADS score, and reliability analysis showed that the HADS total score had slightly better reliability (α Cronbach = .88), when compared to anxiety (α Cronbach = .83) and depression (α Cronbach = .79) subscales. This pattern of results supports conclusions from the meta-analysis of HADS done by Cosco et al. (23) who revealed an inability to consistently differentiate between the constructs of anxiety and depression based on HADS and suggested that "its use needs to be targeted to more general measurement of distress" (p. 180). Therefore, we decided to use a single HADS total score as a measure of general emotional distress in the multivariate regression model.

Multiple regression

To assess the contribution of each of the predictors in explaining FAS we tested a multiple regression model. Sex and age were not included in this analysis, as they were not significantly related to FAS.

Table 2. Multiple linear regression model of fatigue predictors

	Beta	t	Significance	Semipartial correlations
MRC	1.45	1.42	0.16	0.13
HADS-T	0.43	3.96	0.000	0.361
ASI-Ph	0.47	3.09	0.003	0.28

MRC – Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale, HADS-T – Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale total score, ASI-Ph – Anxiety Sensitivity Index-Physical concerns subscale

Regression analyses resulted are presented in Table 2. This model ($F(3.53)=22.46$; $p<0.001$) predicts 53.5% of fatigue variance with emotional distress and physical concerns as significant predictors. The effects of dyspnea did not reach statistical significance in this analysis.

DISCUSSION

The aim of the current study was to examine the extent to which self-reported dyspnea, emotional distress, and fear of physical symptoms can be used to explain fatigue severity in sarcoidosis.

Confirming our initial assumptions concerning the importance of the psychological factors, we found that emotional distress and physical concerns are indeed significantly related to fatigue. This pattern of results supports conclusions of de Kleijn et al. (2), who also found that anxiety and depression are predictors of fatigue scores. Univariate analysis in our study showed a correlation of fatigue with dyspnea, in line with the results of Baughman et al. (9) study. However, interestingly, dyspnea turned out not to be a significant predictor after taking into account distress and concerns levels. In a recent study Atkins et al. (24) didn't find significant predictors of fatigue in

Table 1. Descriptive statistics and pearson correlations between predictors and fatigue

	mean (SD)	Age	FAS	MRC	HADS-D	HADS-A	ASI-Ph	HADS-T
Age	5.47 (10.94)	-						
FAS	23.44 (7.64)	-0.02	-					
MRC	1.42 (0.89)	0.44*	0.43*	-				
HADS-D	3.98 (3.34)	0.10	0.58***	0.35**	-			
HADS-A	7.07 (4.00)	0.07	0.61***	0.21	0.66***	-		
ASI-Ph	6.82 (5.71)	0.24 [†]	0.63***	0.44*	0.44*	0.50***	-	
HADS-T	11.05 (6.68)	0.92	0.65***	0.304*	0.89***	0.93***	0.52***	-

FAS – Fatigue Assessment Scale, MRC – Medical Research Council Dyspnea Scale, HADS-D/A/ – Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale-Depression/Anxiety subscales/Total, ASI-Ph – Anxiety Sensitivity Index-Physical concerns subscale, [†] $p=0,07$, * $p=0,05$, ** $p=0,007$, *** $p<0,001$

sarcoidosis in regression model which also included MRC dyspnea, anxiety (HADS-A) and depression (HADS-D). Importantly, there are methodological differences when compare with the present study, as we treated HADS as a unidimensional measure. Besides, some aspects of Atkins et al. analysis suggest a questionable validity of statistical conclusions – they were based on regression analysis including 72 subjects and 8 highly intercorrelated predictors.

The feeling of fatigue is a subjective experience (2), and our findings suggest that emotional distress and fear of physical symptoms of anxiety affect its perception. One may speculate that an increased tendency to interpret somatic sensations as threatening and increased vigilance related to anxiety, lead to aggravation of the subjective sense of the severity of fatigue.

The most important limitation of the study is a relatively small sample size. It should be noted, that sarcoidosis patients are difficult to recruit since the rarity of the disease. In our study, we used only one self-report scale to quantify levels of fatigue. Future research may benefit from including more objective and physiologically conceptualized measures of fatigue. It is important to underline, however, that FAS is a well validated and reliable tool often used among sarcoidosis patients (17). The cross-sectional design of the current study makes it impossible to draw definitive conclusions about causal relationships between the evaluated variables. Future work using a prospective design and controlling for baseline differences would allow to establish causal patterns more clearly. Though, it is important to underline, that previous works addressing the topic of fatigue predictors cited above were also based on cross-sectional design. Further research could also benefit from controlling some more potential predictors of fatigue (e.g. pain, and extrapulmonary involvement) which were omitted in the current study.

To summarize, fatigue is broadly identified as a prominent problem in sarcoidosis and its management. The present study contributes to the current literature, by indicating that inclusion of subjective, psychological variables, namely physical concerns, and emotional distress, play a significant role in explaining fatigue levels in sarcoidosis patients. Our results also point towards the importance of the evaluation and, if future studies confirm their causal role, management of emotional distress and physical

concerns as potential interventions targeting fatigue in sarcoidosis.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

REFERENCES

1. Koyama T, Ueda H, Togashi K, Umeoka S, Kataoka M, Nagai S. Radiologic manifestations of sarcoidosis in various organs. *Radiographics* 2004; 24(1): 87-104. PMID: 14730039 DOI: 10.1148/rg.241035076.
2. De Kleijn WP, De Vries J, Lower EE, Elfferich MD, Baughman RP, Drent M. Fatigue in sarcoidosis: a systematic review. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2009; 15(5): 499-506. PMID: 19458531 DOI: 10.1097/MCP.0b013e32832d0403.
3. Korenromp IH, Heijnen CJ, Vogels OJ, van den Bosch JM, Grutters JC. Characterization of chronic fatigue in patients with sarcoidosis in clinical remission. *Chest* 2011; 140(2): 441-7. PMID: 21330380 DOI: 10.1378/chest.10-2629.
4. Aggarwal AN, Sahu KK, Gupta D. Fatigue and health-related quality of life in patients with pulmonary sarcoidosis treated by oral Corticosteroids. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2016; 33(2): 124-9.
5. Cox CE, Donohue JF, Brown CD, Kataria YP, Judson MA. Health-related quality of life of persons with sarcoidosis. *Chest* 2004; 125(3): 997-1004. PMID: 15006960.
6. Wirnsberger RM, de Vries J, Breteler MH, van Heck GL, Wouters EF, Drent M. Evaluation of quality of life in sarcoidosis patients. *Respir Med* 1998; 92(5): 750-6. PMID: 9713635.
7. Michielsens HJ, Drent M, Peros-Golubic T, De Vries J. Fatigue is associated with quality of life in sarcoidosis patients. *Chest* 2006; 130(4): 989-94. PMID: 17035429 DOI: 10.1378/chest.130.4.989.
8. Fleischer M, Hinz A, Braehler E, Wirtz H, Bosse-Henck A. Factors associated with fatigue in sarcoidosis. *Respir Care* 2014; 59: 1086-94.
9. Baughman RP, Sparkman BK, Lower EE. Six-minute walk test and health status assessment in sarcoidosis. *Chest* 2007; 132(1): 207-13. PMID: 10377201 DOI: 10.1378/chest.06-2822
10. Strookappe B, De Vries J, Elfferich M, Kuijpers P, Knevel T, Drent M. Predictors of fatigue in sarcoidosis: The value of exercise testing. *Respir Med* 2016; 116: 49-54.
11. Chang B, Steimel J, Moller DR, Baughman RP, Judson MA, Yeager H Jr, Teirstein AS, Rossman MD, Rand CS. Depression in sarcoidosis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001; 163(2): 329-34. PMID: 11179101 DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm.163.2.2004177.
12. De Vries J, Drent M. Relationship between perceived stress and sarcoidosis in a Dutch patient population. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2004; 21(1): 57-63. PMID: 15127976.
13. Hinz A, Brähler E, Möde R, Wirtz H, Bosse-Henck A. Anxiety and depression in sarcoidosis: the influence of age, gender, affected organs, concomitant diseases and dyspnea. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2012; 29(2): 139-46. PMID: 23461077.
14. Holas P, Krejtz I, Urbankowski T, Skowrya A, Ludwiniak A, Domagala-Kulawik J. Anxiety, its relation to symptoms severity and anxiety sensitivity in sarcoidosis. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2013; 30(4): 282-8. PMID: 24351619.
15. Holas P, Szymańska J, Dubaniewicz A, Farnik M, Jarzemska A, Krejtz I, Maskey-Warzechowska M, Domagala-Kulawik J. Association of anxiety sensitivity-physical concerns and FVC with dyspnea severity

- in sarcoidosis. *General Hospital Psychiatry* 2017; 47: 43-47. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsy.2017.04.013>.
16. Statement on sarcoidosis. Joint Statement of the American Thoracic Society (ATS), the European Respiratory Society (ERS) and the World Association of Sarcoidosis and Other Granulomatous Disorders (WASOG) adopted by the ATS Board of Directors and by the ERS Executive Committee. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999; 160(2): 736-55. DOI: 10.1164/ajrccm.160.2.ats4-99.
 17. De Vries J, Michielsen H, Van Heck GL, Drent M. Measuring fatigue in sarcoidosis: the Fatigue Assessment Scale (FAS). *Br J Health Psychol* 2004; 9: 279-91. PMID: 15296678 DOI: 10.1348/1359107041557048.
 18. Zigmond A, Snaith R. The hospital anxiety and depression scale. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1983; 67: 361-70. PMID: 6880820.
 19. Nezek J, Rusanowska M, Holas P, Krejtz I. The Factor Structure of a Polish Language Version of the Hospital Anxiety Depression Scale (HADS). 2016. Manuscript under review in *Health Qual Life Outcomes*.
 20. Taylor S, Zvolensky MJ, Cox BJ, Deacon B, Heimberg RG, Ledley DR, Abramowitz JS, Holaway RM, Sandin B, Stewart SH, Coles M, Eng W, Daly ES, Arrindell WA, Bouvard M, Cardenas SJ. Robust dimensions of anxiety sensitivity: development and initial validation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index-3. *Psychol Assess* 2007; 19(2): 176-88. PMID: 17563199 DOI: 10.1037/1040-3590.19.2.176.
 21. Michałowski J, Holas P, Zvolensky MJ. Polish Adaptation and Psychometric Validation of the Anxiety Sensitivity Index – III. *J Individual Differences* 2014; 35(2): 79-86. DOI: 10.1027/1614-0001/a000129.
 22. Bestall JC, Paul EA, Garrod R, Garnham R, Jones PW, Wedzicha JA. Usefulness of the Medical Research Council (MRC) dyspnoea scale as a measure of disability in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Thorax* 1999; 54(7): 581-6. PMID: 10377201.
 23. Cosco TD, Doyle F, Ward M, McGee H. Latent structure of the Hospital Anxiety And Depression Scale: a 10-year systematic review. *J Psychosom Res* 2012; 72(3): 180-4.
 24. Atkins CP, Gilbert D, Brockwell C, Robinson S, Wilson AM. Fatigue in sarcoidosis and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis: differences in character and severity between diseases. *Sarcoidosis Vasc Diffuse Lung Dis* 2016; 33(2): 130-8.